| 1 | | STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | 2019 - 1:05 p.m. |
| 5 | Concord, New | Hampshire NHPUC 7MAR'19%2'58 |
| 6 | D.F. | DT 10 140 |
| 7 | KE: | DE 18-148 JUDITH TOMPSON: Complaint against Liberty Utilities |
| 8 | | (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities. |
| 9 | | Liberty Others. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | PRESENT: | Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey, Presiding |
| 12 | | Cmsr. Michael S. Giaimo |
| 13 | | Sandy Deno, Clerk |
| 14 | - | |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: | Judith Tompson, pro se |
| 16 | | Reptg. Liberty Utilities (Granite |
| 17 | | State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities: |
| 18 | | Michael J. Sheehan, Esq. |
| 19 | | Reptg. PUC Staff: Mary Schwarzer, Esq. |
| 20 | | Amanda Noonan, Director/Consumer Services & External |
| 21 | | Affairs Division Rorie Patterson, Asst. Director/ |
| 22 | | Consumer Services & External Affairs Div. |
| 23 | Court Repo | rter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 |



| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | INDEX |
| 3 | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | STATEMENTS RE: MOTION TO DISMISS & OBJECTION THERETO BY: |
| 5 | OBJECTION THERETO BI. |
| 6 | Mr. Sheehan 18 |
| 7 | Ms. Schwarzer 19 |
| 8 | Ms. Tompson 19 |
| 9 | |
| 10 | WITNESS: JUDITH TOMPSON |
| 11 | Direct examination <i>(as a courtesy)</i> by Ms. Schwarzer 20 |
| 12 | by Ms. Schwarzer 20 |
| 13 | Cross-examination by Mr. Sheehan 55 |
| 14 | Interrogatories by Cmsr. Giaimo 80, 86, 102 |
| 15 | Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey 84, 91, 102, 103 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | WITNESS PANEL: ALLISON O'NEIL JESSICA ALLEN JENNIEER HEMEON |
| 18 | JENNIFER HEMEON |
| 19 | Direct examination by Mr. Sheehan 111 |
| 20 | Cross-examination by Ms. Schwarzer 164 |
| 21 | Cross-examination by Ms. Tompson 165 |
| 22 | Interrogatories by Cmsr. Giaimo 198, 204, 219 |
| 23 | Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey 203, 210, 220 |
| 24 | |
| | |

| 1 | | | |
|----------|--------------|---|-----------|
| 2 | | I N D E X (continued) | |
| 3 | | | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | | E: OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBITS 14 & 16 | 222 |
| 5 | ADMISSION OF | EAHIBIID 14 & 10 | 222 |
| 6 | CLOSING STAT | EMENTS BY: | |
| 7 | | Mr. Sheehan | 224 |
| 8 | | Ms. Schwarzer | 235 |
| 9 | | Ms. Tompson | 237 |
| 10 | | * * * | |
| 11 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 12 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 13 14 | LU-1 | Liberty complaint response (10-18-17) | premarked |
| 15 | LU-2 | PUC filing for medical approved disconnect (05-01-18) | premarked |
| 16 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 17 | LU-3 | Disconnect letter (05-01-18) | premarked |
| 18 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 19 | LU-4 | Tompson Medical expiring letter (05-16-18) | premarked |
| 20 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 21 | LU-5 | Liberty-PUC Staff email chain (May 2018) | premarked |
| 22 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 23 | LU-6 | Liberty-PUC Staff email chain (June 2018) | premarked |
| 24 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

| 1 | | | |
|----|-------------|---|------------------|
| 2 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | LU-7 | Tompson Medical expired (06-15-18) | premarked |
| 5 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 6 | LU-8 | Liberty Utilities to Judith Tompson letter (06-20-18) | premarked |
| 7 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 8 | LU-9 | Final Bill to Judith Tompson (07-18-18) | premarked |
| 9 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 10 | LU-10 | Email with PUC Staff and Liberty Utilities re: Madhu | premarked |
| 11 | | (08-16-18) | |
| 12 | LU-11 | Liberty Utilities bill to Madhu Estates | premarked |
| 13 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 14 | LU-12 | Email with PUC Staff and Liberty Utilities re: | premarked |
| 15 | | Tompson complaint (08-29-18) | |
| 16 | LU-13 | Letter from Liberty Utilities to Judith Tompson | premarked |
| 17 | | (08-29-18) [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 18 | LU-14 | Account History of Judith | premarked |
| 19 | - | Tompson (08-30-18) [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 20 | LU-15 | PUC letter to Judith Tompson | premarked |
| 21 | | (08-31-18) | 1 12 110 2 32 33 |
| 22 | LU-16 | Letter from Liberty Utilities to Judith Tompson, | premarked |
| 23 | | with attachments (09-05-18) [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 24 | | [| |

| 1 | | |
|----------|-------------|--|
| 2 | | EXHIBITS |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. |
| 4 | LU-17 | Photo of envelope left at <pre>premarked</pre> the door of Unit #8 |
| 5 | LU-18 | Liberty Utilities Statement premarked to Judith Tompson (09-18-18) [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 7 | LU-19 | Liberty Utilities Statement premarked to Judith Tompson (10-17-18) [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 9 | LU-20 | Liberty Utilities Statement premarked to Judith Tompson (11-15-18) [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 11 12 | LU-21 | Past Due Notices dated premarked 06-11-18, 07-03-18, 08-01-18, 09-06-18, 10-02-18, and |
| 13 | | 11-02-18 [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 14 15 | LU-22 | NH Fuel Assistance Program <i>premarked</i> (FAP) Procedures Manual |
| 16 17 | LU-23 | Letter from Liberty premarked Utilities to Judith Tompson Re: Fuel Assistance (11-16-18) |
| 18 | | [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 19 | Tompson-A | Letter to National Grid premarked from Parkland Physician |
| 20 | | Services of Salem (09-29-11) [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 21 22 | Tompson-B | Letter to Liberty Utilities premarked from Appledore Medical Group |
| 23 | | (06-29-13) [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 24 | | |

| 1 | | |
|----|-------------|--|
| 2 | | EXHIBITS |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. |
| 4 | Tompson-C | N.H. Fuel Assistance Program premarked |
| 5 | | Benefit Notification (11-02-15) [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Tompson-D | Liberty Utilities Past Due premarked Notice to Judith Tompson (01-03-17) |
| 8 | | [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 9 | Tompson-E | Eviction Notice from Harmon <i>premarked</i> Law Offices to Judith Tompson, |
| 10 | | and all other occupants |
| 11 | Tompson-F | N.H. Fuel Assistance Program <i>premarked</i> Supplemental Benefit |
| 12 | | Notification from Southern N.H. Services (02-08-18) |
| 13 | | [Redacted & Confidential] |
| 14 | Tompson-G | Eviction Notice to Judith <i>premarked</i> Tompson (05-01-18) |
| 15 | Tomoson II | - |
| 16 | Tompson-H | Letter from NHPUC to Judith premarked Tompson Re: Request for Permission to Disconnect |
| 17 | | Electric Service (05-16-18) |
| 18 | Tompson-I | Salem 10th Circuit District premarked Court Case No. 473-2018-LT-59 |
| 19 | | Madhu Gaddam d/b/a Madhu Estates v. Judith Tompson |
| 20 | | (Signed 06-25-18) |
| 21 | Tompson-J | Affidavit of Judith Tompson <i>premarked</i> (Signed 06-25-18) |
| 22 | | (Signed 00 25 io) |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |

| 1 | | | |
|----|---------------------------|---|-----------|
| 2 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | Tompson-K | Letter from Liberty Utilities to Judith Tompson | premarked |
| 5 | | Re: Medical Certificate Confirmation (06-27-18) | |
| 6 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 7 | Tompson-L | Liberty Utilities Statement to Judith Tompson (07-18-18) | premarked |
| 8 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 9 | Tompson-M | Liberty Utilities Final Bill to Judith Tompson (07-18-18) | premarked |
| 10 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 11 | Tompson-N | Pages 9, 10, & 11 from Case 1:18-cv-00555-PB from | premarked |
| 12 | | U.S. Magistrate Judge | |
| 13 | Tompson-O | Letter from Judith Tompson to Liberty Utilities | premarked |
| 14 | | (08-20-18) [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 15 | Tompson-P | Letter from Judith Tompson | premarked |
| 16 | 1 | to Algonquin Power & Utilities Corp. (08-20-18) | |
| 17 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 18 | Tompson-Q | Letter from Judith Tompson to Scott & Scott | premarked |
| 19 | | (08-21-18) | |
| 20 | Tompson-R | Letter from Scott & Scott to Judith Tompson (08-23-18) | premarked |
| 21 | Tompson-S | - | premarked |
| 22 | _ 0 _L 0 0 11 0 | Jennifer Hemeon (08-15-18) | <u></u> |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |

| 1 | | | |
|----------|-------------|--|-----------|
| 2 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | Tompson-T | Letter from Liberty Utilities to Judith Tompson | premarked |
| 5 | | (08-29-18) [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 7 | Tompson-U | Liberty Utilities Statement to Judith Tompson (11-15-18) [Redacted & Confidential] | premarked |
| 9 | Tompson-V | Past Due Notice from Liberty Utilities to Judith Tompson (01-03-19) | premarked |
| 10 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 11 12 | Tompson-W | Affidavit of Judith Tompson (Signed on 02-11-19) | premarked |
| 13 | Tompson-X | Liberty Utilities Third Party Notification & Enrollment Form | premarked |
| 15 16 | Tompson-Y | Liberty Utilities Important Notice - Electric Service Disconnection to Judith Tompson | premarked |
| 17 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 18 | Tompson-Z | Letter from Judith Tompson to the Public Utilities Commission (05-25-18) | premarked |
| 19 | T 7 7 | | |
| 20 | Tompson-AA | Letter from Judith Tompson to Liberty Utilities (06-19-18) | premarked |
| 21 | Tompson-BB | Letter from Judith Tompson | premarked |
| 22 | - | to Liberty Utilities (06-23-18) | - |
| 23 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 24 | | | |

| 1 | | | |
|----|-------------|--|-----------|
| 2 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | Tompson-CC | Letter from Judith Tompson to Liberty Utilities | premarked |
| 5 | | (08-20-18) | |
| 6 | Tompson-DD | Complaint of the Plaintiff, Judith Tompson v. Liberty | 5 4 |
| 7 | | Utilities (Filed 09-17-18) [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 8 | Staff-1 | UPS Tracking Records | premarked |
| 9 | | (01-18-19) | 1 |
| 10 | Staff-2 | Letter from NHPUC to Judith Tompson (08-23-18) | premarked |
| 11 | | [Redacted & Confidential] | |
| 12 | Staff-3 | Letter from NHPUC to Allison O'Neil, Liberty | premarked |
| 13 | | Utilities (06-19-18) | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |

| 1 | PROCEEDING |
|----|---|
| 2 | CMSR. BAILEY: Good afternoon. We're |
| 3 | here in Docket DE 18-148, about a complaint by |
| 4 | Judith Tompson against Liberty Utilities. And |
| 5 | we're here for a hearing on the merits. |
| 6 | As you can see, I'm chairing today. |
| 7 | I'm not usually the Chairman, Ms. Tompson. The |
| 8 | Chairman is under the weather, but he is |
| 9 | listening to the hearing on the phone. |
| 10 | All right. Before we begin, let's |
| 11 | take appearances. |
| 12 | MR. SHEEHAN: Good afternoon, |
| 13 | Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty |
| 14 | Utilities. |
| 15 | MS. TOMPSON: Good afternoon. Judith |
| 16 | Tompson, pro se. |
| 17 | CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. |
| 18 | MS. SCHWARZER: Good afternoon. Mary |
| 19 | Schwarzer, Staff attorney. |
| 20 | CMSR. BAILEY: All right. Thank you. |
| 21 | Ms. Tompson, you don't have to stand up. But |
| 22 | you do need to pull the microphone really close |
| 23 | to your mouth, so that the Chairman can hear |
| 24 | you, and also the stenographer. |

All right. Do we have any preliminary matters we need to address before we begin?

MS. SCHWARZER: Yes. Chairman Bailey and Commissioner Giaimo, with me are Amanda Noonan, Director of Consumer Services and External Affairs, and Rorie Patterson, who's the Assistant Director of Consumer Services and External Affairs.

Staff wishes to bring to the

Commission's attention the fact that many of

the exhibits filed by Ms. Tompson and Liberty

contain confidential information, such as

account numbers and medical information, when

they were filed, and some confidential

information was also attached to the Petition.

And so, exhibits have not been posted to the

Commission website at this time.

Staff proposes that Staff redact confidential medical and account information from the Petition and from Petitioner's exhibits after the hearing.

I would also note, my understanding is the only people in the hearing room at this

time are either the Petitioner, associated with Liberty, or Commission Staff. And so, any mention of the confidential information would not be injurious.

I believe Liberty has brought redacted exhibits, and they will address that.

we have three, Staff has three exhibits. One was filed on February 12th.

Exhibits 2 and 3 are necessary to complete the record. They should be on your bench.

Exhibit 2 is a letter from the Consumer

Division to the Petitioner on August 23rd,

2017. Exhibit 3 is a letter from the Consumer

Division to Liberty, dated June 19, 2018,

finding Ms. Tompson is not protected by the

Medical Emergency rule, and giving Liberty

permission to continue with its standard

collection practices.

I will file confidential versions and redacted versions of those documents at the conclusion of this hearing as well.

There are largely no objections to the exhibits filed by the Petitioner and Liberty and Staff. We met ahead of time.

```
1
         There is an exception to that. The Petitioner
         does object to Liberty's Exhibit 14 and
 2
 3
         Liberty's Exhibit 16, to the extent that there
         is a summary chart regarding bills in Exhibit
 4
 5
         14, that appears again in Liberty's Exhibit 16.
 6
         And I expect Attorney Sheehan will address that
 7
         issue as well.
 8
                   As Staff counsel, I have asked Ms.
9
         Tompson if it would be helpful for me to ask
10
         her some standard questions to get the hearing
11
         off to a smooth start as she is
12
         self-represented here today. Ms. Tompson
13
         agreed. As Ms. Tompson noted, I am not her
14
         attorney. I am the Staff attorney. And I will
15
         proceed with those questions when the
16
         Commission is ready. Liberty had no objection
17
         to proceeding in that manner.
18
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you. Ms.
19
         Tompson, do you agree with the information that
         should be kept confidential?
20
21
                   MS. TOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.
22
                   CMSR. BAILEY: And what information
23
         is that, do you know?
24
                   MS. TOMPSON: My understanding is it
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

is account numbers and personal information regarding my condition.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Sheehan.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. The documents I filed last week were -- excuse me -- Exhibits 1 through 23. I apologize for not thinking confidential. I have with me today the exact same documents with the redactions and shading as appropriate. But I can tell you now, the only information that's changed is account numbers appear throughout, so those are a bunch of redactions. And on our Exhibit 2, it has Ms. Tompson's phone number and just a description of her medical condition.

So, I have those ready to go. I decided not to file them formally until we had this conversation, to make sure I wouldn't have to do it a third time. So, it turns out this is the way we go. I can leave all these paper copies here. Again, for what you had from before, the documents themselves are exactly the same.

CMSR. BAILEY: All right. And how should we mark them?

MR. SHEEHAN: I have marked them "1" through "23" on my exhibits. I'm going to walk my witnesses through all 23 of them, to the extent they're not being used when I ask Ms. Tompson questions.

I understand Ms. Tompson's exhibits she marked on her own "A" through "AA", or I forget where it ended. And Staff also has numbers. So, perhaps Staff's could go after ours, probably be simplest.

CMSR. BAILEY: No, that's not what I meant. I meant, how are we going to mark the redacted version versus the confidential version?

MR. SHEEHAN: Again, I have both here. So, my proposal would be simply to replace what I filed last week with what I have here today.

My comment was, for purposes of the hearing today, since there's no change in the underlying document, you can certainly refer to the paper copies that were delivered last week.

| 1 | And I can hand out the new ones right now, if |
|----|---|
| 2 | you prefer that as well. |
| 3 | CMSR. BAILEY: So, we don't need |
| 4 | confidential exhibits. We just need the |
| 5 | redacted exhibits? |
| 6 | MR. SHEEHAN: Well, you need the |
| 7 | confidential ones to show the gray shading of |
| 8 | where the confidential material is. That's |
| 9 | what I have with me as well today. |
| 10 | CMSR. BAILEY: My question is, do we |
| 11 | need the confidential exhibits? Or do we just |
| 12 | need the redacted copies, and that would be the |
| 13 | record? |
| 14 | MR. SHEEHAN: I suppose that could be |
| 15 | the case. |
| 16 | CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Did Staff have |
| 17 | something? |
| 18 | MS. SCHWARZER: Yes. Staff would |
| 19 | like to suggest that it may be important to |
| 20 | correlate the account numbers on some versions |
| 21 | of what we have in evidence. |
| 22 | CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. |
| 23 | MS. SCHWARZER: And so, it may be |
| 24 | beneficial, to the extent it may be cumbersome, |

1 but it's still beneficial to have confidential versions of those exhibits. 2 3 In terms of numbering, would it be helpful to put a "C" after either the exhibit 4 5 number or the letter for "confidential" or a "-C". 6 7 CMSR. BAILEY: Sure. That's a good idea. 8 MS. SCHWARZER: So, we'll go through 9 10 that. And at the end of the hearing, I'll do 11 my best to clear up the record and file sets, 12 both confidential sets as initially filed from 13 all parties and the redacted versions provided. 14 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. So, for 15 example, Mr. Sheehan's Exhibit 2 would be 16 redacted, and the confidential version would be "2-C"? 17 18 MS. SCHWARZER: Yes. 19 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. 20 MR. SHEEHAN: I have followed --21 MS. SCHWARZER: Is that acceptable? MR. SHEEHAN: I'm sorry. I have 22 23 followed the normal process of they're both 24 Exhibit 2, one says "Redacted" on the top of it

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

1 and the other one says "Confidential" on the 2 top of it, which is how we normally file. 3 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. 4 MS. SCHWARZER: I had thought we'd 5 use different numbers. But that's fine. 6 can proceed that way. 7 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. We'll just say "Redacted" and "Confidential". Thank you. 8 9 All right. Anything else we need to 10 deal with, before we present the witnesses? 11 MR. SHEEHAN: The only other thing I 12 raise is there is a Motion to Dismiss pending. 13 There are two claims filed by Ms. Tompson that 14 alleged a violation of state law of the 15 Consumer Protection Act and the federal Fair 16 Debt Collection Practices Act. I filed a 17 motion to dismiss those claims; Ms. Tompson 18 filed an objection. 19 It's certainly not necessary to 20 decide that now, but just wanted to note that's out there. To the extent the Commission has 21 22 not decided on it, we will probably have to 23 talk about it some during the testimony phase.

CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson.

24

```
1
                   MS. TOMPSON:
                                 Yes, ma'am.
 2
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Do you have any
 3
         response to that?
                   MS. TOMPSON: It's accurate.
 4
 5
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Does Staff have
 6
         a position?
 7
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Staff believes that,
 8
         for the reasons cited in Liberty's petition,
         the Public Utilities Commission is not the
9
10
         appropriate forum for those, the state claim or
         the federal claim. The Public Utilities
11
12
         Commission is explicitly excluded from the
         Consumer Protection Act under state law.
13
14
         we are not a federal court, so -- or a state
15
         court. So, we would not address the federal
16
         statute either.
17
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson.
18
                   MS. TOMPSON: And I object to that,
19
         because jurisdiction lies with the PUC.
20
         PUC has the ability to adjudicate anything
21
         before it. And these are our consumer
22
         protection statutes. So, both apply. Both
23
         have been violated, and both should be
24
         adjudicated in this proceeding.
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

```
1
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. We're going to
 2
         take up the ruling on that in the written
 3
         order.
 4
                    But I would advise you, Ms. Tompson,
 5
         to focus on the facts that you want to get into
         the record to prove that. And then, at the
 6
 7
         end, in your closing argument, you can say how
         those facts demonstrate whatever laws you think
 8
         were violated. Okay?
9
10
                   MS. TOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.
11
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you. All right.
12
         We're going to start with Ms. Tompson.
13
                    So, Ms. Tompson, would you gather
14
         your things and come up to the witness bench
15
         and be prepared to be sworn in.
16
                         (Whereupon Judith Tompson was
17
                         duly sworn by the Court
18
                         Reporter.)
19
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Great.
20
                    JUDITH TOMPSON, SWORN
21
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
23
         Ms. Tompson?
24
         Yes.
    Α
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
    Q
         For the record, would you please state your
 2
         name and address.
 3
    Α
         Judith Tompson, 9 Lancelot Court, Unit 8, in
         Salem, New Hampshire 03079.
 4
         And how long have you lived there?
 5
    Q
         Since December of 2000.
 6
    Α
 7
         And is that address also your mailing address
    Q
 8
         where you accept mail?
9
    Α
         Yes.
10
         Did you file a complaint against Liberty
    Q
         Utilities with the Commission?
11
12
         Yes.
    Α
13
         On September 17th, 2018?
14
    Α
         Yes.
15
                    MS. SCHWARZER: And I do have a copy
16
         of the complaint. Can I show it to her, just
17
         so she can identify it? Thank you.
18
                         [Atty. Schwarzer showing
19
                         document to Witness Tompson.]
20
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
21
         Ms. Tompson, is the document I showed you,
22
         about 22 pages with attachments, the complaint
23
         that you filed?
24
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

Α

Yes.

[WITNESS: Tompson]

1 Q Do you have any corrections to the factual 2 allegations and representations made in that 3 complaint at this time? 4 Α In my complaint, no. 5 Do you affirm the factual allegations and 6 representations you made in your complaint as 7 truthful and accurate to the best of your 8 knowledge? 9 Yes, I do. 10 Would you please summarize your claims against 11 Liberty and the factual allegations you believe 12 support those claims. Liberty Utilities has claimed from 2003 to the 13 14 present that there are arrears on this account 15 in excess of \$5,000. They have no standing 16 with which to seek any money from National Grid 17 from 2003 to 2014. There's a three-year 18 statute of limitations in the State of New 19 Hampshire, both under contract law and personal 20 injury and as far as discovery is concerned. 21 Prior to this complaint, there have been 22 no notice of Fuel Assistance acceptance into 23 the Program, Fuel Assistance applications to 24 the account, notice of any amounts --

1 CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson?

WITNESS TOMPSON: Yes.

CMSR. BAILEY: Over here. You have to, if you have a prepared written statement, read it really slowly, so that the stenographer can get everything please.

WITNESS TOMPSON: I understand.

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

A Prior to this complaint, there's been no notice of Fuel Assistance acceptance into the Program, no notice of Fuel Assistance applications to the account, and no notice of amounts applied against the eligibility amounts from Fuel Assistance. Except for Exhibit 23, which was presented today, is the very first letter received regarding Fuel Assistance in this case.

There's forfeiture and/or waiver with the National Grid accruals claimed in this case, because National Grid never pursued any arrears alleged owed and due prior to Liberty Utilities purchasing the Company. There was no privity of contract between National Grid and Liberty Utilities regarding that information.

By failing to give me notification of the Fuel Assistance information, it rendered me unable to file a waiver with the Fuel Assistance Program due to hardship.

Under Exhibit 22, Page 31, it specifically states that waivers can be part of your application to the Fuel Assistance Program. By Liberty Utilities not notifying me of exactly what had been applied in this case and what had not been applied in this case, I was not able to go back and attempt to get the full amount of the eligibility amount, which was \$675 each year for years, and this year and last year there's an additional \$270 in addition to that, and apparently none of it had been completely utilized, which could have been utilized. Because I was not given notice of that information, I was not able to go back and apply for any waivers under hardship.

Res judicata applies to the May 2008 [sic] discontinuance request by Liberty Utilities. I never received notification by Liberty Utilities off of that. They sent it to the Public Utilities Commission. My first

notification of the discontinuation was by the Public Utilities.

Under custom and usage, Liberty Utilities has accepted Fuel Assistance as sole payments on the account for years.

Under the Unfair and Deceptive Practices

Act, the FDCPA, and RSA 358-A:2, violations

have occurred as unfair and deceptive practices

in obtaining payments.

There's no billing dispute resolution with Liberty Utilities. There are no forms that are available to fill out, and no proceedings specific to billing disputes. For years I have verbally, and in writing, disputed the amount of arrears that's alleged owed and due to Liberty Utilities on this account. There's never been any meetings. There's never been any proceedings. There's never been any offer to sit down and have a meeting regarding that. The only statements that have ever happened, which are primarily verbally, are "Our records indicate that you owe the money, you need to pay it, you need to set up a payment plan."

I received no notice of the electric

account transfer into the new owner's name upon eviction. And there was an order to discontinue the services on August 28th, which I received no notice. At no time have I received the mandatory 14-day notice of disconnection by Liberty Utilities in this case. It is a financial hardship to discontinue the electricity. It is a physical hardship to discontinue electricity.

I obtained from the Salem 10th Circuit
District Court an order stating that the
electricity needed to remain on. Specific to
that, attached is the affidavit, stated
"Liberty electric service". And it was
specific only to the utility of electric
services. And I was forced to obtain that
document, because both of the requests for
disconnection are after Eviction Notices had
been issued in this case.

In the entire time that I have lived, since December of 2000, there's been no requests for discontinue of services to the Public Utilities Commission.

On August 1st, 2017, at 9 Lancelot Court,

Unit 8, in Salem, New Hampshire, was posted on my door an Eviction Notice dated August 1st,

2017. Three days later Liberty Utilities

sought to disconnect electric service. On

August 4th, I received a letter notification

from Liberty that they were pursuing

disconnection through the Public Utilities

Commission.

On 5/1, May 1st, 2018, at 9 Lancelot

Court, Unit 8, in Salem, New Hampshire, was

posted on my door an Eviction Notice dated

May 1st, 2018. Fifteen days later I was

notified by the Public Utilities Commission

that Liberty sought to disconnect the electric service. I never received any notification

from Liberty Utilities that they had sought a second disconnection.

I resided at 9 Lancelot Court, Unit 8, in Salem, for 18 years. Only twice in those 18 years has any electric utility company ever sought disconnection of electric service, which is Liberty Utilities, immediately after receiving notice of an eviction.

I have a chronic debilitating

physiological medical condition. I live solely on unearned income, which consists of monthly federal social security disability payments.

On 05/22/2018, I spoke with the Salem Town Manager's Office, who informed me that Liberty Utilities is the only exclusive authorized electric service distributor in the entire town. And that fact I verified via telephone with the Public Utilities Commission.

Electricity is a necessity. Discontinue of my electric service is a hardship, which includes, but is not limited to, an inoperable unit fire alarm, as I live in a 24-unit building, apartment building. A disconnection — a disconnected building alarm/fire alarm system, a disconnected fire — electric service is a hardship, which includes, but is not limited to, an inoperable unit fire alarm, a discontinued — a disconnected building fire alarm system, a disconnected building fire alarm system, an inability to refrigerate food, an inability to prepare food, and an inability to see and safely get in and out of

the bathroom, and an inability to decrease accumulated heat in a brick building, and an inability to regulate for air quality in the unit, an inability to remove water from the air in a damp basement unit, loss of the landline telephone and telephone service, an inability to call 9-1-1, and an inability to call medical providers, and/or a high probability of physical injury due to diminished sight as a result of the loss of the use of electricity.

As a consumer, I have a right to dispute the billing, and there's no mechanism with which to do that. Each verbal interaction and/or altercation that I have had with the Collection Department specific to Liberty Utilities has escalated to the point where nothing is accomplished, where the interactions have become inflexible and difficult. And there is no dispute resolution. I'm merely told "You need to set up a payment plan and pay what you owe."

The issue in this case is that I am disputing these amounts. And any -- any monetary payments that I make setting up any

payment plans is automatically reaffirming a debt that I was claiming that I don't owe. So, it doesn't make sense to set up a payment plan if you have disputed amounts. And there's no mechanism with which to dispute those amounts through Liberty Utilities.

There's never been any meetings, any proceedings, or any offer of any meetings regarding that issue. At no time have I received any documentation in the mail regarding trying to set up a payment plan. Everything has been verbal via telephone.

I do not have voice mail or an answering machine, I do not have Internet service, and I do not have cable service, because those are considered luxuries.

Any contact that Liberty Utilities has made to my unit would be calling and the phone ringing. I would have no way of knowing whether or not they have actually contacted me. So, any contact that they have had with the Public Utilities Commission claiming that they have made attempts have only been attempts, because I have — if their claim is that they

have made contact, and I refused to make contact, that is simply not true.

They have failed -- Liberty Utilities has failed to comply with the PUC regulations, violated state -- federal law and -- state and federal law, consumer protection laws via the unfair and deceptive practice violations.

I'm a middle-aged, single female, with a permanent walking disability. I have a chronic medical condition. I subsist on unearned income of social security disability, which is a physical hardship, as well as a financial hardship.

I have applied since 2011 for the medical assistance, for the medical certification on the account, and this is even prior to Liberty Utilities, and each year it is granted. I would say, for the last five years, my doctor, Dr. Nazard, has completed the documentation and sent it in, and it has been granted.

On 08/22/2018, at 10:42 a.m., I spoke with David Pasieka, from Algonquin Corporate office, which is the corporate office for Liberty Utilities, at (905)465-4500, regarding filing a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

complaint and the issues that I had, and he redirected me to the Londonderry office for Liberty.

I did not receive notice of the November 6, 2017 termination of my electric service. On November 6th, which was a Monday, of 2017, a male Liberty worker, a middle-aged male Liberty worker, had apparently come to the unit. I was standing in the middle of the unit and all services were disconnected. The lights went out. I grabbed a flashlight. I walked out of the unit and saw a Liberty Utilities envelope on the door, and folded inside of it was a discontinue -- a termination notice, with no date on it, no signature, and it had an arrears notice on it. I took it, I put it in the unit. I went back out and I looked. As the man was exiting the building, I grabbed -- told him "Hey, you know, wait a minute. I'm Unit 8. What's going on?" And he explained to me that he had a termination notice. And I said "I never received any documented notice in the mail regarding this." I'm entitled to get notice prior to this happening, so that I can

contact the PUC or ask for a hearing. And he pointed to where he had taped the notice to the door, and says "I gave it to you". And I said "No, I'm supposed to get notice in the mail. I never received it."

He then contacted Liberty Utilities, the Londonderry office. And he spoke with them and then I spoke with them, and there was a heated discussion regarded the issues. I stated that I had not received any notification --

[Court reporter interruption.]

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

I had told them that I had not received any documented notice. I didn't have a 14-day notice on the disconnect. I was then told by the female -- I was then told by the female on the phone that notice had been sent to me. And I responded that it had not been sent to me, and that they shouldn't disconnect the service. A prolonged discussion ensued, and then she put the worker back on the phone and directed him to turn the service back on. The service was out for approximately one hour on that day.

I did not receive any notice of the

1 termination. I did not receive notice of the May 2018 permission that they sought through 2 3 the Public Utilities Commission to disconnect. 4 I only received the initial notice in August of 5 the prior year. I did not receive the 30-day 6 notice of my Med Certification pending 7 expiration case. In May, every year I apply, every year a 30-day notice has been timely sent 8 9 to me, and I forward it to my doctor. He 10 completes it, he faxes it, he sends it to 11 Liberty, and it's approved, and put on the 12 account. And then, within a timely amount of 13 time, I receive notification that the 14 certification is active on the account, except 15 in May. I never received the 30-day 16 notification. The time expired for that, for 17 the expiration. And then I did receive a 18 letter from Liberty saying that you now are not 19 covered, because you didn't send in, the 20 certification has expired. I immediately 21 contacted -- I immediately sent a fax over to 22 Liberty Utilities notifying them that the 23 condition still existed and that I needed the 24 documentation.

The paperwork was forwarded to me. I forwarded it to my doctor. He signed the documentation. It was faxed by his office to Liberty. I never received acknowledgment of having been received or applied to the account. I called on three separate occasions asking for the documentation, and each time I was told "it's already been sent to you." And I responded "I did not receive it. Please resend it." It hadn't been resent to me.

At the end of August, I spoke with a PUC worker, Rorie Patterson. And in September, a packet was hand-delivered by Liberty Utilities to my door, and in that packet was the certification. However, it — on its face, the document expired before they even issued it, because it actually lists the expiration date as a 2018 date, as opposed to a 2019 date. This complaint was filed in September of 2018, and there hasn't been any corrected version issued in this case.

I did not receive notice of the 2018 med certification applied. I did not receive notice of the electric account transfer to the

new owner in this case. And Exhibits 3 and 4 that have been presented by the defendant were never issued to me. I never received those documents. The only Liberty Utilities letter that I have received is the last docket [sic], Exhibit 23 that's been issued in this case that was submitted today by the defendant, stating notification of any Fuel Assistance in this case.

Disputed facts that I have, pursuant to Liberty's proposed Statement of Disputed [sic] Facts, are objections to numbers 14, 18, and 20.

In number 14, it states that "Ms. Tompson agreed to put the services back in her name", which is not accurate. The discussion that ensued on that date was questioning why the services had been removed out of my name in the first place, because I had never received notice, nor had I requested that it be removed. I was still physically present on the property, and I am the consumer of record for Liberty Utilities and have been for years.

The second issue in dispute is that the

advised payment, as stated, is "\$2,697.90",
which is absolutely not true. The stated
amount was 2,500 even, because the worker, who
I believe the document states was Ms. Hemeon,
did not have the file in front of her and
acknowledged that she didn't have the file in
front of her. But stated she was fully, you
know, apprised of the case, and she says "Well,
it's in excess of 5,000. So, I'm going to need
a check in the amount of 2,500 in order to stop
the disconnection."

She advised me that the disconnection order -- there was a disconnection order for August -- for August 28th, which was a Wednesday, an order for disconnection. I never received any notice of disconnection, 14-day notice of disconnection. The reason that I had actually called Liberty Utilities on that day was because I was -- I was calling in order to confirm a payment made. I had received a Final Bill in the mail in this case, and realized that something was different or something was wrong. I believe it was the \$9 amount accrual. So, I had contacted the automatic -- made the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

payment, the automatic billing, made the automatic payment, and part of the contingency was that you had to call the 800 number in order to verify the code that they gave you, which I did. When I called to verify the code, I was told that "This account is not in your name anymore. It's been transferred to the new owner", there was no name stated, "and it's scheduled for disconnection on Wednesday. They're coming out, the order's already issued. They're coming out, they're going to cut the service." And on number 14, it says that "Ms. Tompson then asked for a phone call from the Legal Department." In actuality, I asked for something in writing from the Legal Department. Number 18 states "During the week of August 20th, Ms. Fleck attempted to call Ms.

Number 18 states "During the week of
August 20th, Ms. Fleck attempted to call Ms.
Tompson regarding her complaint, leaving a
message." It's not possible to leave a
message. I do not have an answering machine.
So, that is factually incorrect.

And on number 20, it states "On or about August 29th, 2018, Ms. Hemeon drove to Ms.

Tompson's address to attempt to make contact.

Ms. Hemeon knocked, could hear someone inside,
but the door was not answered. Ms. Hemeon left
a letter taped to the door with contact
information for Ms. Allen and Ms. Downing."

There's a discrepancy. The actual
documentations, which are Exhibits 12 and 13
submitted by the defendant, state "Jessica
Allen", and not Ms. Hemeon, and there was no
knock at the door on that date.

In addition, if you look at the documentation on Exhibit 12, on the actual letter, the letter is written stating that they did not have — they had no contact. It's a pre-typed letter that was taped to the door, which would indicate that there was no intention to make any contact, it was simply taped to the door. And it was taped to the door after discussion with Rorie Patterson at the PUC, stating that I intended to file a formal complaint.

No letters have been sent by Liberty
Utilities in order to set up any payment plans
and/or remedy any dispute resolution regarding

the billing, because I don't believe there's any dispute resolution that exists. I have been told repeatedly that their records indicate that the money is owed and due, that you're required to set up a payment plan, or otherwise you can be disconnected, and you need to set up a payment plan.

Exhibits A and B are prior medical documentation that's been submitted in this case. And I went back as far as 2011.

Fuel Assistance notifications are issued.

When I applied for Fuel Assistance, there's an application process, where you just fill out a form and then you submit any documentation in order to secure the eligibility amounts based on your financial status. At no time does the Fuel Assistance Program send you a copy of their Procedure Manual. So, as a consumer of that service, you have no idea what their procedural process is.

Past due notice, on Exhibit D, is

January 3rd, 2017, listed as "\$5,008.36".

The initial Eviction Notice is Exhibit E, which was issued on August 1st.

And number F, dated 02/08/2018, states
"This is an automatic benefit. You do not need
to contact us for approval. Vendors will be
notified directly." And that is for the
additional \$270.

Exhibit G is the May 1st, 2008 [sic]

Eviction Notice. Because both of -- both requests for termination of services were on the heels of Eviction Notices only. The arrears in this case have been on the -- have been alleged owed and due by this organization for years. This is not something that they stated was owed and due recently. And they had sought, because it's the -- it's the plaintiff's position that the fear is that, with an Eviction Notice, Judith Tompson would leave the area, and then there would be no payment plan in place, which would jeopardize employment of the individuals, supervisors in the Collection Department at Liberty Utilities.

The request for disconnection by the PUC does not state anything relative to, and I'm looking at Exhibit H, does not say anything relative to "dispute resolution". And I looked

in the PUC regulations, and I didn't see anything specific to "dispute resolution" with a utility. There are no forms available to fill out, nor is there anything relative to Liberty Utilities. There are no forms of which you fill out in order to dispute any amounts that are owed and due.

Exhibits I and J are specific to the motion granted by the Salem 10th Circuit. The Honorable Robert S. Stephen, on 07/12/2018, granted a motion for order for continued electric on the property. The affidavit that is attached is specific to Liberty Utilities. It states "I have resided at 9 Lancelot Court, Unit 8, in Salem, New Hampshire, for 18 years. Only twice, in those 18 years, has any electric utility company ever sought to disconnect electric service; which is Liberty Utilities, immediately after receiving notice of an eviction." And that's Exhibits I and J.

Exhibit K is dated 06/27/2018, and specifically states "we have placed a temporary protection status on your account, which will protect your account from collection activity,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

including termination, until 06/26/2018." So, the document actually expired the day before it was issued.

Exhibit L is a Statement, and Exhibit M is the Final Bill that was generated in this case, for the current charge of \$9.43, which was paid.

Exhibit N is specific to an order generated by the federal court regarding injunctive relief. The issue in this case was that two court complaints were filed, the New Hampshire -- the state court complaint was removed to federal court, and they were moving forward simultaneously. Motions for the continued electricity were filed in both courts. While the remand -- while the removal was pending, the Salem 10th Circuit, without a hearing, issued the order stating to continue electricity. So, once I received the order in the mail, I faxed it to Liberty Utilities, a notification of that order. And then filed a motion in federal court stating that I was withdrawing that request in federal court, because it had already been granted in state

court. And document number N outlines those issues.

At no point in time was there any court order that has been presented in this case, nor has any court ordered that my electric utility was mandated to be put in the owner's name. It just doesn't exist. It's an absolute misunderstanding, misapplication. It's -- it's simply not true.

I did try to get clarification from the lawyer of the owner asking him what the status was. And I wrote a letter stating: "On 08/17/2018, I spoke with a Liberty Utilities representative. The representative stated that electricity is scheduled for termination on Wednesday, 08/29/2018, at this address. The representative further stated that my electric account has been transferred into your client's name. I have received no written notification of this event. I have received no disconnection notice from Liberty. I am unaware of any transfer of electric service

and/or of any request for transfer of service made. I was further told that "a lawyer" wrote a letter and mailed information to Liberty regarding the electric account. At this time, I request any and all information your office generated and/or mailed to Liberty Utilities regarding the aforementioned property."

Response was received, and a copy of an email that apparently had been sent to one of the Liberty Utilities' workers stated that they were forwarding a magistrate's order in the Salem District Court, order requiring Madhu Company to continue electric service was not valid.

As far as I'm aware, lawyers don't have the ability to invalidate valid orders that have been generated by a court of law. I don't understand why this information was forwarded, nor do I understand why Liberty Utility acted on it, because the Public Utility Commission regulations state that there wouldn't be a transfer in services unless and until there had been a 60-day abandonment. And I had already confirmed with Liberty Utilities that I was

physically on-site. At no time did Liberty
Utilities send any letters questioning "Are you
still on the premises? Are you still living
there?" And I had already confirmed verbally
on the phone that I was still living there.

On August 29th, 2018, Exhibit T was left at my door. It states "Judith Tompson: You have been notified by both Liberty Utilities and the Public Utilities Commission stating that your service is to be disconnected. In an attempt to negotiate payment arrangements to prevent disconnection I visited your address today, Wednesday, August 29th, 2018. I was unable to make contact."

Clearly, this document was preprinted in the Londonderry office before being delivered, and a piece of tape was attached to it. So, I mean, it was -- it was put on the door as a means of making contact in order to set up a payment plan. And no contact was intended, there was no knock at the door.

It further states "Please know that my intent is to set up arrangements to prevent your disconnection. Your current balance is

5,386.37, which is currently past due. In the last month, you have refused to receive both written and verbal contact with us in order to negotiate payment arrangements."

And in actuality, there has been no refusal of anything. If I -- I check my mail every day at 9 Lancelot Court, Unit 8. If there's mail in the box, and I'm the only one that lives at that address, and I'm the only one with a key to that mailbox, I take the mail out. If no mail has been sent to me, I don't have it and I don't have any knowledge of that.

If an entity is seeking "signature required" documents, it puts me in a position of having to physically be home in order to be able to receive those documents. If I am not home, then they can attempt delivery three times. After the three times, it's sent back. I don't have any control over being home when something is delivered. And if it's -- and if it's required to have a signature, then I would physically have to be available. No one else lives with me. So, no one else can sign any documents.

I have never made a statement to anyone, or any entity, any court proceeding, anyone claiming that I haven't received something when I actually had received something. If I make a definitive statement that I did not receive a document, I did not receive it. If I did not receive something that had come in the mail, I did not receive it. And I will attempt to pursue it, if I need to have it.

T further states "Please contact me or the Senior Manager below". And it says "Best regards, Jessica Allen." There was no contact. There was no knock at the door. I was home.

The past due notice that was issued, which is Exhibit V, on January 3rd, 2019, actually lists the accrued amounts as "\$10,708.5". It doesn't look as if there's enough room for the computer system to even add that amount of money in. At no time have I received any other notifications claiming that amount of money, unless attorney fees have been added onto the account. Or, this is some attempt in order to engage in a discussion by being sent.

I have an affidavit signed and dated 11

February 2019, which is Exhibit W, regarding the issues in this case.

And Exhibit X is a Third Party

Notification Enrollment Form, which

specifically state "Liberty Utilities Credit

and Collections/Account Processing", which

means that Liberty Utilities has its own

collection department that utilizes collection

activities to their benefit, and apparently to

the benefit of the prior owner, National Grid,

because they're alleging that that debt is owed

to them, of which they have no standing to even

articulate that they're owed that, because they

never provided any services by National Grid

and Liberty Utilities.

The Liberty Utility notice, which was placed on my door on November 6, 2017, is Exhibit Y, and that is the only Notice of Termination that I did receive. And termination occurred. It doesn't matter whether it would occur for a few minutes or an hour or a day. Termination actually occurred, because the worker came out, had to enter the building, had to have a key to get into the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

building -- the secured area that's closed off, in order to unlock the door, go in, flip the switch, turn off all the electricity on my unit in particular, and then lock the door and then exit.

Exhibit Z, AA, BB, and CC are further letters. Because when I realized that the final notice had been issued, and that they were planning to come out and shut the services off on Wednesday, the 29th of August, I began faxing notifications to them to try and stop that process. And I did call the Public Utilities Commission. I spoke, I believe twice, with Rorie Patterson regarding the issues, and stated that I had planned to file a complaint regarding unfair and deceptive practices, as well as a failure to notify under PUC regulations, which is fully outlined both in the complaint and in the motion -- and objection to the motion to dismiss in this case.

I dispute the amounts that are owed and due. I have continued to dispute them for years, both verbally and in writing, to Liberty

Utilities to no avail. And there hasn't been any resolution. This case -- the alleged arrears that they have claimed that I owe them have continued. No notification in writing has been sent to me regarding those arrears or setting up any payment plans.

Each conversation that I have had with any Liberty Utilities representative has consisted of "Our records indicate you owe the money, and you have to set up a payment plan." And my response has consistently been "I do not owe what you are claiming. I dispute these amounts." "Well, our records say that you owe it, and you need to set up a payment plan." And then they recite the PUC regulations stating that a payment plan has to be in place.

As a -- as someone who is utilizing a service, if I am disputing the amounts, it would be inappropriate and/or improper for me to set up a payment plan, because it would -- it would reaffirm debt that I'm stating that I don't owe. Statute of limitations, there's a three-year statute of limitations in the State of New Hampshire, which means that, this being

February of 2019, Liberty Utilities could only make a claim back to February of 2016, which would obliterate any documentation related to and/or regarding National Grid.

In addition, their custom and usage is to have accepted in full the payments from the Fuel Assistance Program. So, any amounts that were alleged owed and due in the last three years that haven't been paid by the Fuel Assistance Program have either been forfeited or waived, because they haven't proceeded and/or sought any resolution to that issue.

There's nothing in the PUC regulations specifically stating that a private corporation is prohibited from filing a lawsuit if they believe that is part of their debt collection practices. And at no time was a lawsuit filed by National Grid or by Liberty Utilities against me alleging this immense amount of debt that they're claiming, which means that there's forfeiture and/or waiver for the amounts that they're alleging that are owed and due.

The fact that the only documentation that I've received to date from Liberty Utilities is

| 1 | Exhibit 23, which is dated November 16, |
|-----|---|
| 2 | 2008 [sic], acknowledging even any notification |
| 3 | of the Fuel Assistance Program, indicates they |
| 4 | have not sent me any other notifications over |
| 5 | the last three years. Without sending me those |
| 6 | notifications, I was not able to go back and |
| 7 | appeal to the Fuel Assistance Program in order |
| 8 | to obtain any other sums which would cover any |
| 9 | other payments to them. |
| L 0 | It is my position that there is no money |
| L1 | owed and due to Liberty Utilities in this case. |
| L 2 | I dispute these amounts. I have continued to |
| L 3 | dispute these amounts. And we have been in a |
| L 4 | complete stalemate for years regarding these |
| L 5 | issues. And that is why I filed the complaint |
| L 6 | against Liberty Utilities before this tribunal. |
| L 7 | CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you. Do you |
| L 8 | want to mark the Petition as an exhibit? Your |
| L 9 | original Petition? |
| 20 | WITNESS TOMPSON: Yes, your Honor. |
| 21 | And I'd also like to mark the motion and |
| 22 | objection to the Motion to Dismiss as well. |
| 2 | CMSR BAILEY. That's not an exhibit |

That's just a -- I think that you don't need to

24

```
1
         mark that, but --
                    WITNESS TOMPSON: I understand.
 2
 3
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Is that right?
 4
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Yes. That's in the
 5
         docket already.
 6
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. But the
 7
         Petition, maybe we should mark as "Exhibit DD".
                         (The document, as described, was
 8
                         herewith marked as Exhibit DD
 9
10
                         for identification.)
11
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Chairman Bailey, I
12
         have the version of the Petition that the
13
         Petitioner looked at at the beginning of her
14
         testimony. I'd be happy to give that either to
15
         the Clerk or to the stenographer.
16
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. Off
17
         the record.
18
                         [Brief off-the-record discussion
19
                         ensued.]
20
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Back on the record.
21
         Do you want to --
22
                   MS. SCHWARZER: I'd be happy to show
23
         her the Petition again, if you would like me to
24
         do that?
```

```
1
                   CMSR. BAILEY: No. I think she
 2
         identified it earlier.
 3
                   MS. SCHWARZER: She did.
                   CMSR. BAILEY: And I was going to ask
 4
 5
         at the time, but I didn't know what exhibit
         number to give it. So, I waited.
 6
 7
                   So, are you then finished with your
         direct testimony, Ms. Tompson?
 8
                   WITNESS TOMPSON: Yes, ma'am. I
 9
10
         would like to be able to recall the witness, if
11
         necessary, at the end of the proceedings, if
12
         that's --
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Recall yourself?
13
14
                   WITNESS TOMPSON: Correct.
15
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Sure.
16
                   WITNESS TOMPSON: Thank you.
                   CMSR. BAILEY: And you get to go
17
18
         last. Okay.
19
                   All right. So, who goes next? Is it
20
         Staff or the Company? The Company? All right.
21
         Thank you.
22
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
24
         Ms. Tompson, I understand you're an attorney,
```

```
1
         is that correct?
         Not in the State of New Hampshire, no.
 2
    Α
 3
         Are you -- did you graduate from law school?
    Q
 4
    Α
         Yes.
         Which school?
 5
 6
                    WITNESS TOMPSON: I'd like to object
 7
         on the grounds of relevance.
 8
                    MR. SHEEHAN: I think the relevance
9
         is that Ms. Tompson's competency to understand
10
         documents, to locate documents, and to research
11
         further documents is important here. And
12
         establishing that she went to a law school,
13
         graduate from a law school, would help prove
14
         her competence in those areas, and disprove any
15
         suggestion that these things may have been
16
         outside her ability to track down.
17
                         (Cmsr. Bailey and Cmsr. Giaimo
18
                         conferring.)
19
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson, I'm going
20
         to overrule your objection, and you can answer
21
         the question please.
22
                    WITNESS TOMPSON: May you repeat the
23
         question please?
24
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
What school?
 1
    Q
 2
         Mass. School of Law.
    Α
 3
         Are you currently practicing law in
    Q
         Massachusetts?
 4
 5
    Α
         No.
 6
         All right. Are you practicing law anywhere?
    Q
 7
    Α
         No.
8
         You've been in the apartment you've said "since
    Q
9
         2000"?
10
         Yes.
    Α
11
         Do you have a copy of the exhibits that you
12
         objected to, I think it was a copy of Exhibit
         16, do you have a copy of that in front of you?
13
14
         Not before me, no. I have a copy.
15
         Could I get it for you?
16
    Α
         Yes.
17
                         [Atty. Sheehan handing document
                         to the witness.]
18
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
19
         Exhibit 16, Page 2, 3, 4, and 5 appears to show
20
21
         service dates, bill amounts, payments, and a
22
         running balance, correct?
23
         That's what it appears to show.
    Α
24
         Do you dispute any of those charges?
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
    Α
         I don't know. I don't -- I don't have copies
 2
         of any of the National Grid bills. So, I don't
 3
         know. This is a pre -- part of my objection to
 4
         this document, as well as to both Exhibits 16
 5
         and 14, is that this is a prepared document by
 6
         Jessica A., for the purposes of litigation.
 7
         These aren't bills.
         That's not my question, ma'am. I said,
 8
    Q
         assuming that each of these are bills from
9
10
         National Grid, do you object -- do you have any
11
         reason to dispute, for example, the very first
12
         line, that your bill for January 2003 was
         "$20.60"?
13
14
         I have no way of knowing if that's accurate.
15
         Do you dispute the fact that the "Payments"
16
         column are empty, on Pages 2, 3, and part of
17
         Page 4, suggesting that no payments were made
         from 2003 until 2013?
18
19
         I don't know why the "Payments" section is
    Α
20
         blank.
21
         Did you make payments during those years?
22
         I don't remember what I paid during those
23
         years.
24
         You've said all along that you've "disputed the
    Q
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         amounts for years". Did you ever submit
 2
         payment records showing that you've made a
 3
         payment X on date Y?
         To National Grid? No.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         Or to Liberty?
 6
         I'm sorry, that I had made a payment?
    Α
 7
         You appear today saying you "do not owe the
    Q
 8
         money that Liberty claims you owe".
9
    Α
         Correct.
10
         You said you "disputed the amount for years".
    Q
11
    Α
         Correct.
12
         Have you ever given Liberty Utilities copies of
13
         any documents showing what payments you made?
14
         Liberty Utilities has never requested it.
15
         no.
16
    Q
         But you're the one challenging the bill,
17
         correct?
18
    Α
         No, sir. I'm answering your questions.
19
         Okay. This morning -- or, this afternoon, ten
    Q
20
         minutes ago you said "I don't owe anything", or
21
         words to that effect.
22
         That is correct.
    Α
23
         And my question is, did you present Liberty
24
         Utilities any evidence of payments you've made
```

```
1
         that would change the balance due as Liberty
 2
         believes it to be?
 3
    Α
         Liberty never requested it. So, no.
 4
         Do you have any today?
    Q
 5
         No, I do not.
 6
         You came here today to challenge the bill.
    Q
 7
         didn't bring any records showing what you paid?
         The issues regarding the challenge to the bill,
 8
    Α
         of the statute of limitations, and the fact
9
10
         that Liberty Utilities never provided any of
11
         the services they're claiming under the
12
         National Grid, National Grid allegedly would
13
         have provided those services, never pursued any
14
         litigation, never pursued any payments on
15
         those, and that that goes back to approximately
16
         16 years ago. And now Liberty Utilities is
17
         saying that, because they have a printed
18
         document prepared by Jessica A. for the
19
         purposes of this litigation, that I owe Liberty
20
         Utilities for services alleged owed and due to
21
         National Grid, which is forfeiture and/or
22
         waiver.
23
         Let me break that into two pieces. From the
24
         time that Liberty Utilities took over to the
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         present, assuming your "statute of limitations"
 2
         argument has merit, which I do not accept, do
 3
         you owe any money for that period?
         No, I do not.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         And did you prove any payments made during that
 6
         period?
 7
    Α
         There were Fuel Assistance payments on the
         account that were made.
 8
         Were there any checks from Judith Tompson to
 9
10
         Liberty Utilities during that period?
11
         I do not believe so.
12
         You did make a $9 payment, I believe?
13
         Oh, that's correct, yes. And then, after that,
14
         I think there were a couple of payments, too.
15
         And then they stopped, correct?
16
    Α
         The payment arrangement via custom and usage
17
         between the parties has been acceptance of the
18
         Fuel Assistance payments, and that is what has
19
         been paid on the account.
20
         That wasn't my question, ma'am. You made a
21
         payment of $9 in the Fall of 2018. You
22
         mentioned that before, and you recall that,
23
         correct?
24
         As a result -- correct. As a result of the
    Α
```

```
1
         final notice, the Final Bill.
 2
    Q
         And then you just said you made a couple more
 3
         payments in the Fall of 2018, correct?
 4
    Α
         I believe so, yes.
         And why did you make those payments?
 6
         Because I had received the Final Bill in the
 7
         mail.
         And why did you stop making those payments for
 8
    Q
         the months since the Fall of 2018?
9
10
         The Fuel Assistance has been paying the bill.
    Α
11
         Is it your position that Fuel Assistance should
12
         be paying all of your bills year-round?
13
         I am allotted a specified amount of money, an
14
         eligibility amount of money by Fuel Assistance.
15
         And the expectation is that the Fuel Assistance
16
         is being applied to the Liberty Utilities bill
17
         in full and exhausting the full amount.
18
         Liberty Utilities has never sent me any
19
         notification that that's not the case. So, I
20
         have been under the understanding that it has
21
         applied to all of the bills or any bills that
22
         exist.
23
         One of the exhibits in this case is a letter
24
         from Ms. Patterson to you in August of, I
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         believe, of '18. Do you recall that letter?
         I don't have it in front of me.
 2
    Α
 3
         It's Liberty's Exhibit 15.
    Q
         I don't have it before me.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         I handed you a copy two hours ago, and I mailed
 6
         you a copy last week. Is there a place we can
 7
         find it for you?
 8
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Oh. Thank you, Rorie.
                    MS. PATTERSON: May I approach?
9
10
                         [Ms. Patterson handing document
11
                         to the witness.]
12
                    WITNESS TOMPSON: I have Exhibit 15.
13
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
14
         Do recall receiving that letter?
15
    Α
         Yes.
16
    Q
         And in that letter, it recounts a conversation
17
         between you and Ms. Patterson about what your
18
         complaints were, and some of Ms. Patterson's
19
         responses to those issues, correct?
20
    Α
         Yes.
21
         And doesn't Ms. Patterson explain how the Fuel
22
         Assistance Program works?
23
         I don't know.
    Α
24
         Second full paragraph. This is characterizing
```

```
1
         your understanding of how Fuel Assistance
 2
         works. Correct?
 3
    Α
         Yes.
 4
         If you go to the next page, at the bottom, the
    Q
 5
         paragraph begins: "You asked me for written
 6
         support of the policy that requires fuel
 7
         assistance benefits to be paid only for current
 8
         charges (instead of unpaid balances) and only
9
         during certain months of the year." Do you see
10
         that?
11
         Yes.
    Α
12
         And then, the letter goes on to explain the
13
         source of that practice or policy, correct?
14
    Α
         Yes.
15
         Do you dispute that?
16
         I'm sorry, do I dispute that that's what it
17
         says? No, I don't.
18
    Q
         Do you dispute that that's how the Program
19
         works?
20
         I don't know. No. I don't know. Up until
21
         filing the complaint, it was my understanding
22
         that the Fuel Assistance would cover
23
         everything. And that Liberty Utility, if it
24
         didn't, would have notified me in writing that
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

1 the full amount hadn't been exhausted on the 2 account. 3 And can you acknowledge that your understanding Q 4 may be wrong? 5 I can acknowledge that it may be wrong. And if it is wrong, if the Program works as 6 Q 7 explained in Ms. Patterson's letter, then the bills you incurred over the summer would not be 8 9 covered by Fuel Assistance, correct? 10 That's not correct at all, because I was Α 11 under the impression that they were. 12 No, no. You didn't hear me. Assuming Q 13 Ms. Patterson's description of the Program is 14 correct, and she says "the bills are only" --15 "the Fuel Assistance only pays for winter 16 bills, not summer", if she is correct, then you 17 would be responsible for the summer bills? 18 Α No. That's not true. And I disagree. 19 Q Okay. 20 And the reason that I disagree is because 21 Liberty Utilities has an obligation to notify 22 me of what has and has not been applied, and 23 has never done that, except for November 2018, 24 and just to -- in lieu of this litigation, just

```
1
         began sending me notifications. Because I
         hadn't been notified of that fact, --
 2
 3
         Every bill --
    Q
         -- I didn't have the ability to go back to the
 4
    Α
 5
         Fuel Assistance Program and argue that point.
 6
         Every bill you get, where Fuel Assistance made
    Q
 7
         a payment or a payment came from Fuel
         Assistance, says so?
 8
9
         No, sir, it doesn't. At most, it would say a
    Α
10
         "credit". But it doesn't specifically state
11
         that it's from Fuel Assistance.
12
         And where did you think that credit was from?
    Q
13
         I'd have to assume.
14
         And the other part of the Fuel Assistance, Fuel
15
         Assistance gives you a allotment for the
16
         winter. I think you mentioned it was 600 and
17
         some dollars, and this recent winter you
18
         received a supplement, is that correct?
         Yes. I received a supplement this year and
19
    Α
20
         last year.
21
         Right. And part of Ms. Patterson's letter
22
         describes that you don't get all of that money,
23
         you only get the portion of that money that you
24
         actually use during the winter.
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

1 Α But there is a waiver --2 Q My question is first, that's what she says in 3 the letter, correct? 4 Α Where do you see that? 5 Q The Page 3 of the letter quotes from the 6 Manual. The very last paragraph of that 7 indented section, "The unpaid bill becomes the first payment deducted when a credit is 8 9 established". That's not it. 10 The next paragraph says it's only paid 11 "during the heating season". And then, the next paragraph above the box, Ms. Desmarais, 12 13 from the Fuel Assistance Program, said "Due to your low usage, only a portion of the benefits 14 15 would be paid to Liberty". Meaning you didn't 16 use all the benefits available, simply because 17 you didn't use enough electricity, correct? 18 I see the chart, which says that there were 19 unused portions in 2018, \$753 and a penny; on '16 -- the year '16/17, it was 481.20; the year 20 21 '15/16, unused portion was \$312.09; and the years '14/15, the unused portion was \$230.72; 22 23 and the years 13/14 was 400.81; and the years

'12 and '13, the unused portion was \$487.48.

24

68

1 All of which could have been -- all of which should have been used, because it was an 2 3 eligibility amount. And I could have petitioned Fuel Assistance had I been notified 4 5 by Liberty Utilities that there were unused 6 portions of my eligibility amounts, but I was 7 never notified in order to pursue through Fuel Assistance in order to have those other moneys 8 9 applied. 10 Ma'am, right at the end it says "If you have 11 questions, you can call Ms. Desmarais". Did 12 you? Who is Ms. Desmarais? 13 14 If you read the letter, she's the 15 representative of the Fuel Assistance Program? 16 Α I had already made a decision --17 The question was, did you call her? Q 18 I had no reason to call her back. 19 Go to the page before, the bottom of Page 2 is Q 20 what I was looking for, the very last paragraph. Where Ms. Patterson quotes from 21 22 Page 9 of the Manual, and it says, in italics, "All credits with vendors terminate and unused 23 24 benefit balances roll back into the program."

```
1
         Do you see that?
 2
    Α
         I see it.
 3
         And if that's correct, then you aren't entitled
 4
         to those extra amounts you just read in the
 5
         "Unused" portion of the column, correct?
 6
              That's not true. It is not --
 7
         That's your understanding of the Program.
         Ms. Patterson has a different understanding of
 8
9
         the Program, correct?
10
         There are general rules, and there are
    Α
11
         exceptions to rules. And on Page -- in the
12
         Manual, in the Fuel Assistance Manual, Page 31,
13
         which is your Exhibit 22, it states, under
14
         "Waivers": "This Fuel Assistance Program
15
         Procedures Manual is not intended to cover
16
         every possible situation that may arise.
17
         Therefore, the...Directors are encouraged to
18
         use the waiver process when they determine that
19
         a program policy or procedure, or lack thereof,
20
         causes undue hardship for an applicant."
21
              Which would indicate that, at the end of
22
         that period, had Liberty Utilities notified me
23
         of what the total amount had been, which they
24
         haven't done, what had been applied, which they
```

haven't notified me of, and what unused portion that still existed as part of my entitlement, that was determined based on my financial hardship and issue, then I would have taken that information from Liberty Utilities and I would have gone to the Fuel Assistance Program and applied for some form of waiver and made an attempt to get that money. And if it was owed to Liberty Utilities, then it would have been paid.

But, because Liberty Utilities never sent me any notifications, but for the final exhibit that they issued in this case, dated

November 2018, I never had any notification, and the PUC regulations state that I'm supposed to get those notifications from Liberty. So, because it didn't happen, I was unable to get a waiver. So, that is my contention with the Manual.

- Q Ma'am, your Exhibit C, please turn to that.
- 21 A Yes.

- Q This is a notification in November of 2015 that your allotment for that winter is \$675,
- 24 correct?

```
1
    Α
         Yes.
         So, you did receive notice of what your
 2
    Q
 3
         allotment was for that winter, correct?
 4
    Α
         I received notice each year from the Fuel
 5
         Assistance Program. But I never received any
 6
         notice from Liberty Utilities.
 7
         So, you knew exactly how much money you were
 8
         entitled to get for that winter, $675, correct?
9
         But I didn't know how much had actually been
10
         applied.
11
         You knew, because every bill would show the
12
         credits of what was applied to the December
13
         bill, January bill, February bill, etcetera.
14
         Correct?
15
         That negates the PUC regulation, which states
16
         that Liberty Utilities is supposed to notify me
17
         of what I have -- what I have on the account,
18
         what has been used, and what has not been used,
19
         which Liberty Utilities did not do.
20
              If I could show you, you know, Exhibit
21
         Number F also states "This is an automatic
22
         benefit. You do not need to contact us for
23
         approval. Vendors will be notified directly."
24
         And all payments between Liberty Utilities and
```

1 the Fuel Assistance Program are electronic 2 between the two parties. I have nothing to do 3 When I fill out my application and I send it. 4 in my information, they generate a letter 5 saying "This is what your total amount about 6 benefits are." That's all I know. Everything 7 else is conducted electronically between Liberty Utilities and the Fuel Assistance 8 9 Unless and until Liberty Utilities Program. 10 notifies me of exactly what has and has not 11 been paid and if there's any unused portion 12 left, I don't have any information to take back 13 to the Fuel Assistance Program and say "Hey, 14 you know, I have X amount of dollars that are 15 unused. I'd like to apply that to the Liberty 16 Utilities bill." 17 And you know you can't apply it to past due 18 amounts? 19 Not with the waiver. 20 Okay. 21 If it's a hardship exception, I could -- I can 22 make that attempt. And I have not been allowed 23 to make that attempt, because Liberty Utilities

is not notifying me about what has and has not

24

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         been used.
 2
         We've heard you say that about six times now.
    Q
 3
    Α
         Okay. Well, --
         Turn to Page -- Exhibit 16 -- Exhibit 20,
 4
    Q
 5
         Page 1. It's a bill from Liberty just this
 6
         past winter, December of '18.
 7
         I don't have -- I don't have Exhibit 16.
 8
         Okay. That's okay. I'll move on. You
    Q
         mentioned the order from the Salem District
9
10
         Court between -- and that was the eviction
11
         proceeding between you and your landlord,
12
         correct?
13
         That is an order to protect me from not having
14
         electricity.
15
         My question was, that's an order in the case
16
         between you and your landlord, the eviction
17
         proceeding, correct?
         It was filed in Salem 10th Circuit Court in the
18
    Α
19
         eviction proceeding case.
20
         And the eviction, they were seeking eviction
21
         for what reason?
22
         Good cause.
23
         Nonpayment?
24
    Α
         No.
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
    Q
         And the order there that says "power shall stay
         on" is directed at the landlord, correct?
 2
 3
    Α
         No. It's not specifically directed at the
 4
         landlord. If you read the document, it doesn't
 5
         specifically state --
 6
         I read the document.
 7
         It doesn't specifically state that the landlord
         should take over the account, to link the
 8
9
         account. What it specifically stated was that
10
         the electricity should stay on because I have a
         medical condition.
11
12
         Liberty Utilities was not a party to that
    Q
13
         eviction proceeding, correct?
14
         That's correct.
15
         The order had zero official authority over
    Q
16
         Liberty Utilities, because we were not a party
17
         and did not know of that case, correct?
18
    Α
         No.
              That's not correct.
         We knew of that case after the order came out,
19
    Q
20
         correct?
21
         This is a standing order for protection of the
22
         electric service while I'm in possession of the
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

Liberty Utility wasn't a party to the case,

property. So, the argument that, because

23

24

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         doesn't have any binding effect on a standing
 2
         court order.
 3
         Orders can't bind people who aren't ordered to
    Q
 4
         do anything, correct?
 5
         This order binds that the electricity remain on
 6
         while I'm at 9 Lancelot Court, Unit Number 8.
 7
         That order doesn't bind Mike Sheehan, being a
    Q
 8
         citizen of Concord, correct?
9
         I'm sorry? I don't understand the question.
    Α
10
         For example, I live in Concord. That order
    Q
11
         wouldn't bind me to anything, right, because I
12
         wasn't a party to that order? Correct?
13
              I don't understand your question.
14
         All right.
15
    Α
         It's a hypothetical. I'm sorry, I don't
16
         understand your question. The purpose of
17
         the order --
18
    Q
         That's fine. I have no further questions.
19
    Α
         May I finish responding?
20
         Okay.
21
         The motion to order continued electricity on
22
         the property is electricity is necessary for
23
         the safety while defendant lives at 9 Lancelot
```

Court -- 9 Lancelot Court, Unit Number 8.

This

24

is Exhibit Number I. It was granted on July
2 12, 2018.

Exhibit J, specifically states "Only twice, in those 18 years, has any electric utility company ever sought to disconnect electric services; which is Liberty Utilities, immediately after receiving Notice of an Eviction." And then, it goes on to state again that "Electricity is a necessity".

Disconnection of [the] electric service is a hardship; which includes, but not limited to:", and then lists out all those reasons. That was submitted to the Court. It's a true and attested copy. And it was granted in favor of Judith Tompson.

The affidavit that is attached, which is

There is nothing in this document which states that Madhu Gaddam, doing business as Madhu Estates, is ordered to transfer electric service into his name. And that there's some sort of a misunderstanding on that part.

Moreover, the PUC regulations don't allow it.

I was the customer of record. I continue to be the customer of record. There's been an

```
1
         ongoing dispute, which may have fatigued and/or
         infuriated the staff at Liberty Utilities, but
 2
 3
         it doesn't warrant disconnecting my electric
         service.
 4
 5
    Q
         Ma'am?
 6
         And the order is a standing order.
 7
    0
         Ma'am?
         That's been submitted both to Liberty
 8
    Α
         Utilities.
9
         The date of that order is what?
10
11
         The motion is dated June 25th, 2018, and the
12
         order was granted on July 12th, 2018.
13
         We know now, from the records in front of you,
14
         that Liberty had requested disconnection of you
15
         before that time, correct?
16
    Α
         I believe so.
17
         Madhu knew that, presumably, correct?
    Q
         I don't know what Madhu knew.
18
19
         Madhu now is under an obligation to make sure
    Q
20
         electric service stays on in your apartment,
21
         because there's an order saying that he has to
22
         do it, correct?
23
         No. That's not what the order says at all.
24
    Q
         Okay.
```

```
CMSR. BAILEY: Off the record.
 1
                         [Brief off-the-record discussion
 2
 3
                         ensued.]
 4
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Would this be a good
 5
         time for a break, Mr. Sheehan?
 6
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. Thank you.
 7
                   CMSR. BAILEY: All right. Let's take
         a ten minute break and we'll come back shortly
 8
9
         after 2:30.
10
                         [Recess taken at 2:24 p.m.
11
                         and the hearing resumed at
12
                         2:43 p.m.]
13
                   MR. SHEEHAN: To help with the
14
         exhibit thing, the confusion over having them,
15
         I have -- Sandy suggested maybe I give the
16
         Commissioners the versions I brought today that
17
         show the confidential treatment. I can give
18
         Ms. Tompson another copy to have up at the
19
         bench, so we can all make sure that there's
20
         continuity, if that's okay with you?
21
                   CMSR. BAILEY: That would be great.
22
                   WITNESS TOMPSON: And I do have a
23
         copy of what Attorney Sheehan gave me earlier
24
         today.
```

```
1
                   MR. SHEEHAN: So, maybe it's not
 2
         necessary.
                        [Atty. Sheehan distributing
 3
                        documents to Commissioners.]
 4
 5
                   CMSR. BAILEY: All right. Ms.
         Tompson, I'll remind you that you're still
 6
 7
         under oath.
                   And, Mr. Sheehan, you have additional
 8
         questions?
 9
                   MR. SHEEHAN: I don't. I've decided
10
         I've asked enough. And I have no further
11
12
         questions.
13
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Oh. Okay. Thank you.
14
         Ms. Schwarzer?
15
                   MS. SCHWARZER: No questions. Thank
16
         you.
17
                   CMSR. BAILEY: No. Nope. You're not
18
         finished. We get to ask questions.
19
                   WITNESS TOMPSON: Sorry.
20
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Commissioner Giaimo.
21
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: We've only just begun.
22
         I thank you for being here.
23
                   WITNESS TOMPSON: Thank you.
24
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
```

```
1
    Q
         I want to make sure I understand what I think
 2
         is a philosophy you have, which is, if you were
 3
         to pay anything, it would be an admission of
         quilt or an admission of indebtedness. Is that
 4
 5
         right? Do I have that right?
 6
         Yes, sir. It would be a reaffirmation of debt,
 7
         which I am disputing. That's correct.
         So, if I were to ask you if you owed anything,
 8
    Q
9
         you couldn't answer that?
10
         I would state "zero", sir.
    Α
11
         Okay. So, at any time did you ever think that
12
         there was a chance that your yearly benefits
13
         did not cover the entirety of your electricity
14
         bill for the year?
         No, sir. Because it's a -- the total amount
15
    Α
16
         was $675, which I don't accrue during the year.
17
         It's a two-bedroom unit. The majority of any
18
         excess that is used is during the summer
         months, because I have a dehumidifier that runs
19
20
         during the summer in order to pull -- I pull
21
         about a gallon of water out a day because of
22
         the humidity, and a fan, and sometimes I have
23
         the AC going. So, the actual accrual rates are
24
         higher in the summer than they are in the
```

```
1
         wintertime. So, the full 675 would cover for
 2
         the full year, actually, because of the type of
 3
         unit it is.
 4
         And you've done the math, and you know that
    Q
 5
         every year the amount of money received from
 6
         the government was less than the amount of
 7
         electricity you were billed for?
         I don't understand the question.
 8
    Α
9
         Do you know that, for every year, the total
10
         amount that was to be allocated to you would
11
         cover the entirety of your electricity bills
12
         for the year? Do you know that?
13
         I believe so, yes.
14
         You believe so?
15
         I believe so, yes.
16
    Q
         Okay. But you haven't --
17
         Because I haven't been able to apply it, so I
18
         can't actually tell you that I've actually
19
         applied it. But, yes, it should cover it.
20
         Okay. So, if I went back and looked through
21
         the information that was provided, I could
22
         figure out the 12-month total billed, and then
23
         I could find out how much the government
24
         allotted to you and determine whether or not
```

1 there -- whether or not the amount of money you 2 received covered what you were billed? 3 Α Right. Well, there's an example here, on 4 Exhibit 15, the defendant's Exhibit 15, all of 5 the unused portions, the total accrued amounts over the years of one, two, three, four, five, 6 7 six, over the past seven years, six years. One, two, three, four five, over the past six 8 9 years. Last year, they didn't use \$753.01; it 10 was \$481.20 in the year before; \$312.09 in the 11 year before; \$230.72 in the year before; 12 \$400.81 the year before; and \$487.48 in the 13 year prior to that. 14 So, those accruals should have covered it 15 for everything else. 16 Q The numbers you were just reading to me were 17 the yearly amounts you were given by the 18 federal government for six-month periods or 19 your total bill for those years? 20 That's the unused amounts that were not 21 applied to Liberty Utilities, that existed on 22 the account as eligibility amounts that I was 23 unaware hadn't been applied, that I could have 24 petitioned Liberty for -- I mean, petitioned

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         the -- petitioned the Fuel Assistance Program
         for, if I had known they hadn't been used.
 2
 3
              The total benefit amount last year was
 4
                The following five years was $675.
 5
         Those are the total benefits for the year.
 6
         For the year?
 7
         No. For the allotted timeframe.
         For the six-month period, correct?
 8
9
         Correct. For the Fuel Assistance, correct.
10
         The Fuel Assistance period, correct.
11
         Okay. When you received your monthly bills --
    0
12
         you did receive the monthly bills?
13
         Yes.
14
         When you saw that number continually
15
         increasing, every month it went up for most
16
         months, correct?
17
         No. When the Fuel Assistance was being
18
         applied, there would be a credit on the
19
         account. So, it didn't go up.
20
         In the summer months?
21
         In the summer months.
22
         In the summer months, you're saying that the --
23
         at no time during any of the summer months did
24
         the amount owed on your bill go up?
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1 A In the summer months, yes.
```

- 2 Q It did?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And you -- that never made you concerned? You
- 5 were never concerned that your bills were going
- 6 up and you were not paying for them?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Can you tell us what type of heat you have in
- 9 your unit?
- 10 A It's baseboard electric.
- 11 Q You have electric heat?
- 12 | A I have baseboard electric heat. I have a
- thermo -- I have a temperature gauge on the
- 14 wall --
- 15 Q Uh-huh.
- 16 A -- that regulates the heat.
- 17 Q So, is it -- it's not -- it's not gas, it's not
- 18 oil. It's actual electric baseboard heating?
- 19 A Right. And my thermostat, I regulate the heat
- 20 with that. Yes.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 CMSR. GIAIMO: Please. Interject.
- 23 BY CMSR. BAILEY:
- 24 | Q Do you live in the unit during the winter

```
1
         months?
 2
    Α
         Yes.
 3
         Do you sleep there every night?
    Q
 4
    Α
         Yes.
 5
    Q
         What do you set the temperature at?
         It's about 69. I like to keep it at 69,
 6
 7
         because I don't like it hot. The only time
         that I'll turn it up is, if it goes below 32,
 8
9
         my window in the bedroom is -- they replaced
10
         the window in the bedroom, so it's much
         thinner. And there's a crust of -- it builds
11
12
         up in the wintertime. So, I have to turn it
13
         up. So, I'll turn it up to maybe about 81 or
14
         82 in the winter.
15
              But my costs consistently, in the
16
         wintertime, have been much lower than my
17
         electric costs in the summertime. Because in
18
         the summer I have a dehumidifier running
19
         continually. I have a fan, because I'm home
20
         most of the time, so I have a fan running. And
21
         then, when it gets really hot, like in the 90s,
22
         I'll turn the AC on for a period, and then,
         when it gets cooler, I'll turn it off.
23
24
              So, my winter costs, I think on average,
```

```
1
         they may be about -- I think they're about $30
 2
         or something a month. They're not very high,
 3
         the winter costs, on this account. They're
 4
         much lower than the summer costs; summer costs
 5
         are higher.
 6
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: Okay. Thanks.
 7
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
         At one point, you said you know that there was
 8
9
         no knock on your door. How could you be
10
         certain of that?
11
         Oh, I can't be certain. But I didn't hear a
12
         knock.
13
         You didn't hear a knock. Okay. Do you
14
         traditionally answer your door when someone
15
         knocks on it?
16
    Α
         If I hear it.
17
         So, you mentioned that Liberty doesn't have a
    Q
18
         dispute resolution mechanism in place, and then
19
         you said "nor does the PUC". Would you -- if I
20
         were to suggest to you that this here is your
21
         dispute resolution, would you --
22
         Well, no, I understand. That's why I filed the
23
         complaint. I mean, I looked to see if there
24
         were any standardized forms where you could
```

87

1 fill out, and, you know, I have a dispute with the utility company, I would expect that 2 3 Liberty would have some sort of form for dispute resolutions. They don't have it. 4 And 5 I looked under the PUC regulations, and I 6 didn't see anything relative to that under the 7 PUC. So, I understand that the hearing process 8 9 is the process that by which you would go 10 through. 11 I thought I heard you say that the medical 12 hardship had been granted for every year since 13 2011, is that correct? 14 I believe so, yes. 15 Nothing prior to that? 16 It could be. I couldn't find any documentation 17 to support it. I don't remember when I first 18 started applying. Whenever I realized that the 19 program existed, I started applying. And I 20 went back and tried to find paperwork, and I 21 went back as far as 2011. So, it's possible 22 there was years before. I don't know, because 23 I don't have any paperwork in front of me. 24 I don't remember.

88

```
1
    Q
         Okay. And is it possible, as part of Liberty's
 2
         acquisition of National Grid, that they -- that
 3
         Liberty assumed the responsibility of
 4
         collecting outstanding balances and assumed
 5
         that obligation?
 6
         If they did assume that obligation, they would
 7
         still have to -- they'd be required to adhere
         to a three-year statute of limitations.
 8
9
         Moreover, I don't believe that they would have
10
         access to be able to claim that money, because
11
         they never provided any -- they never -- they
12
         didn't provide the service. I mean, Liberty
13
         Utilities was not privy [sic] with National
14
         Grid when National Grid was providing services.
15
         And even if they purchased the company after
16
         the fact, Liberty Utility never provided those
17
         services. So, they would not be entitled to
18
         now claim that money that's alleged owed due
19
         from 16 years ago to National Grid Liberty now
20
         has the ability to claim. Which is what
21
         they're doing in this case.
22
         Effectively, what you laid out is a situation
23
         where no utility would ever buy another
24
         utility?
```

WITNESS: Tompson]

1 Α I'm not familiar with the corporate contracts 2 between utility companies. But I would imagine 3 that there must be some sort of stipulation, in 4 terms of then they purchased the contract, 5 whether or not they're accepting the debt, and 6 if they're assuming the debt on this, then that 7 is a part of doing business. But, as a consumer, there's a three-year 8 9 statute of limitations that they can go back 10 from. And that's 16 years ago. That's 11 beginning 16 years ago, up to 2014. So,

they're not entitled to that.

- So, your argument is that the statute of limitation tolls at the time in which the bill comes out. But, if you receive a bill every month saying you owe more and more each month, when, in that -- I guess I don't understand when the statute of limitations is tolling in your --
- 20 My understanding --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 21 -- in your analysis?
- 22 My understanding is that the statute of 23 limitations tolls at the time of that you knew 24 or should have known of the injury. National

Grid would have had knowledge of the injury every month that they were billing me. They did nothing. They never sent any notifications to me in writing saying "Hey, you know, you need to set up a payment plan." They didn't file a lawsuit, and they didn't pursue any of it. They apparently let it run, so to speak, for years, from, in the documentation, from 2003 up to 2014. They then sell the company.

And I'm not -- I don't know what happens when a company is sold or what agreements were or were not made. But the three-year statute of limitations would still apply. Because they waived and/or forfeited their rights in that, Liberty Utilities can't pick it up and now say "Hey, you owe us, we didn't provide the service to you, but you owe us, because National Grid provided service to you, you know, 16 years ago, so pay us and set up a payment plan for this."

And my issue is that there's a three-year statute of limitations, it was forfeited and/or waived by National Grid, and Liberty Utilities

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         has no standing to even pursue that, that
 2
         money.
 3
         Thank you for the articulation.
    Q
 4
    Α
         Thank you.
 5
         I had another question, and this is my last
 6
         question. I asked you a philosophical question
 7
         at the start if you -- about whether or not
 8
         there's an admission of guilt, and how that
9
         factors into your thinking. If there was money
10
         due, are you willing to set up a plan?
11
         I don't believe that there is money due.
12
                    CMSR. GIAIMO: Yes. That's it.
13
                    WITNESS TOMPSON:
                                      Thank you.
14
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
15
         Do you have Caller ID on your phone?
16
    Α
         No, I do not.
17
         Do you have a fax machine?
18
    Α
         No.
19
         How did you fax these materials?
20
         I either go to the local library or I go to
21
         Staples.
22
         Can you look at your Exhibit F?
23
         I have it.
    Α
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

Can you read the sentence in the middle of the

24

```
1
         page that's bolded?
         "All unused Fuel Assistance Program credits
 2
    Α
 3
         will expire on April 30th, 2018."
         And what you do you think that means?
 4
    Q
 5
         I believe that the program for the Fuel
 6
         Assistance, the credits expire on April 30th,
 7
         2018.
         So, for the rest of 2018, you didn't have any
 8
    Q
         Fuel Assistance.
9
10
         But, if I had notification from Liberty
11
         Utilities that there was an unused portion of
12
         that amount that I had been allotted, then I
         could have filed a waiver with them, in order
13
14
         to try and obtain the rest of that money.
15
         Have you filed a waiver this year?
16
         No.
              I haven't exhausted the option, and I
17
         haven't exhausted all of my Fuel Assistance
18
         yet. And I haven't received any notification
19
         from Liberty.
20
         Well, and under what rule is Liberty required
21
         to notify you that you can apply for a Fuel
22
         Assistance waiver?
23
              There is no rule specific to that.
    Α
24
         there's a rule that they're supposed to notify
```

```
1
         me of the Fuel Assistance, what I'm entitled
 2
         to, and the amounts that have been used.
 3
         That's a PUC regulation.
 4
         Okay. And you've received a letter every
    Q
 5
         year --
 6
         No.
 7
         -- about the entitlement for the year?
         From Fuel Assistance only, not from Liberty
 8
         Utilities. The first year that I had received
9
10
         it was in November of this year, they sent me a
11
         letter for the very first time.
12
         Who? Liberty?
    Q
13
         Liberty. Yes. They've never sent me any
14
         notifications prior to that.
15
         But Fuel Assistance did send you --
16
    Α
         Every year I get a notice, just a piece of
17
         paper notifying me, which is the exhibits,
18
         notifying me that I have a total amount of
19
         money. But I have no idea how it was being
20
         applied unless Liberty notifies me. And then I
21
         would be able to take that notification from
22
         Liberty that there are unused portions of my
23
         entitlement that they granted to me, and then I
24
         could go back and ask for them to apply it or
```

```
1
         give it to Liberty or give it to me or whoever
         it's owed to. I have not been able to do that,
 2
 3
         because Liberty has never notified me of what
         has and has not been used.
 4
 5
    Q
         Well, isn't the credit from Fuel Assistance on
 6
         your bill?
 7
         There's a credit on the bill.
    Α
         Well, isn't that notice? I mean, you know
 8
9
         that's coming from Fuel Assistance, don't you?
10
         I do. But they're required to notify me. And
11
         I would need something more than just the
12
         bills, because I -- I wouldn't be able to make
13
         my argument without having that documentation
14
         from Liberty saying "This is the amount that
15
         you were allotted, this is the amount that was
16
         applied, this is the amount and how it was
17
         applied, and these are the unused amounts that
18
         are left."
              If I had that document, then I could go
19
20
         and I could apply, I could file that waiver.
21
         You could also add up the credits every month
22
         and keep track of it on your own, could you
23
         not?
24
         That's true. But I wouldn't have any evidence
    Α
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         to that fact. It would be me, you know,
         calculating and adding in, because the bill
 2
 3
         itself doesn't specifically state "Fuel
         Assistance" on it, it just says a "credit".
 4
 5
         The credit could be something that I paid or it
 6
         could be Fuel Assistance. So, when I made
 7
         those payments, the payments in 2008 [sic],
 8
         those came up as "credits". It didn't
 9
         specifically state that I had made those
10
         payments or Fuel Assistance had made those
11
         payments.
12
         In 2008 or 2018?
    Q
13
         2018, I'm sorry.
14
         Did you make three payments?
15
    Α
         I believe so.
16
    Q
         So, you know when you've made payments?
17
    Α
         Right. Granted.
18
    Q
         And when you didn't make payments and there was
19
         a credit, --
20
    Α
         Right.
21
         -- it must have come from Fuel Assistance,
22
         right?
23
         It's possible that I could add it up myself.
    Α
24
         But Liberty Utilities is required, according to
```

the PUC regulations, Liberty Utilities is
required to notify. And billing — the purpose
of billing is in order to make a payment. The
purpose of billing isn't to say what has been
applied or not applied to Fuel Assistance.

It's a tracking mechanism. The intention of a
bill is in order to pay the accrual. It's not
to track the Fuel Assistance, which means that
the Public Utilities Commission requires that
Liberty Utilities notify you separately stating
what has been acquired — accrued.

They did it this year. Because of this litigation, they sent me a notice for the very first time in all these years. So, they know they should have been doing it all along. And they did that, and made it one of the exhibits, because they know they're supposed to have done it. They haven't done it for years prior, and they should have. Because if they had, then I would have used that information to go back and try and advocate for myself with a waiver.

- Q And when would you do that?
- A Hopefully, before April. If not, then I would wait until that I exhausted those -- exhausted

WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
         that program, and then I would cite for waiver
 2
         that I was allotted a certain amount of money,
 3
         a certain amount was applied, there's X amount
         left, and would ask and file a waiver to see if
 4
 5
         I could do something in terms of getting
 6
         payments.
 7
         And if it expires on April 30th, would you have
 8
         to do it before that?
         I don't know. At this point, I don't know.
9
10
         But, when I get the notification from Liberty,
11
         then I would, in a timely manner, you know, use
12
         that information in order to go ahead and
13
         advocate. But I never got those notices. So,
14
         I've never had the ability to do that.
15
         Can you look at Page 2 of your Petition?
16
         I'm sorry. I don't have my Petition in front
17
         of me.
18
    Q
         Oh. All right. Well, I'll read it to you.
19
                   MS. PATTERSON: I have it.
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
21
         You define "customer". And it says ""customer"
```

20

22

23

24

means "any person", and then there's a lot of other things, "who has contracted for electric service from a utility." Do you -- does that

```
1
         sound familiar?
 2
    Α
         Okay. Yes.
         What do you think is the "contract"?
 3
    Q
         There's no signed contract. And Liberty
 4
    Α
 5
         Utilities is the sole distributor in Salem, New
 6
         Hampshire. They have been granted sole
 7
         distribution in the town. I have no choice
 8
         about who the utility is. So, by virtue of
 9
         living in Salem, New Hampshire, I'm mandated to
10
         obtain services from this particular entity.
         Are you aware that you're not mandated to
11
12
         obtain energy service from this particular --
         Yes. That's correct. Just the distribution.
13
14
                 So, you've contracted with them just
15
         for distribution or do you pay energy/default
16
         service to them as well?
17
         I believe the whole thing is paid to Liberty.
18
         I haven't signed anything with anybody else.
19
         So, everything is coming from Liberty. But you
20
         can't -- even if you set up a payment in order
21
         for the other services, distribution can only
22
         come from Liberty. So, I have no choice in the
23
         distributor.
24
                 What is -- I mean, a contract is you
         Right.
```

```
1
         request to receive something, and it's usually
 2
         two-sided, right?
 3
    Α
         It should be. That's my understanding.
         Okay. So, what is your side of the contract?
 4
    Q
 5
         Electricity is a necessity. And by living in
 6
         Salem, I can only get the distribution through
 7
         Liberty Utilities.
         So, you've asked Liberty Utilities essentially
 8
    Q
9
         to provide electric service to you, because
10
         they're the only one you can ask?
11
         Well, I haven't asked. I mean, when I moved
    Α
12
         in, they were the only supplier -- well, when I
13
         moved in, National Grid was the only supplier,
14
         and then Liberty Utilities purchased. I don't
15
         have a choice. I mean, I'm not choosing to
16
         contract with Liberty Utilities, because I
17
         can't. The Public Utilities Commission issues
18
         the jurisdiction as to who gets the contract.
19
         Liberty Utilities currently has it.
20
         Well, you do have a choice to buy electric
21
         service or not buy electric service.
22
         Well, I need electric service. It's a
23
         necessity. I have -- but I have no choice in
24
         who the distributor is. If I could find
```

```
1
         another distributor and work with somebody
         else, and not work with Liberty, I would do
 2
 3
         that. I can't do that. I called the Town Hall
         and asked, and they said "No, we don't have any
 4
 5
         control over it. Call the PUC." I called the
 6
         PUC and asked, and talked with a few people,
 7
         and they said "No. It's a contract, and you
         have no control over it." I said "Okay, fine."
 8
9
         So, it's a contract, but you don't --
10
         It's a contract between the Public Utilities
11
         Commission and Liberty Utilities. And by
         virtue of Liberty in Salem, I have to take the
12
13
         distribution from whoever the PUC has
14
         contracted with and/or regulated with, and they
15
         have exclusive jurisdiction. So, I don't
16
         really have a choice. So, I don't know that
17
         you could even call it a "contract". I mean,
18
         it's a -- I'm receiving a necessary service
19
         from the only entity that's available, which
20
         would go to the argument of disconnection,
21
         where there is no other option for me to go to,
22
         is almost punitive or cruel.
23
         Even though you've never made a payment to
24
         Liberty?
```

```
1
    Α
         They were getting the Fuel Assistance payments,
 2
         and those are payments. Payments are payments
 3
         regardless of who's making those payments.
 4
         was my understanding that Fuel Assistance would
 5
         cover all of those payments. When Liberty
 6
         Utility never sent me notification of what had
 7
         been applied and what hadn't been applied,
 8
         disallowing me to be able to go back and file
 9
         any -- anything, the waivers, complaints,
10
         issues, letters, whatever it is I could have
11
         filed on Fuel Assistance, you know, it stopped
12
         me from being able to assert that right.
13
         When did Ms. Patterson send you the Fuel
14
         Assistance Program Procedures Manual?
15
    Α
         I don't remember the exact date. Her letter is
16
         dated "August 31st".
17
    Q
         Of?
18
         But I don't know -- of 2018. But I don't
19
         remember when, I mean, unless it came with this
20
         letter. But I don't -- so, I mean, if it came
21
         with the letter, then it would have been
22
         August 31st of 2008 [sic], but I don't remember
23
         exactly.
24
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
```

[WITNESS: Tompson]

```
1
    Q
         When you read the letter and it referenced the
 2
         Manual, did that stimulate you, even if it
 3
         didn't come with the Manual, to go look to the
 4
         Manual and see what it says?
 5
         I've looked through the Manual, yes, and I saw
 6
         the waiver.
 7
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
         Can you show me where it talks about the
8
    Q
9
         waiver?
10
         It's on Page 31, and it says "Waivers". And it
11
         starts with "This Fuel Assistance Program
12
         Procedures Manual is not" --
13
         Slow down. Slow down.
14
         "This Fuel Assistance Program Procedures Manual
15
         is not intended to cover every possible
16
         situation that may arise."
17
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
18
    Q
         I'm sorry, 31 or 41?
19
         It's Page 31, on Exhibit 22. And it's under
    Α
20
         "Waivers".
21
         Because 41 talks about "General Payment", and
22
         discusses, under "General Payment", "Services
23
         rendered prior to October 1st of each year by
24
         vendors of deliverable fuels are not an
```

```
1
         allowable payment".
 2
                         (Short pause.)
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
 3
 4
         Yes or no, was that --
 5
         I'm sorry. Did you want me to respond to it?
 6
         No. Was that the reference you were referring
 7
         to Page 31, not 41?
         No. I'm referring to Page 31, Exhibit 22,
 8
    Α
         under "Waivers".
9
10
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
11
         But is it possible that somebody who used more
12
         than their allotted amount in the winter period
13
         would be eligible for a waiver?
14
         I believe so. But I haven't attempted it,
15
         because I haven't received information from
16
         Liberty. But I believe so. If I'm reading
17
         this correctly, there is a waiver process that
18
         I have not utilized, because I was not aware
19
         that all of it was -- had been exhausted. And
20
         Liberty Utility never notified me that, you
21
         know, "This is what your allotted amount was,
22
         this is how much that was used, this is how
23
         much is left." I could have taken that
24
         information, applied for a waiver, and seen
```

| 1 | what happened. I mean, there's a possibility |
|----|--|
| 2 | that I could have could have used the rest |
| 3 | of that money, but I don't know, because I |
| 4 | haven't had the opportunity to be able to do |
| 5 | that, because Liberty hadn't sent me the |
| 6 | documentation, according to PUC, that they |
| 7 | should have sent. |
| 8 | CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. I don't think I |
| 9 | have anything further. |
| 10 | Commissioner Giaimo, do you have |
| 11 | anything further? |
| 12 | CMSR. GIAIMO: No thanks. |
| 13 | CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Now, based on |
| 14 | the testimony that you've given, are there any |
| 15 | additional answers that you would like to |
| 16 | provide? |
| 17 | Usually, the witness gets to have an |
| 18 | opportunity with their attorney to get |
| 19 | redirected if somebody asked you a question |
| 20 | that you thought about and you wanted to add |
| 21 | to. |
| 22 | WITNESS TOMPSON: Okay. Yes. Thank |
| 23 | you. |
| 24 | In this case, the FDCPA and state law |

applies under unfair and deceptive practices in this case. Attempting to collect — they have — Liberty Utilities has its own debt collection operation, for lack of a better term, as evidenced by — as evidenced by Exhibit X, which is labeled "Credit and Collections/Accounting Processing" Department. And they are attempting to collect debts on their own behalf, or, as in this case, on behalf of a prior owner of the electric service company. And as such, they can be held accountable under both statutes. Both statutes are intertwined.

Under state RSA 358-A:2, in New
Hampshire, "It shall be unlawful for any person
to use any unfair method of competition or any
unfair or deceptive act or practice in the
conduct of any trade or commerce within this
state."

The idea that Liberty Utilities is continuing to claim arrears for 16 years is unfair and deceptive practices. The fact that they don't send notifications in writing is unfair and deceptive practices. The fact that

their -- their narrative is false in stating
that they have sent me documentation, and when
I did state that I have not received it,
instead of resending it to me, they simply
claim that I have received it. It's unfair and
deceptive practices. And an unconscionable
means to attempt to collect a debt that they're
not entitled to, because they have no standing
to collect.

A collector is not determined as simply being in the business of collections.

Any amount of collection activity that is part of the process of their regular custom and usage and/or business activity is -- they're considered a "debt collector" under FDCPA.

Collections need not be the majority portion of the collector's business, at Garrett v. Derbes, 110 F.3d 317, 5th Circuit (1997).

The underlying debt must arise from a transaction, Mabe v. G.C. Services Limited

Partnership, 32 F.3d 86, 4th Circuit (1994).

The FDCPA is self-enforcement through private causes of action, West v. Costen, 558

F.Supp 564 West -- (WD Virgina 1983).

| The issue in this case is that the |
|---|
| eviction proceedings prompted seeking |
| disconnection through the Public Utilities |
| Commission. Prior to that, they hadn't done |
| it. And I believe, if they bought if they |
| purchased the property, and that has not been |
| established in the facts in this case, but I |
| mean the assumption would be that it was |
| approximately around 2014. So, for |
| approximately five years, Liberty Utilities has |
| not sought disconnection. Has not sent any |
| documentation in terms of setting up payment |
| plans. Everything has been verbal and over the |
| phone. They have sat on their rights, waited |
| and done nothing, they never filed a lawsuit. |
| And there's nothing in the PUC regulations that |
| state that a private corporation can't pursue |
| that avenue, which means that they forfeited |
| forfeited and/or waived their rights. |
| By filing the lawsuit I mean, |
| excuse me, by filing seeking disconnection is a |
| punitive measure in this case, because of a |
| financial hardship, as well as a medical |

disability, and a certificate that is on $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$

that is on the account and has been on the account for years. So, there's no question that there's a known disability.

By filing, based on an eviction proceeding, they were using the Public Utilities Commission and using PUC regulations and using disconnection as a means of debt collection, which is absolutely unconscionable. There's no evidence in the record or any -- any interactions with Liberty Utilities that they sought disconnection prior to this. And these alleged arrears have been on this account for years. Which means that they did violate unfair and deceptive practices, both under state law and under the FDCPA.

The FDCPA is a strict liability statute where the degree of the defendant's culpability is relevant. And this tribunal would have to ask itself "why did they seek disconnection now at this time?" We had an August 1st, 2018 -- we had an August 2018 notice of eviction, which was the first of the month, and then we had a May 1st, 2000 [sic] Eviction Notice. And within a matter of days,

[WITNESS: Tompson]

four days on the first one, and I believe it was around 15 days on the second, they sought a disconnection for the services.

If this were a legitimate debt, if they really believed that I owed any amount of money, they had the option of going to a court of law and seeking damages, and they never sought that, which means that they sat on their rights and did nothing. That's waiver and/or forfeiture.

So, then to come to the Public

Utilities Commission, one of two things had to happen. Either the Public Utilities Commission is complicit with utility services against consumers, or the Public Utilities Commission was given just enough information in order to agree with Liberty Utilities and grant the disconnection notices.

I'd like to call attention also that

I hope -- I hope it's part of the record, is

the document, the "Inaccuracies in the DE

18-148 Summary of Allegations", and that that
is part of the documentation in this case.

A debt collector is considered a

[WITNESS: Tompson]

| 1 | "debt collector" under the FDCPA if a defendant |
|----|---|
| 2 | engage in debt collection activities based on |
| 3 | its understanding that the plaintiff was |
| 4 | delinquent or in default, regardless of whether |
| 5 | they were actually in default, Purnell v. Arrow |
| 6 | Financial Services |
| 7 | [Court reporter interruption.] |
| 8 | WITNESS TOMPSON: I'm sorry. |
| 9 | CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS: |
| 10 | A The citation, Purnell v. Arrow Financial |
| 11 | Services, LLC (2017) U.S. District, Lexis 7630 |
| 12 | (ED Michigan 2007). |
| 13 | I believe this is an abuse of practice in |
| 14 | order to solely seeking disconnection of |
| 15 | services, when they know that I have a medical |
| 16 | condition. They know that I'm physically on |
| 17 | the site. |
| 18 | MR. SHEEHAN: May I interject please? |
| 19 | I've let this go on a long time. I think the |
| 20 | opportunity was to present any factual |
| 21 | information that may be appropriate for |
| 22 | redirect. This has been a legal argument for a |
| 23 | few minutes, and I object. |

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson, it does

24

[WITNESS: Tompson]

| 1 | sound like a legal argument. You will have an |
|----|---|
| 2 | opportunity to make legal arguments at closing. |
| 3 | Do you have any other facts that you |
| 4 | need to get into the record? |
| 5 | WITNESS TOMPSON: Not at this time. |
| 6 | CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. All |
| 7 | right. Well, thank you for your testimony. |
| 8 | And you can return to your seat. |
| 9 | And the Company's witness will come |
| 10 | on, and you'll have an opportunity to ask the |
| 11 | Company's witness questions. |
| 12 | WITNESS TOMPSON: Thank you. |
| 13 | MR. SHEEHAN: Madam Chair, Liberty |
| 14 | calls three witnesses, Allison O'Neil, Jennifer |
| 15 | Hemeon, and Jessica Allen please. |
| 16 | (Whereupon Allison O'Neil , |
| 17 | Jessica Allen, and |
| 18 | Jennifer Hemeon were duly sworn |
| 19 | by the Court Reporter.) |
| 20 | MR. SHEEHAN: All set? |
| 21 | CMSR. BAILEY: Oh, yes. Sorry. Go |
| 22 | ahead. |
| 23 | ALLISON O'NEIL, SWORN |
| 24 | JESSICA ALLEN, SWORN |

| 1 | | JENNIFER HEMEON, SWORN |
|-----|------|---|
| 2 | | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 3 | ву м | R. SHEEHAN: |
| 4 | Q | Ms. O'Neil, we'll start with you. Please |
| 5 | | identify yourself and your current position |
| 6 | | with the Company. |
| 7 | А | (O'Neil) Hi. My name is Allison O'Neil. And |
| 8 | | I'm currently a Gas Operations Field |
| 9 | | Supervisor. I previously worked for the |
| 10 | | Customer Care Department and Collections. |
| 11 | Q | When you did make the change from Collections |
| 12 | | to Gas Operations? |
| 13 | А | (O'Neil) August 1st, 2018. |
| 14 | Q | So, Ms. Tompson's complaint goes back no |
| 15 | | earlier than the Fall of 2017. What was your |
| 16 | | position, say, first of 2017, until you left to |
| 17 | | go to Gas Operations? |
| 18 | A | (O'Neil) I was a Collections Supervisor. |
| 19 | Q | And in a line or two, what's the job duties of |
| 20 | | a Collections Supervisor? |
| 21 | A | (O'Neil) It's all encompassing. So, you know, |
| 22 | | Fuel Assistance, back office, setting up |
| 23 | | payment arrangements, helping with the social |
| 2 4 | | services line, we have a dedicated line for |

```
1
         that as well, Fuel -- Fuel Assistance, back
 2
         office, setting up payment arrangements, taking
 3
         customer consumer complaints or -- from
         customers who are upset about their bill or
 4
 5
         want to make payment arrangements.
 6
         How many people reported to you?
    Q
 7
         (O'Neil) In the Collections Department, three.
    Α
         And what title did you report to? Who was the
 8
    Q
9
         person above you in the chain?
10
         (O'Neil) Oh. Nicole Harris.
    Α
11
         And her title was at the time?
12
          (O'Neil) At the time, she was Manager of
13
         Billing and Collections.
14
         Okay. Have you ever spoken with Ms. Tompson?
15
    Α
         (O'Neil) No.
16
    Q
         Are your phone numbers available to people who
17
         need to call you when you were working in
18
         Collections?
19
          (O'Neil) They are.
    Α
20
         Ms. Allen, your name please and your position
         at the Company?
21
22
          (Allen) Jessica Allen, current Collections
23
         Supervisor.
                         [Court reporter interruption due
24
```

```
1
                         to microphone status.]
 2
                    WITNESS ALLEN: Oh, it was not on.
    BY THE WITNESS:
 3
 4
    Α
          (Allen) Jessica Allen, Collections Supervisor.
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
 5
 6
         And how long have you been the Collections
 7
         Supervisor?
 8
         (Allen) Since August 1st of 2018.
    Α
         So, you took over Ms. O'Neil's position?
9
10
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
11
         How long have you been with Liberty Utilities?
12
         (Allen) Six years this May. So, since May of
13
         2013.
14
         And what kinds of positions have you held prior
15
         to your current position?
16
    Α
         (Allen) I started with the Company as a
17
         Customer Service Representative. I was then a
18
         Commercial Account Support Analyst for the
19
         Billing Department. I was then promoted to
20
         Supervisor of the Contact Center. I then moved
         to supervise the Billing Department, until I
21
22
         moved in August to supervise the Collections
23
         and Back Office.
24
         And do you agree with Ms. O'Neil's description
```

```
1
         of that job?
 2
    Α
          (Allen) Yes.
 3
         And who do you report to now?
    Q
          (Allen) Nicole Harris.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         Ms. Hemeon, your name and your position with
 6
         the Company please?
 7
    Α
          (Hemeon) I'm Jennifer Hemeon, a Collections
 8
         Specialist.
         And how long have you been in that position?
9
10
          (Hemeon) Three years this past February, the
    Α
11
         beginning of the month.
12
         And how long have you been with Liberty
    Q
13
         Utilities?
14
          (Hemeon) Three years and six months.
15
         Okay. And how does your job differ than what
    Q
16
         Ms. Allen and Ms. O'Neil's position?
17
    Α
          (Hemeon) I process the Fuel Assistance
18
         enrollments this year. I also get the case
19
         files together for the Public Utilities
20
         Commission for requests of medical disconnects.
21
         I make calls to customers that are past due,
22
         and try to get them on payment arrangements for
23
         their accounts.
24
         So, Ms. O'Neil and Ms. Allen have been your
```

```
1
         bosses?
         (Hemeon) Correct.
 2
    Α
 3
    Q
         I'd like to start with a statement that Ms.
         Tompson made many times, and that is with
 4
 5
         regard to Liberty's obligation to provide
 6
         certain information about the Fuel Assistance
 7
         Program.
 8
              Before we get there, if someone, which of
         the three you think is best, could give a
9
10
         description of the Fuel Assistance Program from
11
         Liberty Utilities' perspective. How do you
12
         find out about it? What do you do? What's the
13
         process?
14
         (O'Neil) Okay. There's notifications that go
15
         out, it's on your bill. We send out emails.
16
         We send out letters prior --
17
         Notifications of what?
    Q
18
         (O'Neil) That, if you can't pay your bill, then
19
         please contact your local Fuel Assistance
20
         support team to apply for Fuel Assistance. We
21
         also, when the enrollments come in, your
22
         account is coded with a special message saying
23
         the allotted amount.
24
         Okay. When you say "the enrollment comes in",
    Q
```

```
who does that come from?
 1
         (O'Neil) It comes from the Fuel Assistance
 2
    Α
 3
         Department, not -- from the State.
         And that's not a Liberty department, that's
 4
    Q
 5
         something outside of Liberty?
 6
         (O'Neil) No. But, in 2015, we implemented a
 7
         new process where -- actually, 2016, excuse me,
 8
         where every single person who gets Fuel
9
         Assistance. We send a Welcome letter, too.
10
         Okay. So, you say "the enrollment comes in",
    Q
11
         does that mean someone, a customer, has applied
12
         for service and --
13
         (O'Neil) And has been approved.
14
         They have been approved, and that's what comes
15
         back to Liberty Utilities?
16
    Α
         (O'Neil) Correct.
17
         Is Liberty Utilities involved in the screening
    Q
18
         of applicants for Fuel Assistance?
19
         (O'Neil) We are not.
    Α
20
         And so, what information comes to Liberty
21
         Utilities with that enrollment? What do you
22
         know?
23
         (O'Neil) It comes with an amount that they were
    Α
         approved for, an account number, our vendor
24
```

```
1
         number on how to pay a bill, and the address of
 2
         that person or that customer.
 3
         Okay. And then how does the Company administer
    Q
         that through its billing system?
 4
 5
         (O'Neil) We code all the accounts that have
 6
         been approved for Fuel Assistance or Electric
 7
         Assistance Program by putting a special message
         with the amount approved by the State. We send
 8
         out the Welcome letter. And it's sent to
9
10
         Billing, if they're not already on the -- for
11
         gas customers, if they are not already on the
12
         lower rate. Electric customers is different.
13
         So, what is the -- what do you mean by "the
14
         lower rate"?
15
    Α
         (O'Neil) For gas customers, which doesn't
16
         pertain to here, they get a lower rate.
17
         There's a reference in some of Ms. Tompson's
    Q
18
         bills of an "EAP" rate. What is that?
19
    Α
         (O'Neil) That's Electric Assistance, where they
20
         get -- they have to go through a whole
21
         financial application to apply, and they get a
22
         discount off their bill. I believe that Ms.
23
         Tompson is an Electric Assistance Program
24
         customer as well.
```

```
1
    Q
         And if we look at some of her bills, you
 2
         actually see that in the charges. There's a
 3
         credit --
 4
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
 5
    Q
         -- for EAP, is that correct?
         (O'Neil) Correct.
 6
    Α
 7
         What's the Company's understanding of its
    0
 8
         requirements to notify Fuel Assistance
9
         customers of what's going on with the award and
10
         how much they have used, as we've heard this
11
         morning?
12
         (O'Neil) We have not been required to send the
13
         Welcome letter, but we did that ourselves to
14
         save the back-and-forth for the Fuel Assistance
15
         agencies, as well as ourselves, of customers
16
         being worried about if they got approved or
17
         not.
               So, we also send the Welcome letter. And
18
         we do not send a letter for saying the
19
         unexpended amount that's on your bill.
20
         So, on the bill is the new charges, the credit
21
         from Fuel Assistance, if it's applied, and then
22
         the new balance, correct?
23
         (O'Neil) Correct.
    Α
24
         Does the Company believe there's a requirement
    Q
```

```
1
         to send the kind of information Ms. Tompson was
         talking about, of how much of the allotment has
 2
 3
         been used and whether there's a balance at the
 4
         end of year?
 5
         (O'Neil) That's not my understanding.
 6
         Ms. Allen, do you agree?
    Q
 7
    Α
         (Allen) That's not my understanding.
         What I'd like to do for organization is just
 8
    Q
         walk through the exhibits we've marked, and you
9
10
         have a binder of them all there in front of
11
         you. And again, whoever feels best, we will
12
         use those exhibits as sort of a -- in a roughly
13
         chronological order, we'll use them to walk
14
         through these facts.
15
              So, Exhibit 1 is an email that has Pages 2
16
         and 3 attached to it, and it's from you, Ms.
17
         O'Neil. What is this email and the next two
18
         pages about? Appears to be a response to a
19
         complaint.
         (O'Neil) Yes. This is -- oh, excuse me.
20
21
         is a Better Business Bureau complaint that Ms.
22
         Tompson filed against Liberty Utilities and the
23
         Public Utilities Commission. And that was my
24
         response.
```

```
1
    Q
         And this was arising out of the November 2017
 2
         disconnect?
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
         And as Ms. Tompson described, was she correct
 4
    Q
 5
         in saying it was a disconnect that happened,
 6
         and then was reversed within the hour? Is that
 7
         your recollection?
8
         (O'Neil) No.
    Α
         What's your recollection?
9
    Q
10
         (O'Neil) Not for this. I don't remember her
    Α
11
         being disconnected in this timeframe.
12
    Q
         Okay.
13
         (O'Neil) Yes. A month before, the notice a
14
         month before.
15
         Oh, I see. This was the month before.
16
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
17
         Were you involved in the process that led to
    Q
18
         the attempted disconnection in November of
19
         2017?
20
         (O'Neil) Yes. We always -- we're not allowed
         to request disconnection until April, past the
21
22
         winter months. So, April 1st. So, typically,
23
         medical customers receive a monthly letter
24
         reminding them of their past due, showing them
```

```
1
         the past due. And in the letter, it has the
 2
         rules, the 1200 rules, regarding that, even
 3
         though you have medical protection, you're
 4
         required to make a payment or set up a payment
 5
         arrangement. And that's on every single
 6
         letter.
 7
         And so, for a medical customer, like Ms.
 8
         Tompson, who -- how is it flagged that "Here is
         a medical customer that should be considered
9
10
         for disconnect"? How does Liberty start the
11
         process?
12
         (O'Neil) By the amount of nonpayments.
    Α
13
         Okay. And what do you look at?
14
         (O'Neil) The balance, the total balance, how
15
         many payments were made, and how many times
16
         you've requested to disconnect is the key.
17
         Okay. So, you look at the history and make a
    Q
18
         determination that --
19
         (O'Neil) Uh-huh.
    Α
20
         -- this is an appropriate candidate?
21
          (O'Neil) Yes. Especially if you can't get
22
         ahold of them, that would go high up on the
23
         list, because your -- if phone calls aren't
24
         answered or letters aren't being answered,
```

```
1
         then --
         What efforts does the Company go through
 2
    Q
 3
         before -- let me back up. The Company can't
         disconnect a medical customer without
 4
 5
         Commission approval, is that correct?
 6
         (O'Neil) Exactly.
    Α
 7
         And there's a process we'll talk about briefly
 8
         in a minute. But, before you go knock on the
9
         PUC's door for permission, what steps do you
10
         take when you otherwise have a case that says
11
         "this is a medical disconnect", but, before I
12
         go to the PUC, I do what? What steps do you
13
         try to take?
14
         (O'Neil) Well, we make phone calls, and we
15
         also, you know, we set up a spreadsheet showing
16
         how many payments. We look at the balances of
17
         arrearage and how long they have been
18
         continuing to be in arrears, and how many
19
         payments we have. And those, the higher the
20
         balance is, of course, we would want them to be
21
         on a payment arrangement.
22
         And are those --
23
         (Allen) And those -- I'm sorry. Could I add to
    Α
24
         that?
```

Q Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Allen) In addition to phone calls and the Α letter that Allison had mentioned, so we send monthly letters to all of our medical customers who are past due. We give them a call every And when we do make contact with them, whether they call us or we call them, we have the ability to, you know, make payment arrangements that are more sensitive to the fact that a lot of our medical customers are hardship. So, rather than following normal guidelines for payment arrangements that most of our customers are allotted, we will offer them an average of their last 12 months of bills, plus \$25, which will help, you know, take some of the past due balance into account, but not be detrimental to the customer. Q And by implication, if it was not a medical customer, you may not be that accommodating, for lack of a better word, is that fair? (Allen) We have specific guidelines to follow for customers that fall outside of the medical

Q And those require a certain payment up front

certification.

```
1
         and a certain --
 2
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
 3
         -- in addition to the regular new charges?
         (Allen) Correct.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         And so, for medical customers, you were
 6
         explaining you go through this process, you
 7
         identify the medical customer, you call them.
 8
         Is it sometimes successful that you avoid
9
         having to take the next step and go to the
10
         medical -- go to the PUC seeking permission?
11
         (Allen) I would say often.
12
         (O'Neil) Yes. Yes.
13
         So, the step of going to the PUC seeking
14
         permission is the exception of the rule, is
15
         that fair?
16
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
17
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
         Exhibit 2 -- well, let me finish, Ms. O'Neil,
18
    Q
19
         with 2017. You started to explain that
         particular -- that's not Exhibit 2, so don't
20
21
         look at that for a minute. You started talking
22
         about the 2017 event, and I tracked you on
23
         process. So, what do you recall of -- and you
24
         started the process in the spring you said.
```

1 What is that process you went through to get PUC permission to disconnect Ms. Tompson? 2 3 Α (O'Neil) Well, we sent a letter and we sent a 4 letter to the customer, with an outlining of 5 how many times we've requested to seek 6 disconnection, as well as how many Fuel 7 Assistance payments, what kind of -- if they're on the Electric Assistance Program, as in the 8 9 example of Ms. Tompson, then we write out all 10 the payments, and then we send it off to both. 11 But this -- that Better Business Bureau 12 complaint was when all possibility was 13 exhausted. And I've never spoken to Ms. 14 Tompson, but I know that she called the PUC to 15 complain about the disconnect. And that's when 16 Rorie had called me, Ms. Patterson called me 17 and told me that she had spoke to Ms. Tompson 18 regarding the Fuel Assistance, that it goes by 19 winter months, and that you cannot just apply 20 the entire amount to the account. So, Exhibit 1 was the response to the Better 21

Q So, Exhibit 1 was the response to the Better

Business complaint that was arising out of the

disconnect process that was in process. Is

that fair?

22

23

24

```
1 A (O'Neil) Yes.
2 Q And it was the disconnect that was to finally
```

- 3 happen in November?
- 4 A (O'Neil) Yes.
- 5 Q Ms. Hemeon, you're nodding as well?
- 6 A (Hemeon) Correct. Yes.
- 7 Q Were you involved in that process as well?
- 8 A (Hemeon) In the process of --
- 9 [Court reporter interruption.]

10 BY THE WITNESS:

- 11 A (Hemeon) Once the account was sent to the

 12 Public Utilities Commission, everybody in the

 13 Collections Department would follow up on the

 14 accounts.
- 15 BY MR. SHEEHAN:
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A (Hemeon) We split it up evenly between them.
- So, the four of us that were there, Allison,
- myself, and the two other associates, and do
- 20 | weekly follow-up with the Public Utilities
- 21 Commission letting them know if we received any
- response from the customer or not.
- 23 Q And in 2017, it got to the point where the PUC
- 24 did approve the disconnect, and the Company

```
1
         sent someone to the house to disconnect, is
 2
         that fair?
 3
    Α
         (Hemeon) Correct.
    Α
 4
         (O'Neil) Yes.
 5
    Q
         And what's, whoever knows, what's the Company's
 6
         understanding of what happened that day that
 7
         resulting in the disconnect either being an
         hour or short term?
 8
9
         (O'Neil) I do remember that part. So, after
    Α
10
         that, I'm pretty sure it was me that the
11
         technician called and said that Ms. Tompson was
12
         very upset and that she never got her
13
         notification. Which always concerns us, if
14
         someone doesn't say they got their
15
         notification. And just because this has been
16
         such a difficult account for so long, we had
17
         the account turned back on, and then to send
18
         another notification. But by that time, it was
19
         too late, because you can't continue to seek
         disconnection because it's November and the
20
21
         moratorium was setting in. So, you have to
22
         wait until the following year.
23
         That's the winter moratorium?
24
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
```

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
Which is a policy that prevents disconnects
 1
    Q
 2
         during the winter season for obvious reasons,
 3
         correct?
 4
          (O'Neil) Correct.
    Α
 5
    Q
         So, now we're into the next year, the Spring of
          '18. And I think this is Exhibit 2. Is that
 6
         the document that was involved in the same
 7
         process for what became a disconnect process
 8
         through the Summer and Fall of 2018?
9
10
         (Hemeon) Correct.
    Α
11
         And is this a Liberty-generated document,
    Q
12
         Exhibit 2?
13
          (Hemeon) Yes, it is.
14
         And who prepared this particular one, if you
15
         know?
16
    Α
          (Hemeon) I did.
17
         And who does it go to?
    Q
18
    Α
         (Hemeon) We submit it directly to the Public
19
         Utilities Commission via email.
20
         So, this is the -- what are in my words, the
21
         knock on the door to the PUC, basically saying
22
          "Please give us permission to disconnect"?
23
          (Hemeon) Correct. The same day that we send
    Α
24
         this to the Public Utilities Commission, we
```

```
1
         also send a letter to the customer letting them
 2
         know that we have contacted the Public
 3
         Utilities Commission for permission to
         disconnect.
 4
 5
    Q
         The date of this is May 1st. Is that the date
 6
         you started? Is that the date you sent?
 7
         (Hemeon) That's the date I started. I believe
    Α
 8
         I sent the letter on May 3rd.
 9
         Ms. Tompson made the allegation that the
10
         Company started this process in connection with
11
         her eviction proceeding. Is that true?
12
         (Hemeon) No. We had no idea of the eviction
    Α
13
         proceeding at the time of submitting the PUC
14
         case file.
15
         Do you recall when the Company first learned
16
         about the eviction proceeding between Ms.
17
         Tompson and Madhu Estates?
18
    Α
         (Hemeon) When we received the phone call from
19
         Madhu Estates requesting that we put the
20
         services in their name due to the court order
         that they had. I believe that was in June.
21
22
         I think it's in the documents. We'll get
23
         there.
24
    Α
          (Hemeon) Yes.
```

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
1
    Q
         So, the first time you heard about the eviction
 2
         proceeding was when the landlord's lawyer
 3
         called?
 4
    Α
          (Hemeon) Correct.
 5
         So that the information on Exhibit 2 is the
 6
         typical information that is presented to the
 7
         PUC?
          (Hemeon) Correct.
 8
    Α
9
         Are there any documents that go along with this
    Q
10
         form to the PUC?
11
         (Hemeon) We also send them the past 12 months
    Α
12
         of past due notifications that we send the
13
         customer, a copy of the letter that we mailed
14
         to the customer letting them know that we're
15
         requesting permission to disconnect, and we
16
         also send the Public Utilities Commission a
17
         copy of their most updated medical
         certification form.
18
19
         The doctor's form --
20
         (Hemeon) Correct.
21
         -- confirming whatever medical condition
22
         exists?
23
          (Hemeon) Uh-huh.
    Α
24
         Does the PUC allow you to disconnect if you
```

```
1
         haven't dotted the i's and crossed the t's?
 2
    Α
         (Hemeon) No.
 3
         And you're held to a pretty strict standard in
    Q
 4
         meeting all the obligations before, is that
 5
         correct?
 6
         (Hemeon) Correct. And once we send them this
 7
         form, there are weekly updates with the Public
         Utilities Commission, letting them know if
 8
9
         we've heard from the customer, if we've
10
         received a random payment from the customer.
11
         The Public Utilities Commission immediately
12
         lets us know when they have heard from the
13
         customer and what they have discussed with the
14
         customer.
15
         And that's while the request is pending at the
16
         PUC, as they look at it to make their decision
17
         whether to approve it or not. Is that correct?
18
    Α
         (Hemeon) Correct.
19
    Q
         And typically, how long does that process take
20
         at the PUC before you get your permission?
21
         (Hemeon) I would say, about two, two months,
22
         three.
23
          (O'Neil) It depends on how many cases there
    Α
24
         are.
```

```
1
    Q
         Okay.
 2
         (O'Neil) So, I know that one year we had quite
    Α
 3
         a few, and it took a little bit longer. And
         I'm not sure how, I left in August, so I'm not
 4
 5
         sure how it worked out. But it always -- the
 6
         first year, there wasn't many and it was quick.
 7
         So, it depends on how many the case load is.
         It's not a two-day turnaround. There's a
 8
    Q
9
         process --
10
         (O'Neil) No. I've not seen anything turn
    Α
11
         around, three months, I would say, three or
12
         plus.
13
         So, as Ms. Hemeon was saying, during that time
14
         there's constant communication between the
15
         Company and the PUC, "Have we heard anything?
16
         What's the status?"?
17
    Α
         (Hemeon) Correct.
18
         (O'Neil) There's also a process where, if no
19
         one, if Jenny can't get ahold of the customer,
20
         so that the PUC does their outreach, too, if
21
         we're not successful with our outreach. And
22
         then, if the PUC Staff can't get ahold of
23
         anybody either for five days, five business
```

days, then they send a letter out, too, seeking

24

```
1
         assistance from the customer to please call to
 2
         set up a payment arrangement with the Public
 3
         Utilities Commission.
 4
         And it's fair to say this whole carefully
    Q
 5
         orchestrated process is to make sure we don't
 6
         make a mistake and cutting off the wrong person
 7
         for the wrong reason, is that fair?
         (O'Neil) And to give our medical customers
 8
    Α
9
         every opportunity to meet the obligation in
10
         1205.
11
         The next document, Exhibit 3, appears to be the
12
         letter that went out the same day, as
13
         Ms. Hemeon said, to Ms. Tompson, is that
14
         correct?
15
    Α
         (Hemeon) Yes. Sorry. Yes.
16
    Q
         And this is the letter informing Ms. Tompson
17
         that the process -- that the Company has made
18
         the request?
19
         (Hemeon) Correct.
    Α
20
         Exhibit 4 is a letter dated May 16 of '18.
21
         What's the purpose of this letter?
22
    Α
         (Allen) This is the letter notifying the
23
         customer that their medical -- ooh, that's
24
                   Thank you.
         helpful.
                                Sorry.
```

```
1
               This is the letter notifying the customer
 2
         that their Medical Emergency Certification is
 3
         expiring.
         And this is something that -- what triggers
 4
    Q
 5
         this letter from Liberty?
 6
         (Allen) This is something that is sent out 30
 7
         days before the expiration, just something
 8
         called a "service order" on the account. And
9
         they pull a list to see what's going to expire,
10
         so that we can notify the customers to give
11
         them ample time to provide recertification
12
         documents, if necessary.
         How often do customers have to recertify?
13
14
         (Allen) It depends on the ailment or condition.
15
         The doctors can certify up to a maximum of 12
16
         months.
17
         And Ms. Tompson was on a 12-month renewal
18
         cycle?
19
         (Allen) Correct.
20
         So, the Company has a record that hers is
21
         expiring, so 30 days before you see that and
22
         you send out a reminder letter?
23
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
24
         If there were to be a snafu with that process,
    Q
```

```
1
         and either your reminder letter doesn't go out
         or her certification doesn't quite come in on
 2
 3
         12 months, does the Company immediately seek
         disconnection?
 4
         (Allen) At that point, the customer would enter
 5
 6
         the normal collections processes, where they
 7
         would receive phone calls and notifications
         before disconnection.
 8
         And if there was a mix-up, that would be the
 9
10
         opportunity for that to be figured out and
11
         resolved?
12
         (Allen) Correct. What happens often, more
         often than not, is our customers will either
13
14
         not be able to or forget to certify within the
15
         12 months, they will reenter the collections
16
         process. And once they have received
17
         notification during the removal processes,
18
         whether it be the phone call or the letter,
19
         they will contact us, recertify themselves,
20
         which gives them a month to get us the
21
         documentation.
22
         And then they're back on their medical
23
         protected status?
24
          (Allen) As long as they -- Yes. As long as
    Α
```

```
1
         they receive -- they send us the correct
 2
         documentation, that would be the case.
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) I want to interject as well that we
 4
         also send, when your medical protection has
 5
         expired, you also get a letter then saying it
 6
         has now expired.
 7
    Α
         (Allen) So, there are two letters. One at 30
 8
         days prior, notifying them that they're going
9
         to expire. And then, once it has expired and
10
         that certification has been removed from the
11
         account, we send another letter.
12
         On the back of Exhibit 4 looks like the form
    Q
13
         that the doctor would fill out, is that
14
         correct?
15
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
16
    Q
         Exhibit 5 and 6 are some email communications
17
         between the Company and people at the
18
         Commission, is that correct?
19
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
20
         And are these the kind of updates that you were
21
         just describing, after the request has been
22
         made, during the couple months in between each
23
         of your communicating with the other?
24
          (Hemeon) Correct.
    Α
```

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
1 Q And these happen to pertain to Ms. Tompson specifically, is that correct?
```

A (Hemeon) Correct.

3

- Q One of them from you, Ms. Hemeon, the second page of Exhibit 5, says "Minimum payment \$66".
- 6 What do you mean by that, do you know?
- 7 A (Hemeon) That whenever we submit a case to the
 8 PUC for medical disconnection, we let them know
 9 what their minimum payment would be for the
 10 customer, which is their 12-month average, plus
 11 \$25.
- 12 Q So, if Ms. Tompson picked up the phone and said
 13 "I'm willing to enter a payment arrangement",
- this is what it -- the minimum it would be?
- 15 A (Hemeon) Correct.
- 16 Q Did any of you ever have a conversation with
 17 Ms. Tompson about a payment arrangement?
- 18 A (O'Neil) I did not.
- 19 A (Hemeon) I did.
- 20 Q I think I asked you, Ms. O'Neil, whether you've 21 ever spoken to Ms. Tompson, and you said "no".
- 22 Ms. --
- 23 A (Allen) I've never spoken with her.
- 24 Q But you have, Ms. Hemeon?

```
1
    Α
          (Hemeon) Yes.
         And when did that conversation occur?
 2
    Q
 3
    Α
         (Hemeon) That conversation occurred the day
 4
         that she paid the bill that she received
 5
         stating it was her "Final Bill".
 6
         Okay. We'll get there.
    Q
 7
    Α
         (Hemeon) Yes.
         We won't take it out of context. Thank you.
 8
    Q
              Exhibit 7 is the "Expiration of Medical
9
10
         Protection" letter that went to Ms. Tompson?
         (Allen) That's the letter that Allison was
11
    Α
12
         referring to. Once, if we haven't received the
         documentation and the Medical Certification
13
14
         expires, we send the Expiration letter.
15
         Okay. The next document, Exhibit 8?
16
         (Allen) This is the letter that's sent out,
17
         once we receive notification from the customer
         that there is a medical need. We refer to it
18
19
         as an "initial claim", when the customer is
20
         calling and letting us know that there's a
21
         medical need for the electric, or whatever the
22
         energy services are. We will put a hold on the
23
         account for 30 days, and send this letter out
24
         with the documentation needed from the doctor.
```

```
1
    Q
         So, this is the "hold" letter, until the
 2
         official documents show up confirming the
         medical condition?
 3
 4
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
         Exhibit 9 is a bill, on the top it says "Final
 5
 6
         Bill". And it looks like it is from July --
 7
         for the period July through August 15th of
         2018.
                I think you, Ms. Hemeon, was starting to
 8
         talk about that. The Final Bill is an amount
9
         of "$9.43". What does this mean?
10
11
         (Hemeon) So, at that point we had received the
    Α
12
         documentation from Madhu Estate's attorney
13
         stating that they were being required to keep
14
         the electricity on in their name, so that the
15
         electric services wouldn't end in the unit.
16
         And this is the bill that Ms. Tompson received
17
         from us transferring the services out of her
18
         name.
19
         Okay.
20
         (Allen) I just wanted to specify, too, the
21
         August 15th date you're referencing is the due
22
         date of the bill. The service dates were
23
         actually July 13th to July 18th.
24
         So, Ms. Hemeon, you said that you received
    Q
```

```
1
         communication from the owner's attorney saying,
         as Ms. Tompson alluded to, there's an order
 2
 3
         that electric service must stay on, and the
 4
         owner was calling you saying -- or, was the
         owner calling you saying "Please put it in my
 5
 6
         name", and the owner saying "So, I can make
 7
         sure that the service stays on"?
         (Hemeon) The owner was calling in stating that
 8
    Α
         he needed to get the services in his name. We
9
10
         then found out that he had purchased the
11
         property. And he told us that he did have a
12
         court order, according to his attorney,
13
         requiring him to put the services in his name.
14
         So, we did have his attorney forward that
15
         information to us.
16
    Q
         When the owner of an apartment says "put the
17
         service in my name", can the tenant override
18
         that and say "No, I want it in my -- the
19
         tenant's name"?
20
         (Hemeon) No.
21
         So, the owner of the unit ultimately has
22
         authority over that?
23
         (Hemeon) Correct.
    Α
24
         So, in this case, when the owner said "put it
    Q
```

```
1
         in my name", the Company has to say "okay"?
         (Hemeon) Correct.
 2
    Α
 3
         All right. And so, when that happens, that
    Q
         triggered this Final Bill to Ms. Tompson?
 4
 5
         (Hemeon) Correct.
 6
         Because there was now a five- or six-day period
    Q
 7
         between her last bill and this terminate --
         this switch?
 8
9
    Α
         (Hemeon) Correct.
10
         Is there any obligation that the Company notify
    Q
11
         Ms. Tompson that the account has been taken out
12
         of her name?
13
         (Hemeon) No.
14
         Everyone agree with that?
15
    Α
         (Allen) I do.
16
         (O'Neil) I just wanted to interject as well,
17
         sorry, that we -- establishing an owner, we'd
18
         always verify before. No one can just call and
19
         say "Hey, I'm an owner", and we start moving
20
         the services over. We want to make sure that
         everything is verified first, before we would
21
22
         ever take that next step.
23
         And how would go about that?
24
         (O'Neil) We would call the town tax records and
    Α
```

```
1
         all of that. So, multiple sources.
         The next exhibit is Exhibit 10. And that's an
 2
    Q
 3
         email exchange involving Ms. Patterson, Ms.
         Hemeon, and it includes forwarded emails from
 4
 5
         the owner's attorney, and copies of the Salem
 6
         Court order. Is it fair to say that these
 7
         documents are what prompted, as you say, the
         movement of the account from Ms. Tompson to
 8
         Mr. Madhu?
9
10
         (Hemeon) Those are actually emails taking the
    Α
11
         services out of her -- out of his name, I
12
         believe.
13
         Okay. So, explain what happened there. So, it
14
         went to the owner's name, out of Ms. Tompson's
15
         name.
16
    Α
         (Hemeon) Uh-huh.
17
         And you're saying this is when it went back
    Q
18
         into Ms. Tompson's name?
19
         (Hemeon) This is when we actually put the
    Α
20
         account in what's called a "vacant" status,
21
         because the owner of the property notified us
22
         that, per the legal documents in the email, he
23
         was no longer required to keep the services in
24
         his name, and he wanted to disconnect the
```

| 1 | | services. We couldn't then put the services |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | back in Ms. Tompson's name without her |
| 3 | | permission. So, the account went into a vacant |
| 4 | | status until she called us. |
| 5 | Q | Okay. And is this when you had the |
| 6 | | conversation with Ms. Tompson? |
| 7 | A | (Hemeon) Correct. |
| 8 | Q | Can you please describe for us what that |
| 9 | | conversation was? |
| 10 | А | (Hemeon) She called in to give the confirmation |
| 11 | | number to her Final Bill, letting us know that |
| 12 | | she had paid the \$9.43. I, at that point, did |
| 13 | | let her know that we had received notification |
| 14 | | from the landlord that the services were no |
| 15 | | longer required to stay in his name, and that |
| 16 | | he had scheduled for them to be disconnected. |
| 17 | | She then said "Well, I still live here. I want |
| 18 | | the services back in my name." So, we did |
| 19 | | that. We moved her in. There was no |
| 20 | | disconnection of services at that point, |
| 21 | | because we now had a responsible party for the |
| 22 | | bill. |
| 23 | | I did let her know that she had already |
| 24 | | been approved by the Public Utilities |

Commission for us to disconnect the services.

I discussed with her what her balance was, and tried to get her on a payment arrangement.

Letting her know that, typically, once a customer has been approved for disconnection, we usually request at least half of the past due balance, and that was the figure that I gave her.

I did let her know that, if she couldn't come up with that money, that we should, you know, what could she come up with, how much did she have towards the balance, had she seeked assistance elsewhere. And we couldn't come up with an agreement.

So, I did let her know that we were going to be continuing to seek the disconnection on the account, being that we had the approval from the Public Utilities Commission.

Q Stepping back from Ms. Tompson's case in particular, once you're at this point in any medical disconnect, how long would it be normally when you would actually be at the house doing the disconnect? Days? Weeks? A couple months?

```
1
    Α
          (Hemeon) Fifteen days.
 2
         Fifteen days, okay. And is there something the
    Q
 3
         Company has to do, let's say now particularly
         with Ms. Tompson, you had the conversation, do
 4
 5
         you have to do anything other than that to
 6
         execute the disconnect?
 7
         (Hemeon) We are now sending a letter letting
    Α
 8
         them know that "You will be disconnected within
         fifteen days." But I did give her that verbal
9
10
         notification.
11
         The next, Exhibit 11, is the bill in Madhu
    Q
12
         Estates' name. Explain what this is.
13
         (Hemeon) That's the bill from when we put the
14
         services in the owner's name, due to the
15
         requested documentation for their court order.
16
    Q
         So, they had it for a month or so, and this was
17
         their bill?
18
    Α
         (Hemeon) Correct.
19
         Exhibit 12 is a email exchange between Ms.
    Q
20
         Allen and Ms. Patterson at the PUC. Ms. Allen,
21
         if you could just sort of summarize what this
22
         exchange was about. We can read the exact
23
         words, but if you give us a high-level view.
24
          (Allen) So, this is an email between myself and
    Α
```

| 1 | | Rorie, discussing the fact that I did go out to |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | Ms. Tompson's house, in an attempt to contact |
| 3 | | her, to set up an arrangement, something along |
| 4 | | those lines. |
| 5 | Q | Now, this email is dated I think they're all |
| 6 | | dated "August 29", starting, you know, three to |
| 7 | | four o'clock in the afternoon, five o'clock. |
| 8 | | When did you go to Ms. Tompson's house in |
| 9 | | relation to this email? |
| 10 | А | (Allen) I believe it was I went out twice. |
| 11 | | The first attempt yes. The first time that |
| 12 | | I went out was on August 29th. |
| 13 | Q | And can you tell us what was the purpose of you |
| 14 | | going out there? |
| 15 | A | (Allen) The purpose to go out there was, |
| 16 | | knowing the history of the account, knowing the |
| 17 | | attempted contacts that we've made, you know, |
| 18 | | we've received letters from her, but no one has |
| 19 | | ever been able to make contact with her until |
| 20 | | Jen had spoken with her on the 17th. |
| 21 | | And after the 17th, we attempted to |
| 22 | | contact her again. I was hoping that, if I had |
| 23 | | physically went out there and knocked on her |
| 24 | | door, I would be able to talk to her and |

```
1
         discuss arrangements, in order to avoid a
 2
         disconnection.
 3
         And did you knock on her door?
    Q
         (Allen) I did.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         And what happened?
         (Allen) Nothing. I did bring a letter with me,
 6
 7
         again, with the history of the difficulty with
         contact, I thought it would be prudent to bring
 8
9
         a letter in case she was not available.
10
         Certainly, I'm going on my own schedule.
         There's no quarantee she would have been home.
11
12
         I did hear someone moving around behind the
13
         door while I was knocking. I stayed there for
14
         approximately five minutes.
15
         Was anyone with you?
16
         (Allen) I had two techs with me, correct. One
17
         of them had brought a key to let us into the
18
         building, it is a secured building. And then
19
         we -- the three of us stood there waiting for
20
         someone to answer, and about five minutes of no
21
         answer, and then we left.
22
         And this exchange with Ms. Patterson is
23
         describing these events?
24
          (Allen) Correct.
    Α
```

```
1
    Q
         And Exhibit 13 is the letter you left on her
 2
         door?
 3
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
 4
         You said you went there twice. When was the
    Q
 5
         second time?
 6
         (Allen) The second time was a few weeks later.
 7
         It was at -- on the 12th. Part of --
         The 12th of?
 8
    Q
         (Allen) Oh, I'm sorry. September 12th. Part
9
    Α
10
         of the challenge with her account was the fact
11
         that she had been requesting documents, and
12
         continued to say that she hadn't received them.
13
         We had attempted multiple times to send packets
14
         to her with the documents she had requested
         with a signature required. And every attempt
15
16
         we made was returned to us.
17
              So, at the request of the Public Utilities
18
         Commission, in order to get her the information
19
         she had requested, I drove down there and left
20
         the packet for her. I did knock again on that
21
         occasion, in order to attempt to make contact
22
         and hand it over myself. But, again, there was
23
         no answer. So, I left it in front of her door.
24
         And jumping ahead a little bit to Exhibit 16,
    Q
```

```
1
         is this the cover letter and pile of documents
 2
         that you delivered to her house?
 3
    Α
         (Allen) Yes.
         Okay. Come back to that in a second. So, that
 4
    Q
 5
         was -- and you physically left the envelope?
 6
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
 7
         And I think there's a photograph of that in
    Q
 8
         this file somewhere, too. And you took that
9
         picture?
10
         (Allen) I did.
    Α
11
         Okay. Going back to Exhibit 14, this is a
    Q
12
         document that stands along as "Exhibit 14", and
13
         is also part of the package you left for Ms.
14
         Tompson. Did you prepare this, Ms. Allen?
15
    Α
         (Allen) I did.
16
    Q
         And what was the purpose of preparing this?
17
    Α
         (Allen) The purpose of preparing this was to
18
         give Ms. Tompson an overview of her account
19
         activity. Sometimes it's difficult for
20
         customers, who only look at one bill at a time,
21
         to get a really good view of what's going on
22
         with their account. And she, I believe, had
23
         requested at some point, because she said she
24
         was disputing the bill, but had never clarified
```

| 1 | | what bill she was disputing. So, I did put |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | this together, just with an overview of what |
| 3 | | documentation we do have for her account, as |
| 4 | | far as bills, payments, and where those |
| 5 | | documents came from. |
| 6 | Q | So, the table starts with one entry in January |
| 7 | | of '03, a handful of entries in November of |
| 8 | | '06, and then fairly regular, I haven't |
| 9 | | checked, but fairly regular monthly entries |
| 10 | | from '07 through the present. What was your |
| 11 | | source to compile this? |
| 12 | | Understanding that the I can represent |
| 13 | | that the order approving the Liberty |
| 14 | | acquisition was the Summer of 2012. And as you |
| 15 | | stated, or someone stated, there's a transition |
| 16 | | period after that. So, this is, obviously, |
| 17 | | predating that by years. So, what was the |
| 18 | | information you had? |
| 19 | А | (Allen) When the transition occurred with the |
| 20 | | data systems, with the bill information and the |
| 21 | | information on the account is kept, my |
| 22 | | understanding is that, when that transition |
| 23 | | occurred, any open documents that National Grid |

had outstanding. So, in Ms. Tompson's case,

24

```
1
         any bills that had not been paid, in the case
 2
         of a customer who had credit, it would be any
 3
         payments that hadn't been applied to any bills,
 4
         came over as a document. So, we don't have
 5
         necessarily the same amount of detail that we
 6
         would have on one that we had generated. But
 7
         we do have that information, and that's what I
         included here, and that's why I noted it to the
 8
         right as a "National Grid balance".
9
10
         There was a transition period after the
11
         official closing of the sale of Granite State
12
         to Liberty, and you say that there was a
13
         transition of billing information. When did
14
         that occur from Granite State?
15
    Α
         (Allen) I want to -- I don't know the specific
16
         date. It was July of 2014. I don't remember
17
         the specific day.
18
    Q
         So, there was roughly two years after the close
19
         of the sale where Grid was still providing
20
         services --
21
         (Allen) Correct.
22
         -- as Liberty ramped up, for lack of a better
23
         word?
```

Α

(Allen) Correct.

```
1
    Q
         So, this information that you put in this table
 2
         that predates 2014 is National Grid information
 3
         that, as you described, it came from Grid?
         (Allen) Correct.
 4
    Α
 5
         Beginning in the Summer of 2014, it's all
    Q
 6
         Liberty Utilities information, is that correct?
 7
         (Allen) The first bill that we initiated on her
    Α
         account was in August of 2014.
 8
9
    Q
         Okay. And that would be on Page 3 of this
10
         exhibit?
11
         (Allen) Correct.
12
         Is there a reason that there are no payments
         reflected in the "National Grid" columns?
13
14
         (Allen) Again, it's my understanding that the
15
         only thing that would have come over are open
16
         documents. So, there very well could have been
17
         payments during that time. But where they had
18
         applied to those --
19
                   MS. TOMPSON: Objection.
20
         Speculation.
21
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Mr. Sheehan.
22
                   MR. SHEEHAN: I can ask the question
23
         again.
24
                   CMSR. BAILEY:
                                   Okay.
```

```
BY MR. SHEEHAN:
 1
 2
         So, your understanding is that the National
    Q
         Grid records sent to you were "open" documents
 3
         or events?
 4
 5
         (Allen) Correct.
 6
         And is it your understanding that, if there was
    Q
 7
         a payment of a bill, that would not be an open
 8
         bill?
         (Allen) Correct.
9
    Α
         Beginning with the Liberty bills in the Summer
10
    Q
         of '14, these come from Liberty's system?
11
12
          (Allen) Correct.
    Α
13
         Was it the same system or was it a totally
14
         different billing system?
15
    Α
          (Allen) From National Grid?
16
    Q
         Yes.
17
    Α
          (Allen) Different.
18
    Q
         Okay. And is this still the system Liberty
19
         uses today?
20
         (Allen) It is.
    Α
21
         And on the right-hand column, there's a label
22
         of "Payments", and the payments you have in
         red, and it says "SNHS Payment". What does
23
24
         that mean?
```

```
1
    Α
          (Allen) Those are payments that came from Fuel
 2
         Assistance.
 3
         And the red amounts are the payment amounts, is
    Q
         that correct?
 4
 5
         (Allen) Correct.
 6
         And is it fair to say that you can pretty much
    Q
 7
         match a Fuel Assistance payment to the billed
 8
         amount either one or two bill periods before?
9
    Α
         (Allen) It is.
10
         And if you go to the last page of Exhibit 14,
    Q
11
         there is a "Customer Payment", the very last
12
         entry of August of '18, is that correct?
13
         (Allen) Correct.
14
         Can you describe for us the activity on the
15
         account since it was returned back into
16
         Ms. Tompson's name? We have a few bills
17
         attached as exhibits.
18
    Α
         (Allen) So, this statement was prepared by
19
         myself on August 30th. So, it only goes
20
         through that date. Since then, since the
21
         account was put back into Ms. Tompson's name,
22
         she did make additional payments. And I don't
23
         know off the top of my head how many, up until
24
         the fuel season started, in November of 2018,
```

```
1
         and then those payments stopped.
         If you jump way ahead to Exhibit 18, it appears
 2
    Q
 3
         there's a bill September '18 that reflects the
         $9.43 payment, and bill of October '18, and a
 4
 5
         bill of November '18, reflecting a $50 payment.
 6
         You see those?
 7
          (Allen) I'm getting there.
    Α
 8
         And that would be 18, 19, and 20 exhibits.
    Q
         (Allen) Exhibit 19, which is the bill for
9
10
         September to October, it does not reflect any
         payments. The $50.68 credit is reflected on
11
12
         the November bill.
         And that would be a payment from Ms. Tompson?
13
14
         (Allen) Correct.
15
         So, going back to Exhibit 14, your table,
    Q
16
         through the end of August, the only payment
17
         from Ms. Tompson was that $9 payment?
18
    Α
          (Allen) Correct.
19
         All the other payments are from Fuel
    Q
20
         Assistance?
21
          (Allen) Correct.
22
         Maybe now is the time to give the Company's
    Q
23
         understanding of what Fuel Assistance does and
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

does not cover. I think Ms. O'Neil described

24

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
1
         the process of the -- for what she called it
 2
         the certification coming in from the agency
 3
         saying the customer has up to X dollars, we
         notify the customer.
 4
              And is this money available for year-round
 5
 6
         bills or winter only?
 7
          (O'Neil) Winter only.
    Α
 8
         And who's decision is that?
    Q
         (O'Neil) That's State rules.
9
10
         It's not Liberty's decision?
    Q
11
         (O'Neil) It absolutely is not.
12
         And does Liberty have the authority to -- well,
13
         let me ask you, would they even send you the
14
         money outside of the winter period?
15
    Α
         (O'Neil) No. No.
16
    Q
         And what's your understanding of, if the
17
         customer does not use the full amount of the
18
         allotment, what happens to that excess?
19
          (O'Neil) It gets rolled over and it's back into
    Α
20
         the Program.
21
         Have you ever heard of an instance where a
22
         customer was able to take advantage of that
23
         excess for --
24
          (O'Neil) Never. Absolutely never.
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

Α

| 1 | Q | Do the awards always cover the actual bills |
|-----|---|---|
| 2 | | through the winter for customers? |
| 3 | | So, in Ms. Tompson's case, she used not |
| 4 | | very much of that yearly award. |
| 5 | А | (O'Neil) Because she has electric heat, and |
| 6 | | because she also gets electric discounts. So, |
| 7 | | her bills are lower. So, she can't take |
| 8 | | advantage of the full amount that she's |
| 9 | | offered, which Ms. Patterson explained to her. |
| 10 | | We have other customers who run out of |
| 11 | | Fuel Assistance in February. And so, the |
| 12 | | Program goes to April, but they used their |
| 13 | | allotted amount. |
| 1 4 | А | (Allen) I think to add to that and to answer |
| 15 | | your question as well, Ms. Tompson is not the |
| 16 | | only customer that we have that does not go |
| 17 | | through their allotted amounts. |
| 18 | Q | And these rules of how the money is applied and |
| 19 | | what happens to the excess are not Liberty |
| 20 | | rules, these are the rules from the whatever |
| 21 | | agency disperses this money, is that correct? |
| 22 | А | (O'Neil) Yes. |
| 23 | А | (Allen) Correct. |
| 2 4 | 0 | So, Exhibit 16 is a copy of the package, Ms. |

```
1
         Allen, that you dropped off at Ms. Tompson's
 2
         door, and it has the chart we just went
 3
         through, and it has copies of many bills, and
         some other documents. And these were documents
 4
 5
         that Ms. Tompson had asked for several times
 6
         you said?
 7
         (Allen) To my knowledge, yes.
         All right. And you had made other attempts to
 8
    Q
9
         deliver that were apparently not successful, so
10
         you, with Ms. Patterson's suggestion, actually
11
         went down there to drop them off?
12
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
13
         The last few exhibits, 21, Exhibit 21 is a
14
         series of Past Due Notices. Are these the sort
15
         of normal Past Due Notices that go to all
16
         customers or just medical customers?
17
         (O'Neil) Just medical.
    Α
18
    Q
         And these -- I think someone was saying medical
19
         customers get these every month?
         (Allen) Correct.
20
21
         (Witness O'Neil nodding in the affirmative).
22
         Even if they are satisfying their payment
    Q
23
         arrangement, they still get these letters?
24
          (Allen) Correct.
    Α
```

```
1
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
         And Exhibit 22 is the manual from the Program,
 2
    Q
 3
         if you go to very last page, 23 is a
         November 16, 2018 letter to Ms. Tompson. And
 4
 5
         this letter is what? You able to find that?
 6
         (Allen) So, this is the -- yes. This is what
 7
         we refer to as the "Welcome letter" to the Fuel
         Assistance Program. And this is the letter
 8
9
         that's sent to customers, once we receive the
10
         enrollment from the agency.
11
         Is this letter required by PUC rules?
12
         (Allen) I do not know.
13
         How about Ms. O'Neil?
14
         (O'Neil) No. We implemented it in 2016 to have
15
         a better customer experience.
16
    Q
         If you now turn to a couple of Ms. Tompson's
17
         exhibits I'd like you to look at, they should
18
         be in that binder as well. If you go to K,
19
         this is a "Medical Certificate Confirmation"
20
         letter of June '18, where Ms. Tompson noted
         that the letter indicates the certification is
21
22
         continued to a date before the letter went out.
23
         (Allen) Yes.
    Α
24
         Is that correct?
```

```
[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]
 1
    Α
          (Allen) That's correct. It was an unfortunate
 2
         typo.
 3
         And was that corrected?
    Q
         (Allen) It was never the case in the system.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         Never the case that the --
 6
         (Allen) That the certification was put through
 7
         until June of 2019. So, there wasn't anything
 8
         to correct in the system.
         Okay. I can't put my finger on it, but there
9
    Q
10
         was a -- something Ms. Tompson referenced that
         had a $10,000 balance. Do you recall what that
11
12
         was?
13
         (Allen) That is -- today is the first that I
14
         heard of that. Her balance, to my knowledge,
         has never been $10,000. So, there would have
15
16
         been an error in that that I would be more than
17
         happy to investigate.
18
    Q
         Okay.
19
                   MS. TOMPSON: That's Exhibit --
20
         Exhibit V.
21
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  Thank you.
```

22 BY MR. SHEEHAN:

23

24

Ms. Allison [sic], Ms. Tompson didn't talk about it today, but it's in her complaint. And

```
1
         that is the conversation that someone from the
 2
         Company had with her doctor. And I understand
 3
         that was you?
 4
         (O'Neil) It was me.
    Α
 5
    Q
         Could you please tell us how that came about,
 6
         why you wanted to call, and what steps you went
 7
         through before you called?
         (O'Neil) Because the -- and I'm not sure how
 8
    Α
9
         this happened, but the document that we
10
         received, all it said on it was "air
11
         purification".
12
         The document from?
    Q
13
         (O'Neil) From the medical doctor, stating that
14
         it was medical protection for -- didn't really
15
         say, it said for "air protection".
16
    Q
         Okay. So, the --
17
    Α
         (O'Neil) I mean, "air purification".
18
    Q
         So, you interpreted the letter saying the
19
         reason for medical protection on the account
20
         was "air protection" or something --
21
         (O'Neil) That she needed "air" -- "air
22
         purification", which is not like oxygen. So, I
23
         had contacted PUC Staff to ask if I could call.
24
         And I was granted permission, because the
```

```
1
         wording, the verbiage, was so vague.
 2
    Q
         And do you recall who you spoke to at the PUC?
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) Gary Cronin.
         And he said it was okay for you to call the
 4
    Q
 5
         doctor?
 6
         (O'Neil) Yes.
    Α
 7
         And did you call the doctor?
         (O'Neil) I did.
 8
    Α
         And could you let us know how that conversation
9
    Q
10
         went?
11
         (O'Neil) I think I spoke to a secretary first,
12
         and then I said it was very important that I
13
         speak with the doctor. And he did finally call
14
         me back. And when I said to him "I need
15
         further clarification on what "air
16
         purification" means." This is -- this is a
17
         form that all utilities have worked on,
18
         including the lawyer for PUC Staff, that it's a
19
         legal document, supposedly to be a legal
20
         document. That I would need additional
         clarification as physical or mental harm in
21
22
         case of disconnection.
23
         And did the doctor answer your questions?
24
          (O'Neil) He seemed frustrated and said, this is
    Α
```

```
1
         exactly what he said to me, that he was trying
         to help her out, and he doesn't need this, and
 2
 3
         he asked me to shred it. Which I, in turn,
         called Mr. Cronin at the PUC to advise him of
 4
 5
         such things.
         Did you later get a medical certification that
 6
    Q
 7
         was acceptable to the Company?
         (O'Neil) I didn't, personally. But, yes. I
 8
    Α
         believe we did.
9
10
         And despite that conversation, does the Company
    Q
11
         challenge Ms. Tompson's medical certification?
12
         (O'Neil) We do not. We're not doctors, so --
    Α
13
                   MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have.
14
         Thank you.
15
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Does Staff have any
16
         questions?
17
                   MS. SCHWARZER: I do have one
18
         question for Jessica. I'm sorry, I don't
19
         remember her last name.
                   WITNESS ALLEN: Allen.
20
21
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Allen.
                                            Thank you.
22
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
24
         Could you look at the Petitioner's Exhibit V
```

```
1
         please.
 2
    Α
         (Allen) Yes.
 3
    Q
         There's a balance at the top that looks like
         "$10,708", and it was sent in January of 2019.
 4
 5
         (Allen) Yes.
 6
         Were there any billing irregularities in
    Q
 7
         Liberty at that time?
 8
    Α
         (Allen) There were not. We did have -- and I
         apologize that I had forgotten about this until
9
10
         after I answered your question earlier,
         Mr. Sheehan. We did have an issue with the
11
12
         past due notices that went out in January,
         which I advised the Public Utilities Commission
13
14
         on, where the balances doubled. We did send a
15
         corrected letter to the customers advising them
16
         that the balance was incorrect, and that the
17
         balance on the letter that was sent out
18
         subsequently was the correct balance.
19
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you.
20
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson?
21
                   MS. TOMPSON: Yes.
22
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Do you have questions
23
         for these witnesses?
24
                   MS. TOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.
```

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon] BY MS. TOMPSON: 1 Ms. O'Neil, you stated that you contacted the 2 3 doctor because the only documentation in the 4 standardized form was medical equipment being 5 used? 6 (O'Neil) It said "air purification", and not 7 "oxygen", yes. So, it did not specifically state directly 8 Q above it the description of the patient's 9 10 medical condition or danger or the anticipated 11 duration of the condition, those were missing? 12 (O'Neil) I don't have it in front of me. Α 13 you have a copy of that?

14 Q There's a blank form, Exhibit 8.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

A (O'Neil) Oh, no. I thought -- I wanted to see the completed one. I don't remember the time, but there was reason for it to be questioned, because, typically, when someone's in a serious medical condition, it's oxygen. So, I needed further clarification for "air purification" and "oxygen" are two totally separate entities.

Q I understand. Was the healthcare provider's

signature and date on the form?

(O'Neil) Yes, it was.

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

1 Q Was the healthcare provider's name and license number on the form? 2 3 Α (O'Neil) Yes, it was. 4 And the address and city, state and zip? Q 5 (O'Neil) Correct. As far as I remember, not 6 having the form in front of me. 7 And the email address and phone number were all Q 8 there? (O'Neil) As far as I remember. 9 10 But the description of the medical condition 11 directly below that and the anticipated 12 duration of the condition was missing? 13 (O'Neil) Yes, it was, as far as I'm 14 remembering. I don't have the completed form. 15 So, how would you then implement the 12 months, Q if the 12 months weren't listed? 16 17 Α (O'Neil) What do you mean? 18 Q For the Certificate. You're saying that the 19 anticipated duration of the condition was 20 actually missing on the form. How would you then implement a certificate for 12 months, if 21 22 that information was missing? 23 (O'Neil) That's why I called. Because I needed Α 24 to have the "air purification" clarified for

```
1
         me, because that's not an emergency medical.
 2
    Q
         And did they regenerate another form? Another
 3
         form was signed by the doctor?
 4
    Α
         (O'Neil) I believe, later, which I wasn't
 5
         involved then.
 6
         You believe that later the doctor re-faxed
    Q
 7
         another form?
         (O'Neil) I'm not aware of that answer. As far
 8
    Α
9
         as I knew, the last -- I was moving into a --
10
         transitioning into a different position. So,
11
         as far as I know, that the last I knew it was
12
         what the doctor had said, unless he sent
13
         another form stating it would be more clear.
14
         (Allen) Would you mind if I elaborated on that?
15
         Please. Yes.
    Q
16
    Α
         (Allen) You know, to be fair, Allison was in
17
         the middle of a transition at the time and had
18
         a lot of things going across her desk. It's
         certainly impossible for us to say without it
19
20
         in front of us. I don't believe that was
21
         necessarily missing. I believe that
22
         clarification was needed, because, typically,
23
         "air purification" is not something that's
24
         medically necessary. Which is why we had
```

```
1
         reached out to the Public Utilities Commission
 2
         to gain permission and their blessing to call
 3
         and have the doctor elaborate on that.
 4
         So, you're stating that, in your recollection,
    Q
 5
         that the healthcare provider's name -- I mean,
 6
         that the description of the patient's condition
 7
         and the anticipated duration of the condition
         were actually on the form?
 8
9
         (Allen) I could not say for certain, again,
    Α
10
         because I don't have it in front of me. And if
11
         I have seen the document, it's been months.
         So, it wouldn't be fair for me to say either
12
13
         way whether it's there or not.
14
              Typically, if it's not, we would deny it
15
         outright, and that didn't happen in this case.
16
         So, my assumption would be that it is there.
         Okay. Fair enough. Thank you. Ms. O'Neil,
17
18
         what was your response after the doctor said
19
         "I'm just trying to help her out, don't need
20
         this, shred it"?
21
         (O'Neil) I called the Public Utilities
22
         Commission.
23
         You didn't respond to the doctor at all, saying
24
         "okay, I'll terminate this document"?
```

```
[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]
 1
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes, I did.
         You did. And then, did you shred the document?
 2
    Q
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) No, I did not. I called the Public
         Utilities Commission for quidance.
 4
 5
         And what did you do with the document?
         (O'Neil) I believe it's still with our Company.
 6
    Α
 7
         And it's possible that this document has
 8
         actually been applied to the Certificate?
9
         (O'Neil) Yes. Yes. That wouldn't be my
10
         decision, though.
11
         Oh. It was your decision to use that document,
    Q
12
         even though you had a question on it and it was
13
         missing data?
14
         (O'Neil) No. It's not my decision. It was my
15
         decision to make sure that all medicals are
16
         appropriate. And so, if it -- if I have a
17
         question, I would go to the Public Utilities
18
         Commission to ask, if you had "air
19
         purification" versus "needing oxygen".
20
         Thank you. The Welcome letter is not mandated
21
         by the Public Utilities Commission?
```

22 (Allen) Correct.

23

24

And your claim is that this document has been sent to the plaintiff in this case in 2016,

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
2017, and 2018?
 1
          (Allen) That's correct.
 2
    Α
 3
         Do you actually put the information in the
    Q
         envelopes and mail them?
 4
 5
          (Allen) We do.
 6
         So, you would know whether or not Judith
 7
         Tompson had actually been sent this?
          (Allen) They're sent to all customers that we
 8
    Α
         receive enrollments for.
9
         In the notification in the Fuel Assistance
10
         award letter, do you follow up with another
11
12
         letter after that time period has ended?
13
          (Allen) As far as at the end of the fuel
14
         season?
15
         Correct.
    Q
16
          (Allen) No, we do not.
17
         You do not?
18
         (Allen) No.
19
         Is there a reason you don't? If there's an
    Q
20
         unused portion, are you notifying the customer
         that a certain amount was used and that a
21
22
         certain amount has not been used on this
23
         account so that they could follow up?
24
          (Allen) We don't notify. And as far as I know,
    Α
```

```
1
         it's not something that really has to do with
 2
         the utility. You know, our responsibility is
 3
         to take the notification, the enrollment from
 4
         the Fuel Assistance agency, and, you know,
 5
         invoice the agencies with the appropriate
 6
         bills, and take the payments that are remitted
 7
         for that.
         Thank you. Your policy is to reach out and
 8
    Q
9
         phone contact on arrears in an attempt to set
10
         up payment plans. When you can't reach them,
11
         then you send out a letter?
12
         (Allen) Are you referring to the regular
    Α
13
         collections process or for medical accounts?
14
         Either one. Whichever you'd like to discuss.
15
    Α
         (Allen) So, for -- I think medical accounts
16
         would be more appropriate. So, for medical
17
         accounts, regardless of whether we received
18
         contact or are able to contact the customers
19
         when we call, a letter is sent out. So,
20
         they're independent processes. They both
21
         happen regardless.
22
         And on that medical account, what is in that
23
         letter?
24
         (Allen) Forgive me, I'm -- I know there's a few
    Α
```

```
[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]
 1
         in here. I'd like to reference it directly.
 2
    Q
         Are you talking about the Past Due Notice,
 3
         Exhibit 21?
 4
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
 5
    Q
         Okay. I'm asking if there's an additional?
 6
         This is a standardized letter that's sent,
 7
         correct?
         (Allen) Correct.
8
    Α
         Is there a follow-up letter? So, if you had
9
10
         been on the phone, and you're calling a number
11
         and you're not getting a response, do you send
12
         out a follow-up letter trying to contact that
13
         person in the mail?
14
         (Allen) In addition to the letter that you're
15
         holding, no.
16
    Q
         No, you do not. Is there a reason for that?
17
    Α
         (Allen) Well, we've already sent a letter.
18
    Q
         Meaning that Exhibit 21, which is the Past Due
19
         Notice, is sufficient? And if you're --
20
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
21
         -- attempting to reach out to a medical person,
22
         a medical client, you're not able to get them
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

on the phone, but you know they're there, and

you're trying to reach out in order to set up a

23

24

```
1
         payment plan, you don't follow up with a signed
 2
         personalized letter saying "I've been trying to
 3
         attempt to contact you, you need to set up a
 4
         payment plan", that does not happen?
 5
         (Allen) We do not.
 6
         You do not. And is that the policy?
 7
         (Allen) The policy is to call and to send the
    Α
         letter, which you're referencing, the Past Due
 8
         Notice, which contains all of that information.
9
10
         Okay. So, the standardized Past Due Notice is
    Q
11
         mailed out, and then, after you've made phone
12
         contact or tried to make phone contact, the
13
         phone contact doesn't happen, you don't send
14
         out any notifications, then you start notifying
15
         the Public Utilities Commission of the repeated
16
         attempts on the phone that you've made?
17
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
         And if you'd made contact, if you've attempted
18
    Q
19
         contact with someone that you haven't been able
20
         to get ahold of, do you document that as an
21
         actual contact?
22
         (Allen) In what way? With the Public --
23
         How would you -- how would you document to the
24
         Public Utilities if you've made a phone call to
```

```
1
         someone and there was no response?
         (Allen) It's all included in the documentation
 2
    Α
 3
         that we have to provide when we submit for
         disconnection any medical customer.
 4
 5
    Q
         So, what would that statement say?
 6
         (Allen) It would be a note on the document
 7
         stating what date we had called, and whether or
 8
         not there was contact.
9
         Whether or not there was actual contact?
10
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
11
         Thank you.
12
         (Allen) Just in addition to that as well, the
13
         monthly bills that go out. So, for any medical
14
         customers, they receive their bills, their Past
15
         Due letters, and phone calls.
16
    Q
         And in terms of those bills, on Exhibit 18,
17
         when a payment is received, it just states "CR"
18
         as a "credit", correct?
19
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
20
         We don't know who made that payment. So, it
21
         could Fuel Assistance, it could be somebody
22
         paying on behalf of a person, or it could
23
         actually be that person making the payment?
24
          (Allen) Well, my assumption is, if it was a
    Α
```

| 1 | | person making a payment, then they would |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | understand who that payment came from. |
| 3 | Q | But you'd agree that this document doesn't |
| 4 | | state it? |
| 5 | A | (Allen) It does not. No, it does not specify |
| 6 | | that. |
| 7 | Q | In relation to the \$66, information that was |
| 8 | | presented to the Public Utilities Commission as |
| 9 | | a minimum payment? |
| 10 | A | (Allen) That would be a monthly payment. |
| 11 | Q | But there was no documentation that was sent to |
| 12 | | the plaintiff in this case regarding any |
| 13 | | payment arrangements, because you don't send |
| 14 | | out documentation for payment arrangements? |
| 15 | А | (Allen) Well, we can't negotiate or discuss any |
| 16 | | payment arrangements via letter. So, we can |
| 17 | | notify that payment arrangements need to be set |
| 18 | | up. But we can't discuss the specifics. It |
| 19 | | would not be conducive to an actual discussion. |
| 20 | Q | So, is it fair to say that that \$66 was an |
| 21 | | assumption on the part of Liberty Utilities |
| 22 | | that that would be a minimum payment to this |
| 23 | | account, and then presented it to the Public |
| 24 | | Utilities Commission as an actual number of |

```
1
         what your demand was?
         (Allen) It's not an assumption. It's based on
 2
    Α
 3
         factual documentation on the account, as far as
 4
         what the last 12 months of bills were, plus
 5
         $25.
 6
         So, in this case, the expectation that Liberty
    Q
 7
         had was a $66 monthly payment, which they
 8
         presented to Public Utilities Commission?
         (Allen) Correct.
9
    Α
10
         But did not present it to Judith Tompson?
    Q
11
         (Hemeon) We were never able to make contact
12
         with Judith Tompson to be able to request the
13
         minimal of the $66 payment prior to receiving
14
         the disconnection approval from the Public
15
         Utilities Commission.
16
    Q
         Correct. So, Judith Tompson was never made
17
         aware of the $66 requirement?
18
    Α
         (Hemeon) The notices that were sent out to
19
         Judith Tompson every month asking her to call
20
         in to set up a payment arrangement, we would
21
         have been able to discuss the actual number
22
         with her at that time. We just let --
23
         Over the phone?
24
          (Hemeon) Correct. We let the Public Utilities
    Α
```

1 Commission know what it is we're looking for as a minimum when we submit the information to 2 3 them to request disconnection. 4 Α (Allen) And this is to help facilitate, in case 5 Ms. Tompson were to call the Public Utilities Commission instead of us, during that process. 6 7 Α (O'Neil) I also wanted to point out, when the 8 Better Business Bureau complaint was filed 9 against Liberty Utilities, as well as the 10 Public Utilities Commission, in the response 11 that's public, it states what we were looking 12 for for a payment arrangement, and the \$67 I 13 believe is what it states. 14 (Allen) In Exhibit 1, Number 3, it does 15 reference the "average of bills, plus 25", and 16 the actual dollar amount is in the closing, 17 "the requested 65 a month". 18 Q Okay. 19 (Allen) And that discrepancy between 65 and 66 Α 20 would be the difference, because every month it 21 would be a different 12-month average, because 22 you have an --23 [Court reporter interruption.] CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS: 24

```
1
          (Allen) -- an updated bill.
    BY MS. TOMPSON:
 2
         Thank you. Ms. O'Neil, you were employed in
 3
    Q
         November of 2017 with Liberty Utilities in the
 4
 5
         Collections Department?
         (O'Neil) Correct.
 6
 7
         And were you working on November 6 of 2017?
         (O'Neil) I would assume so. I usually worked
 8
    Α
         -- I don't normally take days off. I assume
9
10
         I'm working, yes.
11
         Did you field the phone call from the tech
12
         who -- the technician who called related to the
13
         disconnection?
14
         (O'Neil) I believe that was me.
15
         You believe that that was you?
16
    Α
         (O'Neil) I'm not positive. It's been a while.
17
         But I do think that was me, because it's
18
         ringing a bell that -- because you said you
         didn't get your notification, that I had them
19
         turn it back on. It does sound familiar to me.
20
21
         And I don't know if I'm remembering somebody
22
         else on my team and telling me about it, or
23
         I -- I believe it was me, though.
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

So, in your refreshed recollection, you believe

24

```
1
         that you were the person that told them to turn
 2
         the service back on on that day?
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
 4
         Do you recall talking with Judith Tompson on
    Q
 5
         the phone?
          (O'Neil) No.
 6
    Α
 7
         You only spoke with the tech?
 8
          (O'Neil) As far as I'm remembering, yes.
    Α
9
         What was the rationale for turning it back on
    Q
10
         when it had already been turned off?
11
         (O'Neil) Because of our challenging
    Α
12
         relationship, our non-relationship, and you not
13
         getting notification, we turned it back on.
14
         And we were going to send another disconnect
15
         letter, because you're saying you didn't get a
16
         notification, as far as --
17
         So, you did speak with Judith Tompson regarding
    Q
18
         notification?
          (O'Neil) No. I do not remember speaking to
19
    Α
20
         you.
21
         How did you know that the notification hadn't
22
         happened?
23
          (O'Neil) Because that's what the tech was
    Α
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

24

telling me.

181

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
1
    Q
         I see.
         (O'Neil) And I just didn't want any challenges.
 2
    Α
 3
         And did you notify the Public Utilities
    Q
         Commission that you had authorized for the turn
 4
 5
         back on on that account?
 6
         (O'Neil) I think so. I also believe I notified
 7
         the Public Utilities Commission saying that we
         would have to start over, as far as sending a
 8
         new notice for a disconnection. But it was
9
10
         coming up towards the moratorium, which I was
         concerned about. Which I believe we didn't end
11
12
         up being able to follow through.
13
         And you heard Judith Tompson's testimony that
14
         she never received any 14-day notification
15
         prior to that disconnect, correct?
16
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes. I heard you say that. I also
17
         heard you say that you didn't get any of the
18
```

- Welcome letters. I also heard you say that you didn't get any of the Past Due letters as well.
- So, do you agree that she did not receive the 14-day notice of disconnection?
- 22 (O'Neil) No, I do not agree.

19

20

21

23 But you turned the utility on because she said 24 she didn't get it?

```
1
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
         With the understanding that you were intending
 2
    Q
         to terminate after the Fuel Assistance Program
 3
         had ended after the winter?
 4
 5
         (O'Neil) It was November 6th. I didn't want
 6
         any problems because of what's happened between
 7
         the --
         Who told you November 6th?
 8
    Q
         (O'Neil) You just did.
9
    Α
         How did the disconnect occur on November 6?
10
    Q
11
         What's the process for disconnection at Liberty
12
         Utilities?
         (O'Neil) What we've talked about this entire
13
14
         time. That you send over a notification, a
15
         letter to the Public Utilities Commission
16
         asking for permission to disconnect, and with
17
         all of the pertinent information that belongs.
18
         Then, the PUC tries to outreach, if we can't
19
         make outreach.
20
         The Liberty Utilities procedure?
21
         (O'Neil) And then, we send a written
22
         notification, and you have 15 days to make a
23
         payment or enter into a payment arrangement
24
         before you're disconnected.
```

```
1
    Q
         Your office doesn't actually go out. These are
 2
         technicians that are going out.
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) Correct.
 4
         How does the request for a technician to go out
    Q
 5
         to a home, how is that generated at Liberty?
 6
          (O'Neil) It's generated by a service order.
 7
         A service order. So, there's documentation
 8
         requesting for a shutoff on a particular
9
         property?
10
         (O'Neil) Correct.
    Α
11
         And that's in writing?
12
         (O'Neil) Yes.
13
         Do you know if it was presented -- then it had
14
         to have been completed in this case?
15
    Α
          (O'Neil) I would think so, yes.
         And who would generate that? Who would make
16
    Q
17
         that decision?
18
         (O'Neil) One of the Collections people or
19
         myself.
20
         And did you make that decision for
21
         November 6th?
22
          (O'Neil) I'm sure that we did, because we had
23
         permission from the Public Utilities
24
         Commission.
```

```
1
    Q
         I don't know who "we" is. Did you personally
         make that decision?
 2
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) I don't really make the decision.
                                                      The
         Public Utilities Commission gives us permission
 4
 5
         to disconnect, and then we send the letter out,
 6
         and then we put a service order in.
 7
         Right. And is the service order signed by
    Q
 8
         anyone?
         (Allen) The service order is electronic. It's
9
10
         an electronic order that goes to the system
11
         from our office, and is -- and truly, I'm not a
12
         tech, so I don't know the specifics of their
13
               They receive them every day, and they go
14
         out and just do the work that is requested.
15
    Α
         (O'Neil) They go through dispatch for them, and
16
         dispatchers assign it to various techs that are
17
         in that area.
```

- 18 Q And is it like an email, where it's to and from
- 20 (O'Neil) No.

certain people?

19

- 21 No. So, anybody in the Collections Department 22 could have issued this order?
- 23 (Allen) Correct. Α
- 24 Would the other -- would the other people in Q

```
1
         that department know that, you know, Person A,
 2
         you know, one of you had dispatched it out and
 3
         not known -- I mean, is it possible two of you
         could have dispatched it out?
 4
 5
         (Hemeon) No.
 6
         (O'Neil) No.
    Α
 7
         Not knowing? No.
         (Allen) No.
 8
    Α
         But do you sit down and have meetings about
9
    Q
10
         this, are there lists --
11
         (Allen) There's constant communication. It's
    Α
12
         also just part of the policy and procedure
13
         that's already been discussed, as far as --
14
         Is that verbal communications?
         (Allen) As far as -- well, initially, it's
15
    Α
16
         verbal communication. But regardless, if two
17
         people just happened to work on the same
18
         account, for instance, your account, there
19
         would already be a service order on the
20
         account. So, it would be obvious to anyone who
21
         brought the account up that it had already been
22
         dispatched.
23
         So, is there anyone here on the panel that can
24
         state with specificity that they initiated that
```

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon] 1 termination, that disconnect? (Allen) If I had to -- it's difficult to say. 2 Α 3 I know I have looked at it. I don't believe anyone on this panel is the one that initiated 4 5 it. But, again, it's not necessarily something 6 that you would only attribute to one person. 7 It's the department as a whole. Is there somebody over you that would initiate 8 Q that? 9 10 (Allen) No. It would be a collections Α 11 specialist or the supervisor who --12 But it was none of the three of you? Q 13 (Allen) No. I don't believe so. 14 Ms. Hemeon, was it -- do you whether or not you 15 initiated it? 16 MS. SCHWARZER: Objection. I 17 believe -- I believe it's undisputed that there 18 was a disconnection. I've heard that 19 testimony. I'm just -- I think it's been 20 asked, there's a lot of asked-and-answered, and 21 I'm not quite sure where we're going. 22 MS. TOMPSON: No. I'll move on. 23 Thank you.

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

Okay.

CMSR. BAILEY:

24

```
BY MS. TOMPSON:
 1
         Ms. Allen, when you came to the house on August
 2
    Q
 3
         28th, 2018, you were hoping for a meeting?
         (Allen) August 29th.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         Twenty-ninth, I'm sorry.
 6
         (Allen) I was hoping against hope that you
 7
         would answer the door, so that we could discuss
 8
         your account, yes.
         And were you hoping to be inviting in so that
9
    Q
10
         we could have a discussion?
11
         (Allen) No. I don't think that would have been
    Α
12
         necessary.
13
         We were going to talk in the hallway?
14
         (Allen) Whatever you were more comfortable
15
         with.
16
    Q
         Did you bring any documentation with you in
17
         order to sign some sort of a payment agreement?
18
    Α
         (Allen) There's no signature or contract needed
19
         for a payment arrangement. When we set up
20
         payment arrangements, they're verbal, and then
21
         we follow up with a letter. And that would
22
         have been done had we talked about a payment
23
         arrangement.
24
         And when a payment arrangement is made, there
    Q
```

```
1
         has to be a very strict compliance with that,
 2
         so that if you are late on a payment, it would
 3
         actually give Liberty Utilities the ability to
         disconnect services without going through the
 4
 5
         Public Utilities Commission?
         (Allen) No. That's not accurate for a medical
 6
 7
         account. So, we have medical customers who
 8
         have payment arrangements and make their
9
         payment arrangement payments every month and
10
         then miss two. You know, Liberty Utilities
11
         certainly is under the knowledge and just
12
         the -- it happens. You know, and certainly for
         a medical customer, who has a hardship, we
13
14
         would not submit it to the Public Utilities
15
         Commission for disconnection after one or two
16
         missed payments. There has to be a history of
17
         nonpayment.
18
    Q
         So, for two payments, then you would not do
19
         anything, you would just let it ride --
20
    Α
         (Allen) No. We wouldn't let it ride. We would
21
         continue to have contact. But we would not
22
         submit it to the Public Utility Commission
23
         immediately once a payment was missed.
24
         But, if you're not able to make phone contact
    Q
```

```
1
         with someone, then it would automatically go to
         the Public Utilities?
 2
 3
    Α
         (Allen) Only if there's a history of
 4
         nonpayment.
         And how long would that history be?
 5
    Q
         (Allen) I couldn't give you a specific number.
 6
    Α
 7
         Thank you.
    Q
 8
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson, would
         this be -- up here on the Bench.
9
10
                   MS. TOMPSON: Yes.
11
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Would this be a good
12
         time to take a break for the stenographer or --
13
                   MS. TOMPSON: If you feel that's
14
         necessary.
15
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Well, about how much
16
         do you think you have?
17
                   MS. TOMPSON: Not much, ma'am.
18
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. You want to
19
         finish then?
20
                   MS. TOMPSON: If --
21
                   CMSR. BAILEY: That's fine.
                   MS. TOMPSON: If it pleases the
22
23
         court, yes.
24
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Go ahead.
```

```
1
                   MS. TOMPSON:
                                  Thank you.
    BY MS. TOMPSON:
 2
         The acquisition occurred between 2012 and 2014.
 3
         At that time, Liberty Utilities then became the
 4
 5
         sole proprietor of this organization. No more
 6
         payments were made to National Grid, is that
 7
         correct?
         (Allen) No more payments should have been made
 8
    Α
9
         to National Grid, yes.
10
         No more should have been. And were you then an
11
         employee of Liberty Utilities?
12
         (Allen) Yes.
    Α
13
         And what happens to National Grid? They're
14
         just no longer part of the organization?
15
    Α
         (Allen) I am not privy to how companies -- how
16
         utility companies sell. I'm not at that level.
17
         The documentation where information from
18
         National Grid was extracted, what kind of
19
         program was that?
20
    Α
         (Allen) That was during the transition from
21
         their data service to ours, their customer
22
         service system. So, all we have access to is a
23
         document showing that an amount was, you know,
24
         due on the account for that date.
```

```
1
    Q
         Was there any time when this account was bumped
 2
         up to a supervisor to take care of out of
 3
         your -- out of your department to a higher up?
          (Allen) Well, I've only been with the
 4
    Α
 5
         Collections Department since August. So, --
 6
         Ms. Hemeon, do you know?
    Q
 7
    Α
         (Hemeon) No.
 8
         No, it wasn't? You don't know or it wasn't
    Q
9
         bumped up to?
10
          (Hemeon) I did not personally bump the account
    Α
11
         up.
12
         Is there a policy where, if you're not in
    Q
13
         contact with someone repeatedly, that you would
14
         then send it to somebody higher than you in a
15
         different department?
16
    Α
          (Hemeon) No.
17
         No, it would never happen?
    Q
         (Hemeon) That's correct.
18
    Α
19
         Do you ever make referrals to the attorneys for
    Q
20
         litigation --
21
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
22
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Do you ever make
23
         referrals to attorneys --
    BY MS. TOMPSON:
24
```

```
1 Q Do you ever make referrals to attorneys for 2 litigation in these cases?
```

A (Hemeon) No.

3

- Q So, these cases, if there's a prolonged history
 of non-compliance with payment or payments have
 been made and there's an ongoing with payments,
 you don't send it to an attorney to review?
- 8 A (Hemeon) That would not be a decision that I would make.
- 10 Q So, it could be made by someone else?
- 11 A (Hemeon) Possibly.
- 12 Q But you do not send it up to a supervisor or another department?
- 14 A (Hemeon) I would not send it to another

 15 department, no. I would send it to my

 16 supervisor to see if there is anything that we

 17 could do.
- 18 Q Okay. And then would your supervisor take over the case?
- 20 A (Hemeon) Possibly, if need be.
- 21 Q So, that has happened in the past with other
- 22 accounts?
- 23 A (Hemeon) No.
- 24 Q No, it hasn't?

```
1
    Α
          (Hemeon) No. That's why I said "possibly,
         if" --
 2
 3
         So, it would be an option for you, but it just
    Q
         doesn't happen. It stays with your department
 4
 5
         and you continue to work with this --
         (Hemeon) Correct.
 6
 7
         -- account. And then you go to the Public
 8
         Utilities Commission and seek disconnection?
         (Hemeon) Correct.
9
    Α
10
         Thank you. What is the process of knowing
    Q
         whether or not the National Grid documentation
11
12
         is accurate? If National Grid has been
13
         dissolved, how would you know that the
14
         information there is accurate?
15
    Α
         (Allen) National Grid hasn't been dissolved.
16
         They simply sold the assets, is my
17
         understanding. And --
18
    Q
         But you haven't been a National Grid employee,
19
         so you don't know how accurate that
20
         verification process is from what you're
21
         looking at on the screen?
22
         (Allen) Well, I can tell you that I worked for
23
         a company that was contracted to National Grid
24
         for six years prior to the acquisition. And I
```

194

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon] 1 never had any issues with bills being 2 inaccurate. 3 So, there aren't any bills from National Grid Q at this point related to this case? 4 5 (Allen) Not that we have access to, no. 6 Did you contact National Grid and attempt to Q 7 get those bills? (Allen) No. 8 Α So, you don't know whether or not they exist? 9 10 (Allen) They exist, because we have them in our 11 system. As far as the paper copies, as far as 12 I know, there's no requirement to keep them 13 that far back. 14 So, there's no original documentation, other 15 than the listing that you've been pulling off 16 of their computer system? 17 (Allen) That we have, other than I believe there's a handful of National Grid bills that 18 19 were included in the packet that I left for 20 you. But, other than that, I don't have access 21 to anything. That doesn't mean that they don't 22 exist. 23 No, but you --

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

(Allen) I just don't have access to them.

24

Α

```
1
    Q
         Right. So, you don't have access to any
         National Grid billing. So, there is no
 2
 3
         National Grid billing associated with this
         complaint. There isn't anything that has been
 4
 5
         presented by Liberty for National Grid
 6
         specifically, other than that list that you
 7
         created?
         (Allen) No. There are a few bills in the
 8
    Α
9
         packet that I provided to you that came from
10
         National Grid that I was able to access.
11
         The Liberty Utilities bills?
12
         (Allen) No. National Grid bills.
13
         (O'Neil) Yes.
14
         (Allen) So, I'm looking at one. And it's all
15
         in Exhibit 18? Exhibit 18. No, Exhibit 16.
16
         But the first few bills are from early in 2014,
17
         before Liberty started invoicing. But these
18
         were the only ones again that I was able to
19
         access.
         Do you know which number?
20
21
         (Allen) Oh, this is the larger packet.
22
         once you get into Exhibit 16, it's --
23
         Right. But, on the right-hand corner, it says
    Q
24
         "Exhibit 16", and then there are numbers.
```

```
1
                         [Court reporter interruption -
 2
                         multiple parties speaking at the
 3
                         same time.]
 4
    BY THE WITNESS:
 5
         (O'Neil) DE 18-148.
 6
    BY MS. TOMPSON:
 7
         And underneath it says "Exhibit 16", and then
 8
         each individual piece of paper is numbered from
         01 through 099. Can you show me where the --
9
10
         (Allen) So, an example would be 017.
    Α
11
         Okay.
12
         (Allen) And I could understand why you wouldn't
         think that a National Grid bill was included,
13
14
         because it does have Liberty Utilities' logo.
15
         But this was initiated by National Grid as part
16
         of the transition period.
17
         Okay. But it is labeled as "Liberty
18
         Utilities". There's nothing in the packet
19
         that's labeled as "National Grid"?
20
         (Allen) To my knowledge.
21
         Okay. So, there's no way of knowing if this is
22
         National Grid, other than --
23
         (Allen) Correct.
    Α
24
         -- you're assuming that it is?
```

- 1 Α (Allen) No. I'm not assuming that it is. Ι know that it is. You wouldn't know that it is, 2 3 because it has "Liberty Utilities" on it. So, I am -- I'm conceding that point. 4 5 Q Okay. (Allen) I, however, pulled this from National 6 7 Grid directly. And if you'll notice, there is 8 a marked difference between the layout of this bill and the layout of the following bills 9 10 after. 11 Right. But you'd agree it does say "Liberty Q 12 Utilities" on it? 13 (Allen) Yes. 14 Okay. Thank you. Ms. O'Neil, you had made a 15 statement that the full allotments for the Fuel 16 Assistance Program roll back into the Program? 17 Α (O'Neil) Uh-huh. 18 Q And that you have never heard of anyone being 19 able to obtain or access those documents, is 20 that correct? 21 (O'Neil) Access the documents?
- 22 Being able to access any allotted amounts in Q 23 those programs?
- The only --24 (O'Neil) I have never heard of it. Α

```
1
         my understanding of waivers are for people who
 2
         just miss the qualifications of a financial aid
 3
         and didn't get qualified or unfortunate
 4
         customers who need an extra wood delivery or
 5
         oil delivery. That's the only ones I've ever
 6
         heard of.
 7
         But you don't -- you haven't talked with
 8
         everyone, you don't know if it's actually
9
         happened here --
10
         (O'Neil) No. You asked me what I've heard of.
    Α
11
         So, I'm letting you know what I only -- my
12
         experience indicates.
13
         Thank you.
14
         (O'Neil) You're welcome.
15
                   MS. TOMPSON: Thank you.
16
                   CMSR. BAILEY: All right. We're
17
         going to take a ten-minute break and be back at
18
         five of five.
19
                         (Recess taken at 4:46 p.m.
20
                         and the hearing resumed at
21
                         5:09 p.m.)
22
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Commissioner Giaimo.
23
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: Good evening. Thanks
24
         for being here, thanks for sticking around.
```

199 [WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon] BY CMSR. GIAIMO: 1 2 Exhibit 23, this is going to come out Q 3 sarcastically, and I don't mean it that way. But what makes this a "welcoming letter"? 4 5 (Allen) We refer to -- we refer to any letter 6 that we send out initiating any kind of account 7 or program or things like that, that's just our 8 verbiage for any letters that we send out that are initiating something. 9 10 Okay. I think you would admit, it's a Q relatively thin letter and not a lot of 11 12 information, and certainly additional 13 information might help set expectations 14 properly.

Α (Allen) I'm sorry. We're still trying to find it.

CMSR. BAILEY: What exhibit is it? 17 18 CMSR. GIAIMO: It is Exhibit 23,

19 Page 1.

15

16

23

20 MR. SHEEHAN: The very last one.

21 CMSR. GIAIMO: The very last one,

22 correct.

BY THE WITNESS:

24 (O'Neil) Well, the original purpose of the Α

1 Welcome letter or a letter announcing the Fuel 2 Assistance benefit was so that the customer 3 wouldn't worry about getting approved. So, a lot of times previously, in 2015, we would get 4 5 a lot of calls from customers and from Fuel Assistance saying "Oh, this one's approved" and 6 7 "Did you get my letter?" And they just worried about it. So, we decided in 2016 to send this 8 9 letter out.

10 BY CMSR. GIAIMO:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- I understand, and this may be a situation where no good deed goes unpunished, but I think additional information, verbiage that states that "monies not used will be reallocated to the fund and is not something that can be used going forward for prior debt" would be helpful. Okay.
- 18 A (O'Neil) Duly noted.
- 19 Q Thanks. I'm actually going to go backwards.
- Exhibit 17, that's the picture of the door.
- 21 A (Allen) Right.
- 22 Q Did you consider placing the envelope under the
- 23 door?
- 24 A (Allen) I absolutely did. There is no space,

201

```
1
         really. There is some kind of
         weatherstripping, for lack of a better term.
 2
 3
    Q
         Okay.
 4
    Α
         (Allen) There wasn't a way that I could do
 5
         that, otherwise I would have done that.
 6
         You would have done that, okay. Because it is
    Q
 7
         possible that, living in a complex like this,
 8
         it is possible that Ms. Tompson may have never
         seen that, right? Some kid may have
9
10
         accidentally taken it or something of that
         nature. There is a chance?
11
12
         (Allen) Certainly. Absolutely, yes. I mean --
    Α
13
         Okay. So, how many visits did Company
14
         representatives make to the apartment at issue?
15
         I think the answer is "two", is that right?
16
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
17
    Q
         Okay.
18
         (Allen) And she did -- I'm sorry, I just wanted
19
         to clarify. I wanted to make sure. She did
20
         say that she got that packet.
21
         Okay.
22
         (Allen) I just wanted to make sure.
23
         That makes sense. Okay. So, there were two
24
         visits?
```

```
1
    Α
          (Allen) Correct.
 2
         And one was on August 29th?
    Q
         (Allen) Correct.
 3
    Α
         What time?
 4
    Q
         (Allen) Ooh. That I couldn't --
 5
 6
         Okay.
    Q
 7
         (Allen) It was probably --
 8
         (Hemeon) It was in the afternoon.
    Α
9
    Α
         (Allen) It was probably in the afternoon.
10
         Okay. September 12th, what time?
    Q
    Α
11
         (Allen) I could only guess. But I believe they
12
         were in the afternoon.
13
         Same time probably?
14
         (Allen) Probably at around the same time.
15
         Was there consideration given to "maybe we
    Q
16
         shouldn't go to the house at the same time"?
17
    Α
         (Allen) To be honest, no. The second time, you
18
         know, I knocked, because I would have loved to
19
         still have contact. But the real purpose of me
20
         going out the second time was the delivery of
21
         that packet.
22
         Okay. Did you need to reconnect when Ms.
    Q
23
         Tompson requested to get transferred, that the
24
         account get transferred back to her, could you
```

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon] 1 have said "no"? And could you have said "No, we're not going to turn you back on absent a 2 3 payment plan"? Could you have said that? (Allen) I don't -- I can't think of any 4 Α 5 situation where we would have said that. 6 CMSR. BAILEY: Can I ask a follow-up? 7 CMSR. GIAIMO: Please. 8 BY CMSR. BAILEY: If you have a customer requesting new service, 9 10 and you know they have bad credit and they have 11 a past bill that they didn't pay, --12 (Allen) Uh-huh. Α -- would you -- how would you respond to their 13 14 request for service? 15 Α (Allen) We would request that payment were made 16 on balances that are owed. My understanding is 17 that that was not done in the case of Ms. 18 Tompson in an overabundance of caution 19 considering the history. 20 (Hemeon) She also had an active medical on the Α 21 account. And we can't deny --22 [Court reporter interruption.] 23 WITNESS HEMEON: I'm sorry.

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

24

| 1 | A | (Hemeon) Because her medical was still active |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | ć | at the time, we did not deny her putting the |
| 3 | S | services in her name, because of the medical |
| 4 | I | protection. |
| 5 | BY CMS | SR. GIAIMO: |
| 6 | Q S | So, if the arrearages are what you purport they |
| 7 | ć | are, why did it take so long? How did we get |
| 8 | t | to this point? How did we get to over \$5,000? |
| 9 | А | (Allen) I mean, if you look back at hold on, |
| 10 | - | I'll tell you the exhibit number. So, |
| 11 | I | Exhibit it's part of Exhibit 16 as well, but |
| 12 | - | I believe it's also Exhibit 13. And that's the |
| 13 | ć | account history that I had put together. |
| 14 | I | Exhibit 14, my apologies. |
| 15 | | So, if you look at that, you know, the |
| 16 | ć | account balance was already \$4,000 by the time |
| 17 |] | Liberty started invoicing it. So, you know, as |
| 18 | i | far as, if you look at the history, it looks |
| 19 | - | like it's taken quite a long time for it to get |
| 20 | ł | here, to this point, to this balance, |
| 21 | 6 | especially with the low usage and the fact that |
| 22 | t | the customer does get the Electric Assistance |
| 23 | I | Program and the discounted rate. So, it would |
| 24 | l | have taken a very long time of continual |

1 nonpayment for that to occur.

As far as -- I'm sorry, to answer your question, as far as the disconnection and why it didn't occur? I can't speak to National Grid's practices. I can only speak to Liberty's. And with medical customers, it is a more lengthy and laborious process to get that permission. And truly, the intention of Liberty is not ever to disconnect someone. It's to get them on arrangements to facilitate them to bring the balance down.

- Q Okay. You mentioned Grid. So, one of the questions I had is what assurances exist that -- that bill amounts from National Grid were actually migrated to the Liberty system, and then subsequently communicated to the customer in this case, Ms. Tompson?
- A (Allen) So, I'm sorry, let me -- can I just clarify? So, are you asking how I know that they're accurate?
- 21 Q Yes.

A (Allen) You know, I am not or was not part of
the transitioning process from the National
Grid systems to the Liberty systems. I do know

```
1
         some of the people that were involved, and
 2
         they're very intelligent, competent people.
 3
         So, I imagine that the processes that they had
 4
         in place were thorough.
 5
    Q
         Okay. Anecdotally, have you heard of billing
 6
         challenges or issues with other customers?
 7
    Α
         (Allen) No. Not on past National Grid
 8
         balances, as far as debating of the accuracy of
9
         the bills. I have not heard of any.
10
         Okay. Back to the number of visits made to the
    Q
11
         location. Given the medical issue, no
12
         payments, and lack of responsiveness, was there
13
         ever any concern for the wellbeing of Ms.
14
         Tompson to make sure she was actually okay?
15
    Α
         (Allen) Again, I've only been involved since
16
         August. And since August, she has communicated
17
         in written form. And as far as I knew, you
18
         know, the communication in written form, a
19
         couple of letters that went through, you know,
20
         things like her conversation with Mr. Pasieka.
21
         So, there was no -- as far as I'm concerned,
22
         from August on, there wasn't anything that
23
         indicated to me that there could have been an
24
         issue.
```

```
1
    Q
         Bigger picturewise, with other customers, do
 2
         you, that are on medical, in medical programs,
 3
         do you pay attention to things like that? Are
 4
         there red flags that are --
 5
         (Allen) Yes.
 6
         -- in the system?
 7
         (Allen) Certainly, if there's anything to
    Α
 8
         indicate to us that there may be an issue, we
9
         would do something, such as call Adult
10
         Protective Services, if there was a concern for
11
         the wellbeing of the customer.
12
              In this case, because of the fact that she
13
         had given written documentation and there had
14
         been contact, or, you know, to some extent, we
15
         didn't believe that that was the case.
16
    Q
         Okay. Would there be a value in communicating
17
         to customers a descending balance from their
18
         Fuel Assistance fund, so that they know how
19
         much is left, and also the applicability is
20
         only in the six-, seven-month period?
21
         (Allen) Oh. It's my understanding that that is
22
         outlined in the Fuel Assistance letter to them.
23
         I can't think, just off the top of my head, of
24
         any benefit to them at the end of the season,
```

```
1
         when, as far as my knowledge goes, there's not
 2
         really anything they can do. If they haven't
 3
         used it, then it goes back into the Program.
         Okay. With hindsight, should more have been
 4
    Q
 5
               Could more have been done to ensure that
 6
         that notice was provided?
 7
         (Allen) Are you talking about specifically
    Α
 8
         about the notice for the Fuel Assistance?
9
         I guess, well, I'll leave it open-ended, --
10
         (Allen) Okay.
    Α
11
         -- with respect to the Fuel Assistance, as well
    Q
12
         as the expectation towards payments and things
13
         of that nature.
14
         (O'Neil) Well, we send the notices, we send the
15
         Welcome letters, we sent the bills. We didn't
16
         have a number of customers calling in from
17
         medical customers or from our consumer
18
         customers saying that they didn't get their
19
         bills or they didn't get their Welcome letters
20
         or their Past Due letters.
21
         (Allen) I didn't question it until you
22
         mentioned the timing of the visits. And I
23
         think maybe that would have been prudent, if I
24
         had gone out a few different times at a few
```

1 different times of the day.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

As far as written communication, I do feel that we went above and beyond. I know there were at least two times that we, you know, went and had, was it Fed Ex or USPS?

(O'Neil) I did UPS as well. And it was --(Allen) And, you know, I can certainly understand, with the way that the building is set up, if someone were not home, I can't see a delivery driver of any company being comfortable leaving anything, even if it weren't signature required, because it's open access, anybody would have been able to grab And I could understand why they wouldn't have done that, which is why we sent "signature required", because there was a significant amount of proprietary information in that packet. And the foyer is open to anybody, you don't need a key to get in. Whereas, you know, leaving it against her door is more secure, where you need to have a key to get into the building.

So, I think that there's always more that everybody can do in hindsight. But I do feel

```
1
         that we certainly tried to make the contact and
 2
         to get payment arrangements set up, so that a
         disconnection could be avoided.
 3
 4
                    CMSR. GIAIMO: Thank you.
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
 5
 6
         Do any of you know what year it was that
 7
         Liberty acquired Granite State Electric?
         (Allen) The acquisition itself or the data
 8
    Α
         transfer?
9
10
         The acquisition.
11
         (Allen) I believe it was 2012.
12
         And when was the data transferred?
    Q
13
         (Allen) 2014.
14
         And in between the acquisition and the data
15
         transfer, didn't Liberty have some kind of
16
         agreement with National Grid to do the billing?
17
    Α
         (Allen) To my knowledge, yes.
18
    Q
         During that time was National Grid labeling the
19
         bills "Liberty Utilities"?
20
         (Allen) As far as I understand, yes. I wasn't
    Α
21
         part of those contracts, those negotiations.
22
         But it was my understanding that during that
23
         time period, while the transition was
24
         occurring, they marked the bills "Liberty
```

```
1
         Utilities" and performed the billing processes.
         Do you know if Ms. Tompson ever disputed any of
 2
    Q
 3
         the charges before you took collection action?
         (Allen) I don't know of her disputing any of
 4
    Α
 5
         the charges at all, even now, certainly not
 6
         before.
 7
         Well, she certainly disputed them today.
 8
         (Allen) Well, I think what I'm referring to is
    Α
9
         the specific charges. So, any particular bill,
10
         typically, when someone is disputing a bill,
11
         it's a particular bill. Not the fact that they
12
         have a balance in and of itself.
13
         So, when Liberty began billing in August of
14
         2014, the balance was already over $4,000?
15
    Α
         (Allen) Correct.
16
    Q
         And when Liberty sent that first bill out by
17
         itself, you didn't get a call? Would you have
18
         a record if you had gotten a call from Ms.
19
         Tompson saying --
         (Allen) There would be a note on the account.
20
21
         -- saying "That's a mistake. I never saw that
         $4,000"?
22
23
         (Allen) Correct. And the procedure is that any
    Α
```

Customer Service Representative that takes a

24

```
1
         call from a customer would note the account,
 2
         with a brief summary of the discussion.
 3
         that would certainly be the case if she had
         called in then.
 4
 5
         And have you reviewed her account, and you can
 6
         state with certainty that there's no note like
 7
         that?
         (Allen) I have not specifically looked back
 8
    Α
         that far in the notes.
9
10
         (Hemeon) I have looked back that far in the
    Α
11
         notes, and I'm not showing, I don't recall ever
         seeing a note where she had disputed her bill.
12
13
         The only times I've seen her call in prior to
14
         paying her Final Bill was to confirm if we had
15
         received her medical documentation.
16
    Q
         Okay. Why did -- what made you decide to start
17
         the collection process when you did, which was
18
         in 2017, right?
19
    Α
         (Allen) So, collection processes are automatic
20
         for customers without a medical certification.
21
         As far as the process of petitioning the Public
22
         Utilities Commission to disconnect, that was
23
         before my time.
24
          (O'Neil) It started in 2016, and we had a
    Α
```

```
1
         different Collections Supervisor, Joseph --
 2
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
    CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
 3
          (O'Neil) Joe Frappiea, who was our Collections
 4
    Α
 5
         Supervisor at the time. And he's from
 6
         Eversource. And they have an established
 7
         medical process according to the PUC rules, and
 8
         explained it to us. And it is extremely
         labor-intensive. So, I think that was started
 9
10
         at the end of 2015, and in 2016 there was only
11
         two people doing it, and then, in 2017, it
12
         increased with a larger team.
13
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
         So, prior to 2016, it wasn't the Company's
14
15
         policy to ever look at disconnecting a medical
16
         account?
17
         (O'Neil) That's before my time as well. So,
18
         I'm only reiterating what I know. I was a
19
         Collections Coordinator in the Manchester
20
         office.
21
         When was that?
22
         (O'Neil) 2014.
23
         And so, in 2014, was it --
24
          (O'Neil) We just started the collection process
    Α
```

214

```
1
         of sending collections people out, because
 2
         Liberty just took over. So, I'm not sure what
 3
         National Grid's processes were.
 4
         But let's just talk about Liberty then.
    Q
 5
         (O'Neil) Okay.
 6
         When, in 2014, when Liberty noticed that this
    Q
 7
         account was $4,000 in arrears, why didn't
         Liberty start the collection process then?
 8
9
         (O'Neil) We didn't have an established medical
    Α
10
         process at the time.
11
         Okay.
    0
12
         (O'Neil) It's extremely labor-intensive, and we
13
         didn't have a process developed. We had all
14
         new people learning the rules. And certainly,
15
         you want to make sure to get that right.
16
    Q
         Okay. So then, when Mr. Frappiea was the
17
         supervisor, he taught you how to --
18
    Α
         (O'Neil) He taught the team, yes.
19
         -- how to do a medical disconnection?
20
         (O'Neil) Yes. And then we had to put a process
21
         in place, and figure out questions, and what we
22
         were going to do if A or X or B happened. So,
23
         it didn't really get into swing until 2016.
24
         Okay. I think you testified the reason that
    Q
```

215

```
1
         she has low usage is "because she has electric
 2
         heat"?
 3
    Α
         (O'Neil) No. Because she has a discount, she
         also gets Electric Assistance Program, so she
 4
 5
         gets a discount off of her bills, her electric
 6
         bills. So, that's why it's lower. I think Ms.
 7
         Tompson talked about her heat.
 8
         Okay.
    Q
         (O'Neil) And so, I think, I'm not sure,
9
10
         as a Tier 3, I think she must get -- I think if
11
         we look at the bill here, it must be 20 --
12
         (Allen) Twenty-two (22) percent.
    Α
13
         (O'Neil) Twenty-two (22) percent discount.
14
         (Allen) If you look in the "Account Activity"
15
         portion midway down --
16
    Q
         But does she get 22 percent every month of the
17
         year?
18
    Α
         (O'Neil) Yes.
19
         Okay. So, look at Exhibit 16, Page 77, for
    Q
20
         example. And I've seen even more prominent
         examples of what I'm trying to figure out,
21
         but -- Page 77. So, the bill date is June 19th
22
23
         of 2017. And you see the "Monthly Consumption
24
         Chart"? December, January, and February are
```

```
1
         the lowest usage months.
 2
    Α
         (Allen) Yes.
         She has electric heat. How is that possible?
 3
    Q
         (Allen) There's a lot of factors. There's a
 4
    Α
 5
         lot of factors that go into it. The discount
 6
         happens throughout the year. Considering the
 7
         way that the building is, it could be, and this
         is all conjecture, but it could be that she's
 8
9
         surrounded by other units, so she doesn't have
10
         to turn it on as often. You know, she is a
11
         first floor unit. So that, you know, I would
12
         imagine she would have to turn it on more than
13
         other units. But it depends on the efficiency
14
         of her heating system.
15
              And, you know, as she said, during the
16
         summer, she not only has the air conditioning
17
         on, but she has the dehumidifier, and
18
         dehumidifiers do use a significant amount of
19
         electricity. Unfortunately, once it goes
20
         through the meter, it's impossible for us to
21
         tell what it's being used for.
22
              So, do I think that this is, you know,
23
         necessarily normal for a heating customer?
                                                      No.
```

But it really is dependent on the customer

24

1 itself.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

If you look at my -- I'm not -- the Monthly Consumption Chart can be deceiving.

And I'm not -- I don't know myself where that data comes from. That's not something I have ever worked on. But, if you look at, you know, even -- I mean, I'm looking at 2011 now. But, if you look at Exhibit 14, you know, you could see the bills themselves. You know, her July bills are in the 50-60 range, and the January bills are much lower than that. So, it really is just dependent on what the person is using the electricity for. And obviously, what she's using it for in the summer is requiring more energy than the winter.

- 16 Q What's the monthly charge, the distribution charge?
- 18 A (Allen) As far as the Customer Charge --
- 19 Q Yes.
- 20 A (Allen) -- or the distribution charge itself?
- 21 Q The Customer Charge.
- 22 A (Allen) The Customer Charge for her, it's 14 --
- 23 it was "14.54" on the bill that I'm looking at,
- 24 | which is Exhibit 16, Page 93. And that's the

218 [WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon] 1 bill from February of 2018. It does fluctuate 2 occasionally, and it's based on a per day 3 charge. I don't know that off the top of my head. But hers looked to be about \$14 a month. 4 5 Q And her total bill was \$24. 6 (Allen) Uh-huh. Α 7 So, she only used \$10 of electricity, on heat 8 and lights and refrigerator? (Allen) Right. She has the -- that's after the 9 Α 10 22 percent discount. 11 CMSR. BAILEY: All right. 12 Commissioner Giaimo, do you have follow-up? 13 CMSR. GIAIMO: No. Thank you. 14 BY CMSR. BAILEY: 15 Can we look at Exhibit 1, Item 5? I can't --16 mine is cut off. 17 (Allen) Exhibit 1 --18 MR. SHEEHAN: We will address it 19 after the hearing.

20 CMSR. BAILEY: Oh. Okay.

21 MR. SHEEHAN: We had copying issues,

22 but we'll fix that.

23 CMSR. BAILEY: Oh. All right.

BY CMSR. BAILEY: 24

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
1
    Q
         Can you tell me what Number 5, it says "The
 2
         customer in question is an active account and
 3
         the 3 year statute of limitations does"?
 4
          (O'Neil) "Does not apply".
    Α
 5
    Q
          "Does not apply".
 6
          (O'Neil) That's what I wrote.
    Α
 7
         Okay.
          (O'Neil) So, say, for example, that Allison
 8
    Α
         O'Neil lived, and I had a bill, and I didn't
9
10
         pay my bill and then I moved. And I come back
11
         three years later, well, that -- you can't
12
         apply that, that statute of limitations applies
13
         there.
14
              But, if I was Allison O'Neil, and didn't
15
         move anywhere, I'm still an active account.
16
    Q
         So, when you're an active account, the statute
17
         of limitations doesn't apply until you're
18
         disconnected?
19
          (O'Neil) Until you stop being a customer, yes.
20
         Okay.
21
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
22
         When you "stop being a customer", did Ms.
23
         Tompson stop being a customer when the -- when
```

the account was transferred to the landlord?

24

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
1
    Α
         (O'Neil) She went into "vacant" status right
 2
         then, right, because it was a court order. But
 3
         what I'm saying is, that's not three years from
         now. So, if she stayed not a customer after
 4
 5
         that, then if she -- it stayed in "vacant" and
 6
         she didn't have services from us, and in three
 7
         years from now she moved back to the same
         premise or a different premise, we would be
 8
9
         able to hold that, deny service, based on those
10
         dollars, for the three year. I mean, we could
11
         still even collect on it, from my
         understanding, is that you just couldn't deny
12
13
         service for it, you could still collect up to
14
         six years.
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
15
16
    Q
         Do you have the Staff exhibits up there with
17
         you?
18
         (Allen) No, I don't believe so.
19
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Can we have Exhibit --
20
         Staff Exhibit 3? This is a letter addressed to
21
         Allison O'Neil.
22
                         [Ms. Patterson handing document
23
                         to Witness O'Neil.]
                   WITNESS O'NEIL: Thank you.
24
```

[WITNESS PANEL: O'Neil|Allen|Hemeon]

```
BY CMSR. BAILEY:
 1
         Is this -- can you tell me what this letter is?
 2
    Q.
         (O'Neil) It's a letter from the Public
 3
    Α
         Utilities Commission granting us permission to
 4
 5
         disconnect.
 6
         Okay.
 7
         (O'Neil) And it goes through the account, of
    Α
 8
         what's happened with the account.
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. I
9
         think that's all I have.
10
                   Mr. Sheehan, do you have any
11
12
         redirect?
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Nothing further. Thank
13
14
         you.
15
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.
                                                      You
16
         can return to your seats.
17
                   All right. Are there going to be any
18
         objections to the Staff exhibits?
19
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No.
20
                   MS. TOMPSON: No.
21
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Do you still
22
         have an objection to Exhibits 14 and 16, Ms.
23
         Tompson?
24
                   MS. TOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.
```

```
1
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Can you explain to me
 2
         what your objections are based on?
 3
                   MS. TOMPSON: For the purposes of
         admission for accrued debt, they're not
 4
 5
         original documentation. It was actually
 6
         documentation that was generated for the
 7
         purposes of this litigation, by one employee,
 8
         on a system that's unverified from National
         Grid.
 9
10
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Exhibit 16 contain
11
         copies of bills, doesn't it?
12
                   MS. TOMPSON: I believe 16 is more
13
         comprehensive. But part of 14 is a part of 16.
14
         It was duplicated.
15
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Is there any part of
16
         16 that isn't verified?
17
                   MS. TOMPSON: All of the
18
         documentation that states "National Grid" on
19
         Exhibit 16, which would be --
20
                   CMSR. BAILEY: I see it.
21
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
22
                   MS. TOMPSON: Which would be Pages 02
23
         through --
24
                   CMSR. BAILEY:
                                   Three.
```

```
MS. TOMPSON: -- 04, Exhibit 16,
 1
         Pages 2 through 4, which is all the National
 2
         Grid balance annotations in this case.
 3
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay.
 4
                   MR. SHEEHAN: The attached bill --
 5
 6
         the attached bills in 16 begin about 2013 and
 7
         go through the present. So, we don't have
 8
         paper bills prior to that.
                   CMSR. BAILEY: But the bills in 16
9
10
         begin in 2013?
11
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
12
                   CMSR. BAILEY: December 12, 2013.
13
                   MS. TOMPSON: No. They begin in
14
         2003.
15
                   CMSR. BAILEY: No, the bills.
16
                   MS. TOMPSON:
                                 Oh.
17
                   CMSR. BAILEY: The paper bills that
18
         he has copies of that he's saying.
19
                   So, do you want to respond to the
20
         objection?
21
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
                                 Sure. Ms. Tompson's
22
         argument really goes to how reliable you think
23
         that document is. It doesn't go whether it
24
         should be admitted as evidence. My clients
```

```
1
         testified the source of the documents, it was
         created in the normal course of business.
 2
 3
         don't have a hearsay rule anyway.
 4
                   So, clearly, it's relevant
 5
         information. You've heard how she came about
 6
         it. You can make up your own mind how reliable
 7
         or not it is.
 8
                    I think Ms. Tompson's argument is you
9
         may not think it's too reliable, she has
10
         questions. But I don't think that goes to
11
         admission.
12
                   CMSR. BAILEY: I agree. We're going
13
         to let it in, but we're going to give it the
14
         weight it deserves.
15
                   MS. TOMPSON: Thank you.
16
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Are there any
17
         objections to any of Ms. Tompson's exhibits?
18
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No, not from me.
19
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. All right. So,
         with that, we will strike the -- strike the
20
21
         exhibits for ID.
22
                   And we'll take closing arguments.
23
         So, Mr. Sheehan.
24
                                  Yes. She goes last.
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
```

CMSR. BAILEY: Right. Why don't you lead us off.

MR. SHEEHAN: I'll start with what I mentioned about exhibits, as a mechanical matter.

What I propose to do is, I filed paper copies last week of this whole package, 1 through 23. Today, and we've started to distribute paper copies of the same documents with a redacted/confidential piece. And so, what I -- and I can work with Jody -- the Clerk's office tomorrow, is basically replace one with the other. And part of that replacement is the paper copies I brought today didn't have a copy of the Policy Manual, Exhibit 22, I think, simply because I didn't want to run 12 copies of 50 pages again. So, that will be part of the swap.

So, what I'm proposing is that the Commission accept the replacement copies that have the confidential/redacted markings. And with your okay, I have the electronic on a disk, and I can leave that with the Commission's office tomorrow as well.

```
1
                   So, that's my spiel on paper.
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Before you start, Ms.
 2
 3
         Tompson, you reserved your right to recall
         yourself. Are you good with your facts?
 4
 5
                   MS. TOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.
 6
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. So, we're going
 7
         to have closing arguments now.
 8
                   MS. TOMPSON:
                                 Thank you.
                   CMSR. BAILEY: And you'll go last.
9
10
         Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Sheehan.
11
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Excuse me. There was
12
         a question for Liberty's Exhibit 1, the margins
13
         on the right just didn't copy well.
14
                   MR. SHEEHAN: And I forgot. I will
15
         fix that as well. That will be part of the
16
         substitution.
17
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Great.
18
                   MR. SHEEHAN: We will bring better
19
         copies.
20
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you.
21
                                 I was going to walk
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
22
         through the claims that I distilled from Ms.
23
         Tompson's complaints in prior filings and part
```

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

24

of what the Commission prepared. And I will do

1 that.

But I wanted to start with what sounds like Ms. Tompson's central complaint about, and that is we did not notify her of the running balance of the Fuel Assistance, how much she used, how much she had left at the end of the year. And she kept saying "the rules require it". And the response to that is "the rules do not require that".

Commissioner Giaimo raised a comment that maybe we could have been better with our communication, but that's different than violating the rules. And the rule that applies is Puc 1203.14(e). That section is titled "Social Service Assistance", and it walks through what the utility shall do, provide information, and coordinate, etcetera. And the operative one, (e), says "The utility shall provide the customer with a monthly accounting of his or her billing and payment history during the period when the social service organization is making payments."

And what I interpret that to mean, I think it's pretty clear, is we have to -- we

are getting money from the social service agency. If Ms. Tompson had a \$50 bill, we're getting a \$50 check from them. And this rule requires us to make sure we're telling Ms. Tompson that. She still gets her bill that shows how much she used, a bill that shows the social service agency paid the 50 bucks, and whatever balance is there. And we did bill her throughout this whole period.

So, we've satisfied the rule about the information we had to give about social service Fuel Assistance. So, that undermines her central argument that our failure to provide the information she thought she should have gotten was somehow a violation.

Again, perhaps we could have done better. But that's different than a rule violation that could support any relief from the Commission.

With that being said, I'll run quickly through what I see as her complaints. And these are kind of in the order that they appeared in the complaint.

One was the notice of her account

witnesses testified, the landlord, if the landlord wants the account in the landlord's name, we have to do it. Of course, after confirming that the landlord is legitimate, and that's what happened here. The rule does not require notice to the tenant of that change. And then again here, when the landlord didn't want the name in his name anymore, the account in his name, we took it out, and it was in that "vacant" status, until Ms. Tompson agreed to put it back in her name. There's no violation there. There's no notice requirement that we didn't do.

There's several of her allegations, and again it's sometimes difficult to put a finger on exactly what the claim was, but notice of Medical Emergency Certification, notice of disconnections, very -- a lot of notice requirements.

You've heard our witnesses testify
that these notices were all mailed. It's been
the same address all the way through. And Ms.
Tompson received her bills, she received many

notices that she acknowledged receiving. And it's just not plausible that all the notices that she thinks were missing were, in fact, missing.

Is it possible that out of the 50 letters we sent, she didn't get one or two? Of course, it's possible. But it's very unlikely that there was a complete failure of notice that Ms. Tompson points to again and again and again.

She made an allegation about the conversation with the doctor, and she made a fairly strong allegation in the complaint. We heard from Ms. O'Neil what actually happened. And there's no basis to any — there's no support for any violation of any rule or practice based on that conversation.

A couple comments about the Grid bills. Liberty Utilities bought a company, Granite State Electric, and the transition, that was Docket DG 11-040. What Liberty Utilities bought was all the stock in Granite State Electric. So, the Company never stopped operating. The stock was owned by National

Grid and then it was owned by Liberty.

So, this transfer of bills, as far as the right to collect them, never changed.

There was certainly a computer transfer of information. But the company that was billing Ms. Tompson in 2005 is the same company that is billing her today, it's just owned by different parents. So, the continuation of the past due amounts, the right to collect, the right to stand in those shoes never changed, because it has always been Granite State Electric, although the name has changed slightly.

As far as any statute of limitations on those bills, with due respect to Ms. O'Neil, I think she confused a little bit the legal concept of a limitation on the company filing suit, with what we call a "statute of limitations", and the 1200 rules on when customers sign up for the service on what past due balances can be brought forward or not.

I think Ms. Tompson is not arguing that piece. She's arguing the fact that, if Liberty wanted to sue her today for these bills, there's a statute of limitations

problem. And the answer to that is, there's a doctrine in statute of limitations law called a "Continuing Violation Doctrine", which says, if you have an event that repeats every month, and the last month where the wrong was, in this case it's the failure to pay a bill, the three years starts running then, not from — so, if the bills went from '03 to '15, you don't go back to '03 to count three years. You go back to 2015, because we've been sending her the same bill every month, and she's failed to pay the same bill every month.

So, we don't have to go back just three years from today, if we were to file suit. We have the right to go back for the whole series of transactions.

That being said, there's no requirement for us to sue her to collect this amount. She owes the amount. Frankly, our likelihood of collecting it are slim, which is why we didn't file suit. But it's really a red herring of how much we could collect. The account is still owed \$5,000. It's a legitimate amount. And, you know, we have the

right to keep billing her for that amount.

The two other legal pieces, and they're addressed in the Motion to Dismiss, the complaint under the state consumer protection law. The statute simply says "it does not apply to utilities", period. So, it's not like what building we should be in, or whether what we did was bad enough, the statute simply says "it does not apply to utilities". So, it simply is a nonstarter. Even if it did apply, I submit there's no facts in this case that suggests we violated any of it.

The federal statute doesn't quite have that precise an exemption. But it does say that, to be a debt collector under that statute, it has to be the company's primary -- I think "principal" is the word it used, "principal function to be a debt collector". Yes, we have a debt collection office, if you will. But our principal business is providing electric distribution services. So, again, that just takes this completely outside of the federal statute.

And again, that goes, even if you get

through that, this Commission is not authorized to grant relief under the federal statute.

Presumably, if we violated it, you could work it into some finding. But it's not -- has not been articulated well and the statute simply doesn't apply.

And last, she alleges that we somehow violated a court order. Again, as I said -- as I explored in my cross-examination, there is no order in this file that's directing Liberty to do anything. And for us to violate a court order, we would have to have been a part of that process, and the order would say "Landlord and Liberty shall keep power on." It doesn't say that. We were not part of that hearing.

We certainly did our best to accommodate the needs of the landlord through that order. But we are not bound by the order, so we did not violate any order.

So, at the end of the day, we have a very comprehensive process to seek disconnect of medical customers. We followed that process. The PUC Staff approved those disconnections on two occasions, in 2017 and

1 2018. We never did disconnect in 2018. 2 Apparently, we disconnected for an hour in 3 2017. There is nothing wrong with what we did. There's no violations of rules with what we 4 5 did. 6 We have a customer who is very adept 7 at pulling the right strings and slowing things down and making allegations, and has managed to 8 survive another winter without being 9 10 disconnected. I suspect we will start the 11 process again in a couple months, and we will 12 do the same thing, and unless Ms. Tompson 13 agrees to a payment arrangement, which we are 14 still willing to listen to. She has my phone 15 number, she has these guys' phone number. She 16 has to call and we can work something out. 17 So, we ask that you deny whatever 18 relief Ms. Tompson is seeking in this 19 proceeding. Thank you. CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Schwarzer. 20 21

MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you. Staff believes that the key matter -- the key matter at issue in this case is whether receipt of New Hampshire Fuel Assistance without more is

22

23

24

sufficient to avoid disconnection when the customer has a medical certification on file.

Pursuant to New Hampshire

Administrative Rules, Puc Chapter 1205, the

Medical Emergency rule, a customer is protected

from disconnection when the customer provides

the utility with a current medical certificate,

in conjunction with a payment arrangement, as

described in Puc 1203.7.

"Notwithstanding any other rule to the contrary, the Commission shall not approve disconnection of services to customers with a current Medical Emergency Certificate when the customer has made a good faith effort to make payments towards the utility bill."

Staff believes that consistent with Administrative Rules, although New Hampshire Fuel Assistance payments are an important resource for hardship customers, they're not sufficient in and of themselves to count as a payment arrangement or as a good faith effort to make payments towards a utility bill. A customer who applies for and receives New

1 Hampshire Fuel Assistance have made an effort 2 to obtain funding. However, a payment 3 arrangement must include an agreement between a customer and a utility, whose terms include, 4 5 but are not limited to, a flat monthly payment 6 that is reasonable, and the customers make 7 regular payments throughout the year or make good faith efforts to do so. 8 That's reflected in Staff's Exhibits 9 10 2 and 3. 11 Staff believes that a payment history 12 between May of 2017 and November of 2018, 13 showing seven unpaid months, eight months paid 14 by Fuel Assistance, and one cash payment of 15 \$9.43 -- I'm sorry, that's through August of 16 2018, one cash payment of \$9.43 does not count 17 as a payment arrangement or a good faith effort 18 to make payments. 19 Thank you. 20 CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Tompson. 21 In Liberty Utilities' MS. TOMPSON: 22 legal memorandum, they state that the utility

{DE 18-148} {02-19-19}

from the new customer; no documentation was

may require written confirmation of the request

23

24

submitted in support of that.

The utility shall continue service and offer a more flexible payment arrangement, but nothing was in writing.

The fact that Tompson's account was never scheduled for disconnection is untrue.

As defendant admitted that it was disconnected in 2017 without notice, and there was no notice.

New Hampshire Fuel Assistance is the party responsible for paying bills after the utility receives the social service organization's notification of its agreement to pay the current bills of the customer, Puc 1203.14(d).

"The utility shall provide service to a tenant in the tenant's own name as a customer of record," Puc 1203.12(h). "The utility shall provide service to a tenant in the tenant's own name as a customer of record if so requested, subject to the terms and requirements of the utility's tariff and this chapter, without requiring the tenant to pay any part of the landlord's past due balance as a condition of

receiving service, " Puc 1203.12(h).

The PUC has the authority over the defendant, as well as RSA 358-A violations and the FDCPA, because they have exclusive jurisdiction over this defendant.

Attempting to collect a known time barred account violates the FDCPA. Lindburg v. TransWorld Systems, Inc., 846 F.Supp 175, in Connecticut (1994).

The FDCPA broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, 15 U.S.C Section 1692d, e, and f.

"The Commission shall order a utility to reconnect service...when it determines that a medical emergency exists", Puc 1203.13(d)(1), or "other similar unusual circumstances exist which involve significant risk to health, safety or property", Puc 1203.13(d)(3).

RSA 358-A:2 provides that "it shall be unlawful for any person to use any unfair method of competition or any unfair or deceptive act or practice in the conduct of any trade or commerce within this state." And

""service" shall include any activity which is performed in whole or in part for financial gain," RSA 356:1, Section IV.

"The Commission shall impose a moratorium on disconnections of service when there exists an imminent peril to the public's health, safety or welfare," Puc 1203.11(t).

exists which clearly states the electric service at plaintiff's current address must remain in operation. The affidavit attached specifically states Liberty Utilities is the electric service provider. Liberty has exclusive jurisdiction as an electric service distributor in the Town of Salem as granted by the PUC.

A party seeking to set aside an order of the PUC has the burden of demonstrating that the order is contrary to law or by a clear preponderance of the evidence that the order is unjust or unreasonable, RSA 541:13 (2017), Appeal of Pennichuck Water Works, 160 New Hampshire 18, 26, 992 A.2d 740 (2010).

"Electric service is essential and

1 should be available to all consumers, " RSA 374-F:3, VII [sic] (2009). 2 3 "A utility shall not disconnect 4 service to a customer who has provided current 5 verification of a medical emergency, " Puc 1205.03(a). "The commission shall not approve 6 7 disconnection of service to customers with a current medical emergency certificate", 8 9 1205.03(14)(e) [sic]. 10 "Utility shall provide service to a tenant in the tenant's own name as a customer 11 of record, " Puc 1203.12(h). 12 13 "Notice shall be provided to an adult 14 who occupies the affected residence, " Puc

1204.05(a)(1) [sic].

"The utility shall inform the commission of the existence of any financial hardship," Puc 1204.05(c)(7).

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"The commission shall not approve disconnection of a service to customers when the customer has a financial hardship," Puc 1204.05(d)(1).

In this case, there was disconnection without notification. The plaintiff stated on

the record that she did not receive any notification. The defendants testified that they do not send out letters. It's not part of their policy. They only make phone calls.

Central to the complaint, defendant argues that the bill -- the bill is -- that their billing practices are notifications regarding the Fuel Assistance, which is incorrect. Billing is insufficient as notice. It is -- billing is for the sole purpose of putting notice as to what the arrears are. And in this case, with the Fuel Assistance, it would be the current arrears. It's not information related to Fuel Assistance entitlement use, application, or any remaining money left over in the account after, after use.

There was an account transfer violation pursuant to 1203.12(h). Liberty Utility knew or should have known that the plaintiff in this case was living at that residence. The owner purportedly, in documenting saying that he was the owner, lives actually in Carlisle, Massachusetts. And if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

they sent documentation to him, I believe that the billing that was submitted in this case states that address. So, clearly, the owner wasn't going to be the user of Liberty use on record.

Medical Emergency Certification expiration, no notice was given. There was no notice of any disconnection. It simply The fact that defense counsel is occurred. stating that it's "not plausible that the mailings did not occur" is outrageous and unconscionable. There were not 50 letters that were mailed. There were very minimal letters that were mailed. Because it is the policy, according to testimony of the defendants, that they don't send out mail. They attempt to make phone calls. The phone rings, they hang up, and then they notify the Public Utility Commission that they made an attempt and they weren't able to get in contact with anyone. There was no follow-up documentation.

What is implausible in this case is the discussion between Ms. O'Neil and the specialist physician whom the plaintiff in this

case has been seeing since 2001. And the idea that a licensed physician, who has been providing medical certification for years on this account, would verbally instruct an employee of Liberty Utilities to destroy a document that he's already signed and approved is implausible.

The National Grid bills should not be part of this case. If the stock was purchased from Liberty Utilities, the stockholders are irrelevant to the billing dispute in this case. And there is a billing dispute, and there's been an ongoing billing dispute. There's no way that Liberty Utility can claim that there hasn't been an ongoing billing dispute in this case, because it's been going on for years. And the exhibits, the final exhibits, especially that have been submitted by the plaintiff, show that she has talked about billing disputes, and there's no dispute mechanism. So, she's been ignored on that issue.

Statute of limitations is three years in New Hampshire. Three years for contract

law, three years for personal injury, and three years for discovery purposes. The documentation in this case goes back to 2003 with National Grid. That is a 16-year accrual that they're attempting to pursue when Liberty did not even provide any of those services.

The Continued Violation Doctrine can't be argued by defendant, because they never — they never mitigated damages in this case. National Grid never pursued anything in this case related to setting up a payment plan or pursuit of recuperating any alleged loss. And Liberty Utilities does not have standing with which to pursue that.

By failing to file a lawsuit, they have waived and/or foreclosed -- they have waived and/or forfeited any rights to asserting those claims. The purpose of filing suit would be to secure a judgment, and they have chosen not to secure a judgment. What they prefer to do is continue to work with the Public Utilities Commission in order to discontinue services to the plaintiff in this case who has a chronic medical condition.

The Motion to Dismiss should be denied. There's unfair and deceptive practices which have been exhibited in this case, both under state and federal law, and defense counsel is incorrect in his statement that, in order to qualify under the FDCPA, that you have to be primarily in the business of debt collection. Only a partial percentage of what you do has to be debt collection, and they have a debt collection activity department, which testified here today. All the documents and all of the -- all of the law argued in documents filed with this case by plaintiff clearly outline that.

The court order is a standing protective order to the benefit of the plaintiff in this case and should be complied with. There is no violation — the defense counsel's argument that, because he wasn't a part — because he wasn't a party to the case, is a moot point. The purpose of that order is an order to protect the plaintiff from disconnection, because twice Liberty Utilities has sought disconnection on the heels of

Eviction Notices, and both Eviction Notices were submitted in this case.

The allegation that there was nothing wrong with what the defendant did is a false statement. I mean, they have not followed the rules. They have not sent notification to this — to the plaintiff prior to disconnection. They've used debt collection as a means — they've used disconnection by and through the Public Utilities Commission as a means of debt collection, which is improper, and a violation of state and federal law.

There is no documented -- I mean, the Staff and key matters that are stated are that there isn't a good faith effort in order to make payment. And the plaintiff has stated on record that she disputes these amounts, she's always disputed these amounts. She doesn't believe that she owes these amounts. And to voluntarily set up a payment plan for amounts disputed reaffirms a debt that she states she doesn't have or owe. She has stated that from the very beginning. This has been the ongoing contention between the parties in this case.

There is no debt, there is no mechanism by which you can do a dispute resolution with the Billing Department.

It is the defendant's contention that their documentation, which is inaccurate and not actual, does show -- shows debt going back to 2003, and that whatever they have submitted is accurate and is owed and due; which the plaintiff denies.

I would respectfully ask that the tribunal not allow for disconnection, to comply with the court order that was issued by the Salem 10th Circuit Court, while Judith Tompson remains at 9 Lancelot Court, Unit Number 8, due to a medical issue and/or due to financial hardship, and all of the relief which has been asked for in all of the filings -- the documents that have been filed in this case.

CMSR. BAILEY: All right. Thank you very much. With that, we will wait for the exhibits to be sorted out.

Thank you for your time.

And we will close the record, take the matter under advisement, and issue a

```
1
          decision as soon as we can. Thank you.
 2
                    MS. TOMPSON:
                                   Thank you.
 3
                          (Whereupon the hearing was
 4
                          adjourned at 6:06 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```